arXiv:1207.1797v1 [math.RT] 7 Jul 2012

MIRABOLIC $\mathscr{D}$-MODULES AND HAMILTONIAN REDUCTION

GWYN BELLAMY AND VICTOR GINZBURG

ABSTRACT. We study holonomic $\mathscr{D}$-modules on $SL_n(\mathbb{C})\times\mathbb{C}^n$, called *mirabolic modules*, analogous to Lusztig's character sheaves. We prove that a mirabolic module is killed by the functor of Hamiltonian reduction from the category of mirabolic modules to the category of representations of the trigonometric Cherednik algebra if and only if the characteristic variety of the module is contained in the unstable locus. We also show that Hamiltonian reduction commutes with shift functors.

We describe the supports of simple mirabolic modules and apply this to study submodules and quotients of the *mirabolic Harish-Chandra $\mathscr{D}$-module*. We show that, for generic parameters, the mirabolic Harish-Chandra $\mathscr{D}$-module is the minimal extension of a local system on the regular locus. However, unlike the classical case of the Harish-Chandra $\mathscr{D}$-module on the group $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ itself, the mirabolic Harish-Chandra $\mathscr{D}$-module does have submodules and quotients supported away from the regular locus when the parameters are not generic.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Mirabolic modules 7
3. A stratification 16
4. Cuspidal $\mathscr{D}$-modules 18
5. Reduction to a Levi 22
6. The functor of Hamiltonian reduction 25
7. Hamiltonian reduction and shift functors 30
8. Appendix: Shift functors in the trigonometric case 37
References 41

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. In this paper, we study mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-modules, following earlier works [GG], [FG1], and [FG2]. Mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-modules form an interesting category of regular holonomic $\mathscr{D}$-modules on the variety $SL_n(\mathbb{C})\times\mathbb{C}^n$. This category has a “classical” counterpart, a certain category of *admissible $\mathscr{D}$-modules* on an arbitrary complex reductive group, which was studied in [Gi3]. Similar to that “classical” case, there are two different definitions of mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-modules. The first definition involves characteristic varieties, while the second definition involves an action of the enveloping algebra. The first definition is more geometric and it can be used to establish a connection with perverse sheaves via the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. The resulting perverse sheaves are “mirabolic analogues” of Lusztig's *character sheaves* on a reductive group. Furthermore, a conjectural classification of simple mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-modules, modeled on Lusztig's classification of character sheaves, was suggested in [FGT].

The second definition of mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-modules is more algebraic and it is better adapted, in a sense, for applications to Cherednik algebras, see below. In particular, there is an important mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-module, the *mirabolic Harish-Chandra $\mathscr{D}$-module*. This $\mathscr{D}$-module has a very natural algebraic definition, while its geometric definition is not completely understood so far, see however [FG2], Theorem 5.1.2.

Our first result establishes an equivalence of the two definitions of mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-modules. Although this result was, in a way, implicit in [FG2], its actual proof turns out to be more complicated than one could have expected. The idea of the proof is very similar to the one used in [Gi3] in the "classical" case. However, certain steps of the argument do not work in the mirabolic setting and require a different approach.

1.2. In order to state our results in more detail, we introduce some notation. Throughout the paper, we work over $\mathbb{C}$, the field of complex numbers. We write $\mathscr{D}_X$ for the sheaf of algebraic differential operators on a smooth algebraic variety X and let $\mathscr{D}(X) = \Gamma(X, \mathscr{D}_X)$ denote the algebra of global sections. We write T^*X for the cotangent bundle of X and $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}) \subset T^*X$ for the characteristic variety of a coherent $\mathscr{D}_X$-module $\mathscr{M}$.

Convention 1.2.1. Throughout the paper, we let $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ be an n-dimensional vector space, and write $\mathrm{SL} := SL(V) = SL_n$. Thus, $\mathfrak{sl} = \mathfrak{sl}(V) = \mathfrak{sl}_n$ is the Lie algebra of the group SL.

We will explicitly write SL_m, resp. $\mathfrak{sl}_m$, in all cases where $m \neq n$.

Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{sl}$ be the nilpotent cone. Let $\mathfrak{Z}$ be the algebra of bi-invariant differential operators on the group SL. This algebra is nothing but the centre of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl})$, the enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}$.

We set $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{SL} \times V$, and put $\mathcal{D} := \mathscr{D}(\mathfrak{X}) = \mathscr{D}(\mathrm{SL}) \otimes \mathscr{D}(V)$. We view a bi-invariant differential operator z on SL as an element $z \otimes 1 \in \mathscr{D}(\mathrm{SL}) \otimes \mathscr{D}(V)$. We identify the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathfrak{X}$ with $\mathrm{SL} \times \mathfrak{sl} \times V \times V^*$, where we have used the trace pairing to identify the vector space $\mathfrak{sl}$ with its dual.

We also consider the group $G = GL(V)$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(V)$. The group G acts naturally on V and acts on SL by conjugation (this action clearly factors through an action of $PGL(V)$). We let G act diagonally on $\mathfrak{X}$. The G-action on $\mathfrak{X}$ induces a morphism of Lie algebras $\mu : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{D}$. The G-action on $\mathfrak{X}$ also induces a Hamiltonian action of G on the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathfrak{X}$ with moment map $\mu_{\mathfrak{X}} : \ (g, Y, i, j) \mapsto gYg^{-1} - Y + i \otimes j$. Following [GG], we define the following subvariety of $T^*\mathfrak{X}$:

$$\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(\mathrm{SL}) = \{(g, Y, i, j) \in T^*\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{SL} \times \mathcal{N} \times V \times V^* \mid gYg^{-1} - Y + i \otimes j = 0\}. \tag{1.2.2}$$

We recall the following, c.f. [FG1, Definition 4.5.2]:

Definition 1.2.3. A coherent $\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-module $\mathscr{M}$ is called a *mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-module* if one has $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}) \subseteq \mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(\mathrm{SL})$ and, moreover, $\mathscr{M}$ has regular singularities.

It was shown in [GG] that $\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(\mathrm{SL})$ is a Lagrangian subvariety of $T^*\mathfrak{X}$. Hence the inclusion $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}) \subseteq \mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(\mathrm{SL})$ ensures that $\mathscr{M}$ is holonomic, so that the condition that $\mathscr{M}$ has regular singularities makes sense.

The following result, which was implicit in [FG2], will be proved in section 2.5 below.

Theorem 1.2.4. *For a $\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-module $\mathscr{M}$, the following are equivalent:*

(i) *$\mathscr{M}$ is a mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-module.*

(ii) *Both the $\mathfrak{Z}$-action and the $\mu(\mathfrak{g})$-action on $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \mathscr{M})$ are locally finite.*

Let $\mathbf{1} \in \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ denote the identity map. Thanks to the above theorem, the action of the element $\mathbf{1}$ on any mirabolic $\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-module $\mathscr{M}$ is locally finite. Thus, one has a vector space decomposition $\mathscr{M} = \oplus_{c\in\mathbb{C}}\, \mathscr{M}^{(c)}$, into generalized eigenspaces of $\mathbf{1}$, where

$$\mathscr{M}^{(c)} := \{m \in \mathscr{M} \mid (\mu(\mathbf{1}) - c)^{\ell}(m) = 0 \ \text{ for some } \ \ell = \ell(m) \gg 0\}.$$

Let $\mathscr{C}$ be the category of all mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-modules and, for each $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$, let $\mathscr{C}_q$ be the full subcategory of $\mathscr{C}$ formed by the mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-modules $\mathscr{M}$ such that one has

$$\mathscr{M} = \bigoplus_{\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}c)=q} \mathscr{M}^{(c)}.$$

The objects of the category $\mathscr{C}_q$ may be viewed as having 'monodromy q' along the $\mathbb{C}^*$-orbits of the dilation action on V, the second factor in $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{SL} \times V$.

The category $\mathscr{C}$ is abelian and there is a direct sum decomposition $\mathscr{C} = \oplus_{q\in\mathbb{C}^*} \mathscr{C}_q$, see section 2.

1.3. **The functor of Hamiltonian reduction.** Let W denote the symmetric group on n letters and write $e \in \mathbb{C}[W]$ for the averaging idempotent in the group algebra of W. Let T_n be the standard maximal torus of SL formed by diagonal matrices, and write $\mathfrak{t}_n = \mathrm{Lie}\, T_n$. The group W acts naturally on T_n and on $\mathfrak{t}_n$ by permutation of coordinates.

Associated with a complex number $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$, there is an algebra $\mathsf{H}_\kappa^{\mathrm{trig}}(\mathrm{SL})$, the trigonometric Cherednik algebra of type SL at parameter κ, see §8.1 for a precise definition. Let $\mathsf{U}_\kappa := e\mathsf{H}_\kappa^{\mathrm{trig}}(\mathrm{SL})e$ denote the spherical subalgebra of $\mathsf{H}_\kappa^{\mathrm{trig}}(\mathrm{SL})$. The algebra U_κ contains the algebra $(\mathrm{Sym}\,\mathfrak{t})^W \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{t}^*]^W$ as a commutative subalgebra.

An important role in the representation theory of Cherednik algebras is played by category $\mathcal{O}_\kappa$. By definition, this is the category of finitely generated left U_κ-modules which are locally finite as $(\mathrm{Sym}\,\mathfrak{t})^W$-modules, see §6.

Applications of the theory of mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-modules to the representation theory of Cherednik algebras are based on the functor of Hamiltonian reduction from the category $\mathscr{C}_q$, of mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-modules on $\mathrm{SL} \times V$ with monodromy q, to category $\mathcal{O}_\kappa$ for U_κ. Here, the parameters q and κ are related, via an auxiliary complex parameter c, by the formulas:

$$\kappa = 1 - c, \qquad q = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}c). \tag{1.3.1}$$

We recall the definition of the Hamiltonian reduction functor. Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_c$ be the Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}$ defined as the image of the map $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{D},\ a \mapsto \mu(a) - c\,\mathrm{Tr}(a)$, which is a Lie algebra homomorphism. The Hamiltonian reduction functor is the following functor

$$\mathbb{H}_c\ : \mathscr{C} \to \mathcal{O}_\kappa, \quad \mathscr{M}\ \longmapsto\ \mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}) := \{m \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \mathscr{M}) \mid \mathfrak{g}_c{\cdot}m = 0\}. \tag{1.3.2}$$

It is easy to see that the functor $\mathbb{H}_c$ kills the subcategory $\mathscr{C}_q$ unless $q = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}c)$. Furthermore, it was shown in [GG, Proposition 7.3] that, for $q = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}c)$, the functor $\mathbb{H}_c$ induces an equivalence $\mathscr{C}_q/\ker \mathbb{H}_c \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_\kappa$. Thus, it is important to have a description of the kernel of the functor $\mathbb{H}_c$. The theorem below provides such a description for almost all values of the parameter c. To explain this, we need the following

Definition 1.3.3. Put $\mathsf{BAD} = \{\frac{a}{b} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z},\ 1 \le b \le n\}$. We say that the parameter $c \in \mathbb{C}$ is *good* if $c \notin \mathsf{BAD} \cap (0, 1)$. We say that c is *admissible* if $c \notin \mathsf{BAD} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ and, additionally, if $n = 2$ then $c \notin \mathsf{BAD}$.

The description of the kernel of the functor $\mathbb{H}_c$ given in the following theorem involves a stability condition in the sense of Geometric Invariant Theory. To formulate the stability condition, one equips the trivial line bundle over $T^*\mathfrak{X}$ with a G-equivariant structure using the determinant character. Explicitly, we let G act on $T^*\mathfrak{X} \times \mathbb{C}$ by $g \cdot (x, t) = (g \cdot x,\ \det(g)^{-1}t)$ for all $x \in T^*\mathfrak{X}, g \in G$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Write $(T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{unst},+}$ for the set of unstable points with respect to this line bundle and $(T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{unst},-}$ for the set of unstable points with respect to the inverse line bundle, which corresponds to the character $\det^{-1}$.

Theorem 1.3.4. *Assume $c \in \mathbb{C}$ is admissible and let $\mathscr{M} \in \mathscr{C}_q$ be a mirabolic module on $\mathfrak{X}$. Then, we have* $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}) \subset (T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{unst},+}$ *if and only if* $\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}) = 0$.

The above result, to be proved in §7, was anticipated, in one form or the other, by a number of people. A similar result is expected to hold in the much more general framework of noncommutative algebras obtained from an algebra of differential operators via quantum Hamiltonian reduction (e.g. quantizations of Nakajima's quiver varieties). Most of the results of §7.3, that are used in the proof of Theorem 1.3.4, can be extended to this more general setting without much difficulty. However, one of the obstacles to finding a generalization of our theorem is lack of a plausible general condition that should replace the admissibility assumption on the parameter c. The latter assumption is quite essential for the statement of Theorem 1.3.4 to be true.

An interesting special case where a replacement of the admissibility condition has been found and an analogue of the above theorem has been established is the case of hypertoric varieties studied in the recent preprint by K. McGerty and T. Nevins [McN].

Theorem 1.3.4, together with Proposition 1.4.1 below imply that:

Corollary 1.3.5. *For any $c \in \mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \mathsf{BAD}$, the functor $\mathbb{H}_c : \mathscr{C}_q \to \mathcal{O}_\kappa$ is an equivalence.*

Remark 1.3.6. One can ask if a result similar to Theorem 1.3.4 holds for the negative stability condition. In order to prove such a result, one must consider $c \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, and in particular values of c which are not admissible. The arguments used in the proof of Theorem 1.3.4 are not applicable because of the failure of localization for $\mathscr{D}$-modules on X. However, Lemma 7.1.2 implies: if $c > 0$ then $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}) \subset (T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{unst},-}$ implies that $\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}) = 0$.

Our next result says that the functor of Hamiltonian reduction commutes with shift functors. When studying shift functors, it is more convenient to work with *twisted* $\mathscr{D}_c$-modules on $X = \mathrm{SL} \times \mathbb{P}(V)$ rather than ordinary $\mathscr{D}$-modules on $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{SL} \times V$, see section 7. An advantage of working with twisted $\mathscr{D}_c$-modules is that the category $\mathscr{C}_q$, of mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-modules on $\mathfrak{X}$, gets replaced by a category $\mathscr{C}_c$, of mirabolic twisted $\mathscr{D}_c$-modules on X.

Tensoring with the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(n)$, on $\mathbb{P}(V)$, gives a natural geometric shift functor $\mathscr{C}_c \to \mathscr{C}_{c-1},\ \mathscr{M} \mapsto \mathscr{M}(n)$. On the other hand, based on Opdam's theory of shift operators, there is a shift functor $\mathbb{S} : \mathsf{U}_\kappa\text{-}\mathsf{mod} \to \mathsf{U}_{\kappa+1}\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$.

In §7.5, we prove the following strengthening of an earlier result established in [GGS].

Theorem 1.3.7. *Assume that c is admissible. Then, the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{C}_c \arrow[r, "\mathscr{M} \mapsto \mathscr{M}(n)", "\sim"'] \arrow[d, "\mathbb{H}"'] & \mathscr{C}_{c-1} \arrow[d, "\mathbb{H}"'] \\
\mathcal{O}_\kappa \arrow[r, "\mathbb{S}", "\sim"'] & \mathcal{O}_{\kappa+1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

1.4. **The support of simple mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-modules.** The space $\mathfrak{X}$ has a partition into a finite union of smooth locally-closed strata, see section 3 for details. Every irreducible component of the Lagrangian variety $\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(\mathrm{SL})$ is the closure of the conormal bundle to a certain stratum. The strata which arise in this way are called *relevant*. These relevant strata, $\mathfrak{X}(\lambda, \mu)$, are labeled by the bi-partitions (λ, μ) of n. The support of any simple mirabolic module is the closure of a relevant stratum.

Let $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{cyc}} \subset \mathfrak{X}$ be the open subset formed by the pairs (g, v) such that the vector v is *cyclic* for g, i.e. such that we have $\mathbb{C}[g] \cdot v = V$. Further, let $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{reg}} \subset \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{cyc}}$ be the open subset formed by the pairs $(g, v) \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{cyc}}$, such that $g \in \mathrm{SL}$, is a regular semi-simple element, that is, a matrix with determinant one, and with n pairwise distinct eigenvalues. The set $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{cyc}}$ is a union of relevant strata and the set $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ is the unique open stratum in $\mathfrak{X}$.

The following result provides some information about the supports of simple mirabolic modules. This information plays an important in the proof of Theorem 1.3.4 as well as in the analysis of the mirabolic Harish-Chandra $\mathcal{D}$-module, see Theorem 1.5.2.

Proposition 1.4.1. *Let $\mathscr{M} \in \mathscr{C}_q$ be a simple mirabolic module.*

1. *If $q^m \neq 1$ for any $2 \leq m \leq n$, then $\operatorname{Supp} \mathscr{M} = \mathfrak{X}$.*
2. *If $q = 1$, then $\operatorname{Supp} \mathscr{M} = \overline{\mathfrak{X}((1^k), (1^{n-k}))}$ for some $0 \leq k \leq n$.*
3. *If q is a primitive m-th root of unity, where $2 \leq m \leq n$, then $\operatorname{Supp} \mathscr{M} = \overline{\mathfrak{X}((m^v, 1^w), (m^u))}$ for some $u, v, w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n = (u+v)m + w$.*

Independent of Theorem 1.3.4 above, one can ask whether a simple mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-module supported on the closure of a given stratum is killed by the functor of Hamiltonian reduction. The following proposition gives a partial answer to that question. First, introduce the following sets of rational numbers:

$$\mathrm{Sing}_- = \left\{ \frac{r}{m} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\leq 0} \mid 2 \leq m \leq n, \ (r, m) = 1 \right\}, \quad \mathrm{Sing}_+ = \{ -c + 1 \mid c \in \mathrm{Sing}_- \},$$

where (r, m) denotes the highest common factor of r and m.

Proposition 1.4.2. *Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and set $q = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}c)$. Let $\mathscr{M} \in \mathscr{C}_q$ be a simple mirabolic module such that $\operatorname{Supp} \mathscr{M} \subset \mathfrak{X} \smallsetminus \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{reg}}$.*

1. *If $\operatorname{Supp} \mathscr{M} = \overline{\mathfrak{X}((m^v, 1^w), \emptyset)}$ for some $v, w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n = vm + w$ then $\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}) \neq 0$ implies that $c = \frac{r}{m} \in \mathrm{Sing}_-$.*
2. *If $\operatorname{Supp} \mathscr{M} = \overline{\mathfrak{X}((1^w), (m^u))}$ for some $u, w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n = um + w$ then $\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}) \neq 0$ implies that $c = \frac{r}{m} \in \mathrm{Sing}_+$.*
3. *For all other $\mathscr{M}$, one has $\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}) = 0$ for all c.*

The proof of Propositions 1.4.1 and 1.4.2 is given in section 6.4. We note that Proposition 1.4.2 can be used to classify the possible supports of simple modules in category $\mathcal{O}_\kappa$ for the algebra U_κ.

1.5. **The mirabolic Harish-Chandra $\mathscr{D}$-module.**

In the seminal paper [HK1], Hotta and Kashiwara have defined, for any complex semisimple group $\mathbf{G}$, a holonomic $\mathscr{D}(\mathbf{G})$-module that they called *the Harish-Chandra $\mathscr{D}$-module*. This $\mathscr{D}$-module is important, for instance, because of its close relation to the system of partial differential equations on the group $\mathbf{G}$ introduced by Harish-Chandra around 1960 in his study of irreducible characters of infinite dimensional representations of the group $\mathbf{G}$.

The definition of the Harish-Chandra $\mathscr{D}$-module involves a choice of "central character", a closed point $\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}$, where $\mathfrak{Z}$ is the algebra of bi-invariant differential operators on $\mathbf{G}$. Write $\mathfrak{Z}_\lambda$ for the corresponding maximal ideal in $\mathfrak{Z}$ and put $\mathfrak{G} := \operatorname{Lie} \mathbf{G}$. The adjoint action of the group $\mathbf{G}$ on itself induces a Lie algebra map $\mathrm{ad} : \ \mathfrak{G} \to \mathscr{D}(\mathbf{G})$, c.f. §1.2. Then, following Hotta and Kashiwara in [HK2], the Harish-Chandra $\mathscr{D}$-module at parameter λ is defined to be

$$\mathcal{J}_\lambda \ := \ \mathscr{D}(\mathbf{G}) / (\mathscr{D}(\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{G}) + \mathscr{D}(\mathbf{G}) \cdot \mathfrak{Z}_\lambda). \tag{1.5.1}$$

It is not difficult to show that $\mathcal{J}_\lambda|_{\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$, the restriction of $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ to the open set $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ of regular, semi-simple elements, is a local system of rank $|W|$, the order of the Weyl group of $\mathbf{G}$. Furthermore, one of the main results proved by Hotta and Kashiwara using a famous theorem of Harish-Chandra on regularity of invariant eigen-distributions says that one has

$\mathcal{J}_\lambda \simeq j_{!*}(\mathcal{J}_\lambda|_{\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{reg}}})$, i.e. $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$ is the minimal extension with respect to the natural open embedding $j : \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{reg}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{G}$ of the local system $\mathcal{J}_\lambda|_{\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{reg}}}$.

We now return to the setting of §1.2 and let $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SL} = SL(V)$. We identify $\operatorname{Spec} \mathfrak{Z}$ with $\mathfrak{t}_n/W$ via the Harish-Chandra homomorphism. Motivated by formula (1.5.1), in [GG], the authors introduced, for each pair $(\lambda, c) \in \mathfrak{t}_n^*/W \times \mathbb{C}$, a $\mathscr{D}$-module on the space $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{SL} \times V$, called the *mirabolic Harish-Chandra $\mathscr{D}$-module* with parameters (λ, c). It is defined to be

$$\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c} := \mathcal{D}/(\mathcal{D}\cdot\mathfrak{g}_c + \mathcal{D}\cdot\mathfrak{Z}_\lambda).$$

The mirabolic Harish-Chandra $\mathscr{D}$-module is an example of a mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-module and it was further studied in [FG2]. It is known, in particular, that the restriction of $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}$ to $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ is a local system of rank $n!$.

One of the motivations for the present work was our desire to understand whether or not one has an isomorphism $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c} \cong \jmath_{!*}(\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}|_{\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{reg}}})$, where $\jmath : \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{reg}} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ denotes the open embedding. It turns out that if c is generic then the isomorphism holds. However, for non-generic values of the parameter c, the isomorphism may fail. In other words, for certain values of c, the $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}$ may have either nonzero simple quotients or submodules (or both) supported on $\mathfrak{X} \smallsetminus \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{reg}}$.

Our main result about the mirabolic Harish-Chandra $\mathscr{D}$-module describes the possible supports of simple quotients, resp. submodules, of $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}$ as follows.

Theorem 1.5.2. *Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$. For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_n^*/W$, the following holds:*

1. *If $c \notin \mathrm{Sing}_+ \cup \mathrm{Sing}_-$ then $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}$ has no submodules or quotients supported on $\mathfrak{X} \smallsetminus \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{reg}}$.*
2. *If $c = \frac{r}{m} \in \mathrm{Sing}_-$ then: The simple quotients of $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}$ are supported on the closure of the strata $\mathfrak{X}((m^v, 1^w), \emptyset)$ where $v, w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n = vm + w$.*
   The simple submodules of $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}$ are supported on the closure of the strata $\mathfrak{X}((1^w), (m^u))$, where $u, w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n = um + w$.
3. *If $c = \frac{r}{m} \in \mathrm{Sing}_+$ then: The simple quotients of $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}$ are supported on the closure of the strata $\mathfrak{X}((1^w), (m^u))$, where $u, w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n = um + w$;*
   The simple submodules of $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}$ are supported on the closure of the strata $\mathfrak{X}((m^v, 1^w), \emptyset)$, where $v, w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n = vm + w$.

Remark 1.5.3. When $c \in \mathrm{Sing}_+ \cup \mathrm{Sing}_-$, it is likely that $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}$ will also have subquotients supported on the closure of the strata $\mathfrak{X}((m^v, 1^w), (m^u))$, where $n = (v + u)m + w$.

Corollary 1.5.4. *Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_n^*/W$.*

1. *If $c \notin \mathrm{Sing}_+ \cup \mathrm{Sing}_-$ then the Harish-Chandra $\mathscr{D}$-module is the minimal extension of its restriction to $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{reg}}$.*
2. *If $c \in \mathrm{Sing}_-$, resp. $c \in \mathrm{Sing}_+$, then $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}$ has no quotient modules, resp. submodules, supported on $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{cyc}} \smallsetminus \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{reg}}$.*

Notice that we have $0 \notin \mathrm{Sing}_+ \cup \mathrm{Sing}_-$. Therefore, Corollary 1.5.4 says that for $c = 0$, similarly to the case of the $\mathscr{D}$-module $\mathcal{J}_\lambda$, studied by Hotta and Kashiwara, the mirabolic Harish-Chandra $\mathscr{D}$-module $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,0}$ is isomorphic to the minimal extension $\jmath_{!*}(\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,0}|_{\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{reg}}})$.

Remark 1.5.5. The $\mathscr{D}$-module $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,0}$ has been studied earlier by Galina and Laurent [GL], in connection with Kirillov's conjecture, c.f. [Ba]. In [GL], the authors claim that the $\mathscr{D}$-module $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,0}$ has no submodules supported on $\mathfrak{X} \smallsetminus \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{reg}}$, a statement weaker than the isomorphism $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,0} \cong \jmath_{!*}(\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,0}|_{\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{reg}}})$. However, the argument on page 17 of [GL] seems to have a serious gap. Specifically, the three options considered there do not exhaust all the possibilities since there may be other *relevant* strata, see definition 3.2.1, that need to be considered.

1.6. **Outline of the article.** In section 2, we first recall the definition of G-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-modules and describe some of their basic properties. Then, we give two definitions of mirabolic modules and prove Theorem 1.2.4 from the introduction, which claims that these two definitions are equivalent. We use this theorem to construct the spectral decomposition of the category of mirabolic modules.

In section 3, we recall a certain stratification of the space $\mathfrak{X}$ and remind the reader of the definition of the *relevant* strata in this stratification. Then, cuspidal mirabolic modules on Levi subgroups of SL are studied in section 4. We explicitly describe all cuspidal modules. In order to be able to use this classification of cuspidal modules, we construct in section 5 a certain reduction functor. This produces, for each simple mirabolic module supported on the closure of a relevant stratum $\mathfrak{X}(L, \Omega)$, a cuspidal module associated to L.

In section 6, we study the functor $\mathbb{H}$ of Hamiltonian reduction, which is one of the main objects of interest in the article. We show that $\mathbb{H}$ possess both a left and right adjoint. Moreover, $\mathbb{H}$ is shown to be compatible with the reduction functor, in an obvious sense. Combining this with an explicit description of the cuspidal mirabolic modules, we are able to deduce considerable information about the kernel of the functor $\mathbb{H}$.

The proof of the main results, Theorems 1.3.4 and 1.3.7, are contained in section 7. Here, we study the compatibility of $\mathbb{H}$ with shift functors on $\mathscr{C}_c$ and $\mathcal{O}_\kappa$.

The appendix contains some details on the radial parts map and summarizes the results of [GGS] (in the trigonometric case).

1.7. **Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank Iain Gordon for stimulating discussions. We are grateful to Kevin McGerty and Tom Nevins for showing us a draft of their preprint [McN] before it was made public. The first author is supported by the EPSRC grant EP-H028153. The research of the second author was supported in part by the NSF award DMS-1001677.

2. MIRABOLIC MODULES

In this section we give two definitions of mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-modules. Our main result, Theorem 1.2.4, says that these definitions are equivalent. Before we do that, we recall some of the basic properties of monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-modules.

2.1. **Monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-modules.** Let G be a connected linear algebraic group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We fix a smooth G-variety X and let $\mu : T^*X \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ be the moment map for the induced action on the cotangent bundle of X. By a local system we always mean an algebraic vector bundle equipped with an integrable connection that has **regular singularities**.

Definition 2.1.1. A coherent $\mathscr{D}_X$-module $\mathscr{M}$ on X is said to be *G-monodromic* if $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}) \subset \mu^{-1}(0)$. Let $(\mathscr{D}_X, G)$-mon denote the category of G-monodromic $\mathscr{D}_X$-modules.

We begin with a general result, which is probably well-known. We provide a proof since we were unable to find the statement in the existing literature.

Proposition 2.1.2. *Let X and Y be smooth quasi-projective G-varieties.*

(i) *For any G-equivariant morphism $f : X \to Y$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the functors f_*, $f_!$, f^*, $f^!$ (more precisely, the cohomology in every degree of the derived functor in question), as well as Verdier duality, preserve the categories of G-monodromic, regular holonomic $\mathscr{D}$- modules.*

(ii) *Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a G-monodromic, regular holonomic $\mathscr{D}$-module on X. Then the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $H^k(X, \mathscr{M})$ is locally finite.*

(iii) *Conversely, let $\mathscr{M}$ be a coherent $\mathscr{D}_X$-module generated by a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$-stable subspace of $\Gamma(X, \mathscr{M})$. Then $\mathscr{M}$ is G-monodormic.*

Part (ii) of the Proposition was also stated (without proof) as Proposition B1.2 in [Gi3].

Proof. The proof of (i) mimics the standard argument [HTT, Theorem 6.1.5] in the non-monodromic case. First of all, Verdier duality doesn't affect the characteristic variety, so it takes G-monodromic modules into G-monodromic modules. Next, given a map $f : X \to Y$, one has the standard diagram, c.f. [HTT, §2.4]:

$$T^*X \xleftarrow{\rho_f} X \times_Y T^*Y \xrightarrow{\omega_f} T^*Y.$$

Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a G-monodromic $\mathscr{D}_X$-module. In the special case where f is a proper morphism we have $f_* = f_!$ and, thanks to a result of Kashiwara, [HTT, Remark 2.4.8], one has $\mathrm{SS}(R^k f_*\mathscr{M}) \subset \omega_f(\rho_f^{-1}(\mathrm{SS}\mathscr{M}))$. This yields the statement of part (i) involving the functors $f_*,\ f_!$. A similar estimate, [HTT, Theorem 2.4.6], yields the statement involving the functors $f^!$ and f^* in the case where f is a smooth morphism.

Next, let f be an *affine* open embedding. Then, all four functors $f_*,\ f_!,\ f^*,\ f^!$ are exact. The statement of part (i) involving pull-back functors is clear. Thus, thanks to duality, it remains to consider the functor f_*. To this end, we put $Z := Y \smallsetminus f(X)$. Since f is assumed to be affine, Z is a G-stable divisor in Y. Then, one shows that there exists a G-equivariant line bundle on Y, a section s of that line bundle, and a character $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ such that $\mu(a)(s) = \chi(a) \cdot s$ holds for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ (i.e. s is a semi-invariant section) and, moreover, set-theoretically one has $Z = s^{-1}(0)$, see [FG2], Lemma 2.2.1. The semi-invariance property implies that for any $t \in \mathbb{C}$, we have the equality $\mu(\mu^{-1}(0) + t \cdot d\log s) = t \cdot \chi$ in $\mathfrak{g}^*$, c.f. [FG2, §2] for a discussion of the relevant geometry.

Now, let $\mathscr{M}$ be a G-monodromic, holonomic $\mathscr{D}_X$-module with regular singularities. Then, using [Gi2, Theorem 6.3] in the first equality below, we find

$$\mathrm{SS}(f_*\mathscr{M}) = \lim_{t\to 0}\, [\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}) + t \cdot d\log s] \ \subset\ \lim_{t\to 0}\, [\mu^{-1}(0) + t \cdot d\log s] \ \subset \lim_{t\to 0}\, \mu^{-1}(t \cdot \chi) = \mu^{-1}(0).$$

We conclude that $f_*\mathscr{M}$ is a G-monodromic $\mathscr{D}_Y$-module.

Finally, let $f : X \to Y$ be an arbitrary G-equivariant morphism. Then, by Sumihiro's Theorem, [CG, Theorem 5.1.25], the variety X has a G-equivariant completion, i.e., there exists a projective G-variety $\bar{X}$ and a G-equivariant open embedding $j : X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$, with dense image. Applying, if necessary, the equivariant version of Hironaka's Resolution of Singularities Theorem, [BM], we may ensure that the variety $\bar{X}$ is smooth and that $\bar{X} \smallsetminus X$ is a divisor in $\bar{X}$. The morphism f factors as a composition

$$X \xrightarrow{\ \ \mathrm{graph}(f)\ \ } X \times Y \xrightarrow{\ \ j\boxtimes \mathrm{id}_Y\ \ } \bar{X} \times Y \xrightarrow{pr_Y} Y\ , \tag{2.1.3}$$

where the first map is a closed embedding via the graph of f.

The statement of part (i) involving direct image functors holds for each of the three maps in (2.1.3), thanks to the special cases considered above. Therefore, it holds for the map f itself. A similar argument yields the statement of part (i) involving pull-back functors provided the statement holds for the first map in (2.1.3). More generally, let $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ be an arbitrary closed embedding and let $\mathscr{N}$ be a G-monodromic, regular holonomic $\mathscr{D}_Y$-module. Put $U := Y \smallsetminus i(X)$; let $j : U \hookrightarrow Y$ be the natural open embedding and let $\mathscr{M} := j^*\mathscr{N}$. Then, there is a canonical exact triangle $i_! i^! \mathscr{N} \to \mathscr{N} \to j_*\mathscr{M}$. We know that both $\mathscr{N}$ and $j_*\mathscr{M}$ are G-monodromic and regular holonomic. It follows that $i^!\mathscr{N}$ is also G-monodromic. This completes the proof of part (i).

To prove (ii), we choose a G-equivariant completion $j : X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$, as above, and let $\mathscr{N} := j_* \mathscr{M}$. Thus, $\mathscr{N}$ is a G-monodromic, regular holonomic $\mathscr{D}$-module. Such a $\mathscr{D}$-module has a canonical good filtration $\mathcal{F}_i \mathscr{N}$ such that the support of the associated graded sheaf is reduced, [KK, Corollary 5.1.11]. We know that the characteristic variety of $\mathscr{N}$ is contained in $\mu^{-1}(0)$, where μ is the moment map for the action of G on $T^*\bar{X}$. This means that if σ_a is the vector field on $\bar{X}$ corresponding to $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ then multiplication by the symbol of σ_a is the zero map $\mathcal{F}_i \mathscr{N} / \mathcal{F}_{i-1} \mathscr{N} \to \mathcal{F}_{i+1} \mathscr{N} / \mathcal{F}_i \mathscr{N}$. Hence the $\mathfrak{g}$-action respects the filtration. Note that, for any k and i, the space $H^k(\bar{X}, \mathcal{F}_i \mathscr{N})$ is finite dimensional, since $\mathcal{F}_i \mathscr{N}$ is a coherent sheaf on $\bar{X}$ and $\bar{X}$ is a projective variety.

Finally, since j is an affine embedding, we get

$$H^k(X, \mathscr{M}) = H^k(\bar{X}, j_* \mathscr{M}) = H^k(\bar{X}, \mathscr{N}) = \varinjlim_i H^k(\bar{X}, \mathcal{F}_i \mathscr{N}).$$

We deduce that the $\mathfrak{g}$-action on $H^k(X, \mathscr{M})$ is locally finite.

(iii) Let $M_0 \subset \Gamma(X, \mathscr{M})$ be a finite dimensional, $\mathfrak{g}$-stable subspace that generates $\mathscr{M}$ as a $\mathscr{D}$-module. If $\mathscr{D}_{X, \leq i}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ denotes the order filtration on $\mathscr{D}_X$, then $\mathcal{F}_i := \mathscr{D}_{X, \leq i} \cdot M_0$ defines a $\mathfrak{g}$-stable, good filtration on $\mathscr{M}$. Since $\mu(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathscr{D}_{X, \leq 1} \smallsetminus \mathscr{D}_{X, \leq 0}$, this implies that $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}) \subseteq \mu^{-1}(0)$ i.e. $\mathscr{M}$ is G-monodromic. ☐

2.2. $(G, \mathbf{q})$**-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-modules.** From now on, we assume that G is a *reductive*, connected group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ be the character lattice of G. Taking the differential of a character at the identity element of the group G yields an embedding of the character lattice into the vector space $(\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}])^*$, of Lie algebra characters. We put $\mathbf{Q}(G) = (\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}])^* / \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$.

Given an element $\chi \in (\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}])^*$, let $\mathcal{O}_G^\chi := \mathscr{D}(G)/\mathscr{D}(G) \cdot \{a - \chi(a),\ a \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. This $\mathscr{D}$-module is a rank one local system on G. It is easy to show that every rank one local system on G is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_G^\chi$, for some $\chi \in (\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}])^*$. Any two local systems $\mathcal{O}_G^\chi$ and $\mathcal{O}_G^\psi$ are isomorphic if and only if the image of χ and ψ in $\mathbf{Q}(G)$ are the same. Therefore, given $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Q}(G)$, the local system $\mathcal{O}_G^{\mathbf{q}}$ is well-defined up to isomorphism.

Next, fix a smooth G-variety X and write $a : G \times X \to X$ for the action map.

Definition 2.2.1. Let $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Q}(G)$. A coherent $\mathscr{D}$-module on X is said to be $(G, \mathbf{q})$*-monodromic* if there is an isomorphism $a^* \mathscr{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_G^{\mathbf{q}} \boxtimes \mathscr{M}$ of $\mathscr{D}$-modules on $G \times X$.

It is clear that for $\mathbf{q} = 1$ we have $\mathcal{O}_G^{\mathbf{q}} = \mathcal{O}_G$. Thus, since we have assumed that G is connected, being $(G, 1)$-monodromic is the same thing as being G-equivariant, c.f. [L2, §1.9]. If G is connected and semisimple then the only linear character of $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\chi = 0$, so any $(G, \mathbf{q})$-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-module is G-equivariant. In general, any $(G, \mathbf{q})$-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-module is a G-equivariant, quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module.

Further, any $(G, \mathbf{q})$-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-module is clearly G-monodromic. An extension of two $(G, \mathbf{q})$-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-modules is a G-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-module which is not necessarily $(G, \mathbf{q})$-monodromic, in general.

Let $(\mathscr{D}_X, G, \mathbf{q})$-mon be the full subcategory of the category $(\mathscr{D}_X, G)$-mon, of G-monodromic $\mathscr{D}_X$-modules, whose objects are $(G, \mathbf{q})$-monodromic $\mathscr{D}_X$-modules. It is clear that $(\mathscr{D}_X, G)$-mon is an abelian category and $(\mathscr{D}_X, G, \mathbf{q})$-mon is an abelian subcategory (which is not, however, a Serre subcategory of $(\mathscr{D}_X, G)$-mon, in general).

The above is complemented by the following result.

Lemma 2.2.2. (i) *Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a simple, holonomic G-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-module with regular singularities. Then, there exists some $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Q}(G)$ such that $\mathscr{M}$ is $(G, \mathbf{q})$-monodromic.*

(ii) *Assume there exists some* $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{Q}(G)$ *such that* $\mathscr{M}$ *is* $(G, \mathbf{q})$*-monodromic and moreover* G *acts on* X *with finitely many orbits. Then* $\mathscr{M}$ *has regular singularities.*

(iii) *Let* $\mathscr{M}$ *be a coherent* $\mathscr{D}$*-module, generated by a* $\mu(\mathfrak{g})$*-semi-invariant global section of weight* $\chi \in (\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}])^*$*. Then* $\mathscr{M}$ *is* $(G, \mathbf{q})$*-monodormic, where* $\mathbf{q}$ *is the image of* χ *in* $\mathbf{Q}(G)$*.*

Proof. (i) We may view $\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathscr{M}$, the support of $\mathscr{M}$, as a closed subvariety of the zero section of the cotangent bundle T^*X (that is, if $\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathscr{M}$ is not dense in $\mathfrak{X}$, then we identify $\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathscr{M}$ with the closure of the conormal to $(\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathscr{M})_{\mathrm{reg}}$ in $T^*\mathfrak{X}$). Thus, $\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathscr{M}$ is an irreducible component of $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})$, a G-stable Lagrangian subvariety in T^*X. Let $\mathrm{SS}'(\mathscr{M})$ be the union of all the irreducible components of $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})$ but $\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathscr{M}$. Thus, $\mathrm{SS}'(\mathscr{M})$ is a G-stable closed subvariety of T^*X. Hence, $U := \mathrm{Supp}\,\mathscr{M} \smallsetminus \mathrm{SS}'(\mathscr{M})$ is a G-stable open subvariety of $\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathscr{M}$. Replacing U by its smooth locus if necessary, we may assume in addition that U is smooth. Let $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ denote the (locally closed) embedding and let $\mathsf{L} = j^!\mathscr{M}$. Applying Kashiwara's theorem, c.f. [HTT, Theorem 1.6.1], we find that the characteristic variety of L equals the zero section of T^*U. It follows, since $\mathscr{M}$ is assumed to have regular singularities, that L is a local system on U. Further, since $\mathscr{M}$ is simple, we deduce that the canonical morphism $\mathscr{M} \to j_*j^!\mathscr{M}$ yields an isomorphism $\mathscr{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} j_{!*}\mathsf{L}$. Moreover, the local system L must be irreducible.

Let $a : G \times U \to U$ be the action map. Since L is simple, $a^*\mathsf{L}$ is a simple local system on $G \times U$ and thus, thanks to the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence and an isomorphism $\pi_1(G \times U) = \pi_1(G) \times \pi_1(U)$, equals $\mathsf{L}_1 \boxtimes \mathsf{L}_2$ for some simple local systems L_1 on G and L_2 on U. If $i : U \to G \times U$, $x \mapsto (e, x)$, then $i \circ a = \mathrm{Id}_U$ implies that $\mathsf{L}_2 \simeq i^*(\mathsf{L}_1 \boxtimes \mathsf{L}_2) = \mathsf{L}$ (here we have used the fact that the rank of L_1 is one). Hence, by the functorality of minimal extensions, $a^*\mathscr{M} \simeq \mathsf{L}_1 \boxtimes \mathscr{M}$, and (i) follows. Part (ii) is [FG2, Lemma 2.5.1].

(iii) Let $u \in \Gamma(X, \mathscr{M})$ be a semi-invariant section of weight $\chi \in (\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}])^*$ that generates $\mathscr{M}$. Let $\mu_X : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathscr{D}_X$ be the differential of the action map $a : G \times X \to X$ and $\mu_G : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathscr{D}_G$ the embedding as *right invariant* vector fields (i.e. the map obtained by differentiating the action of left multiplication by G on itself). Then a is G-equivariant, where G acts on $G \times X$ by acting on G by left multiplication and acts trivially on X. This implies that

$$\mu_G(A) \otimes m = 1 \otimes \mu_X(A) \cdot m \in \mathscr{D}_{G \times X \to X} \otimes_{a^{-1}\mathscr{D}_X} a^{-1}\mathscr{M} \quad \forall\, A \in \mathfrak{g},\ m \in \mathscr{M}.$$

Hence, there is a nonzero homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{O}^\chi \boxtimes \mathscr{M} \to a^*\mathscr{M}$, given by $\mathbf{1}_G \boxtimes u \mapsto 1 \otimes u$. This is surjective since $a^*\mathscr{M}$ is generated by $1 \otimes u$. Therefore, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathscr{K} \to \mathcal{O}_G^\chi \boxtimes \mathscr{M} \xrightarrow{\phi} a^*\mathscr{M} \to 0.$$

For each $g \in G$, let $j_g : X \hookrightarrow G \times X$ be given by $j_g(x) = (g, x)$. The module $\mathcal{O}_G^\chi \boxtimes \mathscr{M}$ is non-characteristic for j_g, hence so too are $\mathscr{K}$ and $a^*\mathscr{M}$. Thus, the sequence

$$0 \to j_g^*\mathscr{K} \to \mathscr{M} \xrightarrow{j_g^*(\phi)} j_g^*a^*\mathscr{M} \to 0$$

is exact. Since $j_g^*(\phi) = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{M}}$, we have $j_g^*\mathscr{K} = 0$. The fact that $\mathscr{K}$ is non-characteristic for j_g and $j_g^*\mathscr{K} = 0$ for all $g \in G$ implies that $\mathscr{K} = 0$. ☐

The special case $G = GL(V)$ will be most important for us. In that case, we have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$ so the vector space $(\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}])^*$ is one dimensional with Tr, the trace function, being the natural base element. Similarly, the character lattice $\mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ is, in this case, a free abelian group with generator $\det$, the determinant character. The above mentioned canonical embedding $\mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^\times) \hookrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}])^*$ sends $\det$ to Tr. Thus, we have $\mathbf{Q}(G) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$. Explicit, $\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}])^*$ by $c \mapsto c\,\mathrm{Tr}$, and hence

$$\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}])^* \to \mathbf{Q}(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad c \mapsto q := \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}c). \tag{2.2.3}$$

2.3. Fix $T \subset B \subset G$, a maximal torus contained inside a Borel subgroup of G, and let U be the unipotent radical of B. Write $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{u}$, for the corresponding Lie algebras. The group T acts freely on the affine base space G/U by multiplication on the right, and $\mathcal{B} := G/B = (G/U)/T$ is the flag manifold. Let $T_\Delta \subset T \times T$ be the diagonal and $\mathbb{T} := (T \times T)/T_\Delta$. Let $\mathscr{Y} = (G/U \times G/U)/T_\Delta$, the horocycle space, be the quotient of $G/U \times G/U$ by the T-diagonal action on the right. Thus, the $(T \times T)$-action on $G/U \times G/U$ on the right descends to a free $\mathbb{T}$-action on $\mathscr{Y}$.

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} & G \times \mathcal{B} \arrow[dl, "\mathsf{p}"'] \arrow[dr, "\mathsf{q}"] & \\ G & & \mathscr{Y}, \end{tikzcd}$$

(2.3.1)

where p is projection along $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathsf{q}(g, F) = (F, g(F))$.

Given a holonomic $\mathscr{D}$-module $\mathscr{M}$ on G and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathscr{H}^i(\mathsf{q}_!\mathsf{p}^*\mathscr{M})$ denote the i-th cohomology $\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{Y}}$-module of the complex $\mathsf{q}_!\mathsf{p}^*\mathscr{M}$.

Lemma 2.3.2. *Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a holonomic $\mathscr{D}$-module on G. Then, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has*

(i) *If $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}) \subseteq G \times \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathscr{M}$ has regular singularities, then $\mathscr{H}^i(\mathsf{q}_!\mathsf{p}^*\mathscr{M})$ is a $\mathbb{T}$-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-module.*

(ii) *Let H be a subgroup of G and let $\mathscr{M}$ be H-monodromic with respect to the adjoint action of H on G. If $\mathscr{M}$ has regular singularities, then $\mathscr{H}^i(\mathsf{q}_!\mathsf{p}^*\mathscr{M})$ is an H-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-module with respect to the H-diagonal action on $\mathscr{Y}$ on the left.*

(iii) *If the $\mathfrak{Z}$-action on $\Gamma(G, \mathscr{M})$ is locally finite then, for any T-stable open subset $U \subset \mathscr{Y}$, the $\mathcal{U}(\operatorname{Lie}\mathbb{T})$-action on $\Gamma(U, \mathscr{H}^i(\mathsf{q}_!\mathsf{p}^*\mathscr{M}))$ is locally finite.*

Proof. (i) Since p is smooth, the characteristic variety of $\mathsf{p}^*\mathscr{M}$ will be contained in $G \times \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{B} \times \{0\}$. The map q is also smooth and the fiber of q over (h_1U, h_2U) can be identified with $h_2Uh_1^{-1}$. Moreover, one can show that q makes $G \times \mathcal{B}$ a locally trivial (in the Zariski topology) fiber bundle over $\mathscr{Y}$. Therefore the result [MV, Proposition B.2], based on [Gi1, Theorem 3.2], shows that

$$\mathrm{SS}(\mathsf{q}_!\mathsf{p}^*\mathscr{M}) \subseteq \overline{\omega_{\mathsf{q}}(\rho_{\mathsf{q}}^{-1}(G \times \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{B} \times \{0\}))}. \tag{2.3.3}$$

We identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with left invariant vector fields on G. Then $T^*(G/U)$ is naturally identified with $G \times_U \mathfrak{b}$. The differential of q is given by

$$(d_{(g,hU)}\mathsf{q})(A, B) = (B, B - \mathrm{Ad}_h(A)), \quad \forall\, A \in \mathfrak{g},\ B \in \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}.$$

The moment map for the right $T \times T$-action on $T^*_{(hU,h'U)}(G/U \times G/U)$ is the map

$$(G \times_U \mathfrak{b}) \times (G \times_U \mathfrak{b}) \to \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{t}, \quad (g_1, X, g_2, Y) \mapsto (X \bmod \mathfrak{u}, Y \bmod \mathfrak{u}).$$

Therefore, if $(X, Y) \in T^*_{(g_1U, g_2U)}\mathscr{Y}$ then $(X + Y) \equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{u}$. We have

$$\rho_{\mathsf{q}}((g, hU), (X, Y)) = (g, -\mathrm{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X), hU, X + Y)$$

Hence, if (hU, X, ghU, Y) is contained in the right-hand side of (2.3.3), then $-\mathrm{Ad}_{h^{-1}}(X) \in \mathcal{N}$ implies that X is nilpotent. But then $(X + Y) \equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{u}$ implies that Y is nilpotent too. This implies that $(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{u} \oplus \mathfrak{u} = \mu_{T\times T}^{-1}(0)_{(hU,ghU)}$. Thus, since $\mu_{T\times T}^{-1}(0)$ is closed in $T^*\mathscr{Y}$, the right-hand side of (2.3.3) is contained in $\mu_{T\times T}^{-1}(0)$. Part (i) follows.

(ii) Define a G-action on $G \times \mathcal{B}$ by $h : (g_1, g_2B) \mapsto (hg_1h^{-1}, hg_2B)$. With respect to this action, each of the maps p and q is G-equivariant. In particular, these maps are H-equivariant, for any subgroup $H \subset G$. Therefore, part (ii) follows from Proposition 2.1.2(i).

(iii) For $U = \mathscr{Y}$, this is stated in [Gi3, Proposition 8.7.1] and proved in [Gi4, page 158]. The proof given in *loc. cit.* works in the more general case of an arbitrary T-stable open subset $U \subset \mathscr{Y}$ as well. $\square$

2.4. Given an action of the abelian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$ on a vector space E and an element $\theta \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, let $E^{(\theta)}$ denote the corresponding generalized weight space - the subspace of E formed by all elements annihilated by a sufficiently high power of the maximal ideal $\operatorname{Ker}\theta$ of the algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t})$. Similarly, given an action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}\oplus\mathfrak{t}$ on E, and an element $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \mathfrak{t}^* \oplus \mathfrak{t}^*$, we write $E^{(\theta_1,\theta_2)}$ for the corresponding generalized weight space.

The projection $\gamma : G/U \to (G/U)/T = G/B$, by the T-action on the right, is a principal T-bundle, in particular, it is an affine morphism. Let $\mathfrak{t} \to \mathscr{D}(G/U)$ be the Lie algebra embedding induced by the T-action. Let $\rho \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ be the half-sum of positive roots.

Later on, we will use the following consequence of the Localization Theorem of Beilinson and Bernstein.

Theorem 2.4.1. *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be an object of the bounded derived category of coherent $\mathscr{D}$-modules on G/U such that, for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the $\mathfrak{t}$-action on the sheaf $\gamma_\bullet\mathscr{H}^j(\mathcal{K})$ is locally finite. Let $\theta \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ be such that $(\gamma_\bullet\mathscr{H}^k(\mathcal{K}))^{(\theta)} \neq 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $\nu \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ be such that $\nu - \theta \in \operatorname{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^*)$ and $\nu + \rho$ is a regular element in $\mathfrak{t}^*$. Then, one has*

(i) *There exists $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $R^\ell\Gamma(G/U, \mathcal{K})^{(\nu)} \neq 0$.*

(ii) *Assume in addition that the object $\mathcal{K}$ is concentrated in degree zero, i.e. is a $\mathscr{D}$-module, and the weight $\nu + \rho \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ is dominant. Then, one has $\Gamma(G/U, \mathcal{K})^{(\nu)} \neq 0$.*

Here, part (ii) follows from [BB1] and part (i) is a reformulation of a result from [BB2].

2.5. From now on, we use the setup of §1.2, see Convention 1.2.1. Thus, $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ and $G = GL(V)$. The group G acts on $\mathrm{SL} = SL(V)$ by conjugation and acts naturally on V. We equip the space $\mathfrak{X} := \mathrm{SL} \times V$ with the G-diagonal action.

Let $\sigma :\ \mathrm{SL} \times V \to \mathrm{SL} \times V$ be the map given by the assignment $(g, v) \mapsto (g, g(v))$. It is immediate to check that σ is a G-equivariant automorphism of $\mathfrak{X}$.

Lemma 2.5.1. *The pull-back functors $\mathscr{M} \mapsto \sigma^*\mathscr{M}, \sigma^!\mathscr{M}$ and the push-forward functors $\mathscr{M} \mapsto \sigma_!\mathscr{M}, \sigma_*\mathscr{M}$ give auto-equivalences of the category $\mathscr{C}$.*

Proof. Let $d^*\sigma$ be the automorphism of $T^*\mathfrak{X}$ induced by σ. In both cases, proving the claim amounts to showing that $d^*\sigma(\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}) \subset \mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}$. The map σ being G-equivariant, it follows, on general grounds, that one has $d^*\sigma(\mu_{\mathfrak{X}}^{-1}(0)) \subset \mu_{\mathfrak{X}}^{-1}(0)$. It remains to show that if $(g, Y, i, j) \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}} \subset T^*\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{SL} \times \mathfrak{sl} \times V \times V^*$ and $d^*\sigma(g, Y, i, j) = (g', Y', i', j')$, then Y' is a nilpotent matrix. To this end, one first calculates that $d_{(g,i)}\sigma(X, v) = (X, gX(i) + g(v))$. Hence the map $d^*\sigma$ is given by the following explicit formula

$$d^*\sigma :\ (g,\, Y,\, i,\, j) \mapsto (g,\, Y - i \otimes j,\, g(i),\, g^*(j)).$$

Hence, for $(g, Y, i, j) \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}$, from the equation in the right hand side of (1.2.2), we deduce that $Y - i \otimes j = -gYg^{-1}$. Thus, $Y \in \mathcal{N}$ implies that $Y - i \otimes j \in \mathcal{N}$, and we are done. $\square$

It will be convenient for the proof of Theorem 1.2.4 to consider the group $\mathbf{G} := \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathrm{SL}$. We make $\mathfrak{X}$ into a $\mathbf{G}$-variety by letting the group SL act through its embedding into G and letting $\mathbb{C}^\times$ act on $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{SL} \times V$ via the natural action by dilations on the second factor. It is clear that a $\mathscr{D}(\mathfrak{X})$-module is G-monodromic iff it is $\mathbf{G}$-monodromic. The latter condition is also equivalent to being SL-equivariant and $\mathbb{C}^\times$-monodromic. Further, let $\mathrm{pr} :\ \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$ denote the projection map. We have an identification $\mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathfrak{X} = \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathrm{SL} \times V = \mathbf{G} \times V$.

Replacing $\mathscr{M}$ by $\mathrm{pr}^*\mathscr{M}$, $\mathfrak{X}$ by $\mathbf{G}\times V$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{G} := \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{G})$, it suffices to prove the analogue of Theorem 1.2.4 in this setting.

We let the group SL act diagonally on the variety $\mathscr{Y}\times V$, resp. on the variety $\mathrm{SL}\times(\mathrm{SL}/U)\times V$. We let $\mathbb{C}^\times$ act on $\mathscr{Y}\times V$, resp. on $\mathrm{SL}\times(\mathrm{SL}/U)\times V$, via its action on V, the last factor. Thus, we obtain a $\mathbf{G}$-action on $\mathscr{Y}\times V$, resp. on $\mathrm{SL}\times(\mathrm{SL}/U)\times V$. Further, let the torus $\mathbb{T}$ act on $\mathscr{Y}\times V$ through its action on $\mathscr{Y}$, the first factor. The actions of $\mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbb{T}$ commute, making $\mathscr{Y}\times V$ a $\mathbf{G}\times\mathbb{T}$-variety. It will be crucial for us that the number of $\mathbf{G}\times\mathbb{T}$-orbits on $\mathscr{Y}\times V$ is known to be finite, [MWZ].

Our arguments below involve the following analogue of diagram (2.3.1):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathbf{G}\times\mathcal{B}\times V \arrow[dl, "p"'] \arrow[dr, "q"] & \\
\mathbf{G}\times V & & \mathscr{Y}\times V
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.5.2}$$

where p is projection along $\mathcal{B}$ and $q(\lambda, g, F, v) = (F, g(F), v)$ for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}^\times$, $g\in\mathrm{SL}$, $F\in\mathrm{SL}/U$, and $v\in V$. Each of the maps p and q is easily seen to be $\mathbf{G}$-equivariant.

Fix $\mathscr{M}$, a $\mathscr{D}$-module on $\mathbf{G}\times V$ with regular singularities such that $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})\subseteq\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(\mathbf{G})$. It is $\mathbf{G}$-monodromic and holonomic. Put $M := q_!p^*\mathscr{M}$ and, for each integer k, let $\mathscr{H}^k(M)$ denote the k-th cohomology $\mathscr{D}$-module of M. The characteristic variety of $p^*\mathscr{M}$ is contained in $\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(\mathbf{G})\times T^*_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{B}$. Therefore it follows from Lemma 2.3.2(i) that $\mathscr{H}^k(M)$ is a $\mathbb{T}$-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-module on $\mathscr{Y}\times V$. Furthermore, the maps p and q being $\mathbf{G}$-equivariant, part (ii) of Lemma 2.3.2 ensures that $\mathscr{H}^k(M)$ is a $\mathbf{G}$-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-module.

Remark 2.5.3. The following diagram, similar to (2.5.2), has been considered in [FG2, §4.3]:

$$\mathrm{SL}\times\mathbb{P}(V) \xleftarrow{\ \widetilde{p}\ } \mathrm{SL}\times\mathcal{B}\times\mathbb{P}(V) \xrightarrow{\ \widetilde{q}\ } \mathscr{Y}\times\mathbb{P}(V),$$

Here, the map $\widetilde{p}$ is the projection along $\mathcal{B}$ and the map $\widetilde{q}$ is given by the formula $\widetilde{q}(g,F,v) = (F, g(F), g(v))$. Let $\widehat{q}:\mathbf{G}\times\mathcal{B}\times V\to\mathscr{Y}\times V$ be similarly defined by $\widehat{q}(g,F,v) = (F,g(F),g(v))$. The relation between these two settings is provided, essentially, by the automorphism σ of Lemma 2.5.1. Specifically, write $\varpi:\ V\smallsetminus\{0\}\to\mathbb{P}(V)$ for the canonical projection and $\bar{q}:\ \mathrm{SL}\times\mathcal{B}\times(V\smallsetminus\{0\})\to\mathscr{Y}\times(V\smallsetminus\{0\})$ for the map induced by the map q in diagram (2.5.2). Then, one clearly has:

$$\widehat{q} = q\circ(\sigma\times\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{B}})\quad\text{and}\quad\widetilde{q}\circ(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{SL}\times\mathcal{B}}\times\varpi) = (\mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{Y}}\times\varpi)\circ\bar{q}\circ(\sigma\times\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{B}}).$$

In *loc. cit.* the pair of adjoint functors (between the appropriate derived categories) $\mathsf{hc}(-) = \widetilde{q}_!\circ\widetilde{p}^*(-)[\dim\mathcal{B}]$ and $\mathsf{ch}(-) = \widetilde{p}_*\circ\widetilde{q}^!(-)[-\dim\mathcal{B}]$ were studied. Analogously, we define the functors $\mathrm{hc}(-) = q_!\circ p^*(-)[\dim\mathcal{B}]$ and $\mathrm{ch}(-) = p_*q^!\circ(-)[-\dim\mathcal{B}]$. Then,

$$\mathrm{hc} = q_!\circ p^*\circ\sigma_!(-)[\dim\mathcal{B}],\quad\mathrm{ch} = \sigma^!\circ p_*\circ q^!(-)[-\dim\mathcal{B}].$$

Moreover, the results of §7.2 imply that $\mathsf{hc}\circ F_c = \bar{F}_c\circ\mathrm{hc}$ and $\mathsf{ch}\circ F_c = \bar{F}_c\circ\mathrm{ch}$, where $\bar{F}_c:\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{Y}\times V}\text{-}\mathsf{mod}\to\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{Y}\times\mathbb{P}(V)}\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$ is defined by $\bar{F}_c(\mathscr{M}) = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathsf{eu}_V - c; (\mathrm{Id}_{\mathscr{Y}}\times\varpi)_\bullet j^*\mathscr{M})$ and j is the inclusion $\mathscr{Y}\times(V\smallsetminus\{0\})\hookrightarrow\mathscr{Y}\times V$.

2.6. **Proof of the implication (i) ⇒ (ii) of Theorem 1.2.4.** Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a G-monodromic, holonomic $\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-module with regular singularities. Proposition 2.1.2 implies that the action of $\mu(\mathfrak{G})$ on $\Gamma(\mathbf{G}\times V,\mathscr{M})$ is locally finite.

Thus, we must show that the $\mathfrak{Z}$-action on $\Gamma(\mathbf{G}\times V,\mathscr{M})$ is also locally finite. To this end, we will need to apply the results of section 2.4 in a slightly different setting. In more detail, recall the notation $\mathscr{Y} = (\mathrm{SL}/U\ \times\ \mathrm{SL}/U)/T_\Delta$. We consider the following diagram:

$$Y := \mathrm{SL}/U \times \mathrm{SL}/U \times V \ \xrightarrow{\varpi}\ \mathscr{Y} \times V \ \xrightarrow{\pi}\ \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \times V, \tag{2.6.1}$$

where the first map is a torsor of the group T_Δ and the second map is a torsor of the group $(T_n \times T_n)/T_\Delta$. We put $\mathscr{N} = \varpi^*(q_! p^* \mathscr{M})$ and write $\mathscr{N}^j := \mathscr{H}^j(\mathscr{N})$ for the j-th cohomology $\mathscr{D}$-module of $\mathscr{N}$, an object of some derived category.

The group $T_n \times T_n$ acts along the fibers of the map $\pi \circ \varpi$. We claim first that the induced action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}_n \oplus \mathfrak{t}_n$ on $(\pi\circ\varpi)_\bullet \mathscr{N}^j$, is locally finite, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. To prove this, let $\mathscr{V} \subset \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \times V$ be an open subset. The morphism $\pi\circ\varpi$ being affine, we have $\Gamma((\pi\circ\varpi)^{-1}(\mathscr{V}),\ \mathscr{N}^j) = \Gamma(\mathscr{V}, (\pi\circ\varpi)_\bullet \mathscr{N}^j)$. Observe that $\mathscr{N}^j$ is a $T_n \times T_n$-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-module, by Proposition 2.1.2(i). Therefore, part (ii) of the same proposition implies that the $(\mathfrak{t}_n \oplus \mathfrak{t}_n)$-action on the space $\Gamma((\pi\circ\varpi)^{-1}(\mathscr{V}),\ \mathscr{N}^j)$ is locally finite. Hence, the $(\mathfrak{t}_n \oplus \mathfrak{t}_n)$-action on $\Gamma(\mathscr{V}, (\pi\circ\varpi)_\bullet \mathscr{N}^j)$ is also locally finite, and our claim follows.

We conclude that there exists an integer $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an element $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \mathfrak{t}_n^* \oplus \mathfrak{t}_n^*$ such that we have $((\pi\circ\varpi)_\bullet \mathscr{N}^j)^{(\theta_1,\theta_2)} \neq 0$. Observe that, the morphism ϑ being smooth and affine, the projection formula yields $\varpi_\bullet \mathscr{N} = \varpi_\bullet \varpi^*(q_! p^* \mathscr{M}) = \varpi_\bullet \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes q_! p^* \mathscr{M}$, where the tensor product is taken over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{Y}\times V}$. It follows, that the action on $(\pi\circ\varpi)_\bullet \mathscr{N}^j$ of the Lie subalgebra $\operatorname{Lie} T_\Delta \subset \mathfrak{t}_n \oplus \mathfrak{t}_n$ can be exponentiated to an action of the torus T_Δ. Therefore, we must have $\theta_1 + \theta_2 \in \operatorname{Hom}(T_n, \mathbb{C}^*)$. This implies that there exists $\theta \in \mathfrak{t}_n^*$ such that $\theta_1 - \theta,\ \theta_2 + \theta + 2\rho \in \operatorname{Hom}(T_n, \mathbb{C}^*)$ and, moreover, the weights $\theta + \rho$ and $-(\theta + 2\rho) + \rho$ are both regular.

Next, we are going to apply the results of section 2.4 in a slightly different setting, where the variety G/U is replaced by Y, resp. $\mathcal{B}$ is replaced by $\mathcal{B}\times\mathcal{B}\times V$, and the map γ is replaced by the map $\pi\circ\varpi$. Theorem 2.4.1 has an obvious analogue in this setting. Furthermore, we have shown that the object $\mathscr{N}$ satisfies the assumptions of that analogue of Theorem 2.4.1. Thus, applying the corresponding version of part (i) of the theorem, we deduce that there exists an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that one has $R^k\Gamma(Y, \mathscr{N})^{(\theta, -\theta-2\rho)} \neq 0$.

Fix θ as above and let $\lambda = \lambda(\theta)$ be the image of θ in $\mathfrak{t}_n^*/W$. We may (and will) identify $\lambda(\theta)$ with a point in $\operatorname{Spec} \mathfrak{z}$ via the Harish-Chandra isomorphism. Then, according to [FG2, formula (4.5.2)], which is based on [HK2, Theorem 1], one has a canonical isomorphism

$$[R^k\Gamma(Y,\ \mathscr{N})]^{(\theta,-\theta-2\rho)}\ \cong\ [R^k\Gamma(\mathbf{G}\times V, \mathscr{M})]^{(\lambda(\theta))}. \tag{2.6.2}$$

We know, by our choice of θ, that the left hand side of this formula is nonzero. On the other hand, the variety $\mathbf{G} \times V$ being affine, the right hand side of (2.6.2) vanishes for any $k \neq 0$. Thus, we conclude that k must be equal to zero and, then, we get $[\Gamma(\mathbf{G}\times V, \mathscr{M})]^{(\lambda(\theta))} \neq 0$.

To complete the proof of the implication (i) ⇒ (ii), it suffices to consider the case where $\mathscr{M}$ is a nonzero simple $\mathscr{D}$-module. Assuming this, we will use the above to prove that the action of $\mathfrak{z} \subset \mathscr{D}(\mathbf{G}\times V)$ on $\Gamma(\mathbf{G}\times V, \mathscr{M})$ is locally finite. Recall that $\mathfrak{G} = \operatorname{Lie}\mathbf{G}$ and identify $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{G})$ with the algebra of left-invariant differential operators on $\mathbf{G}$. Then, one has a $\mathfrak{G}$-module isomorphism $\mathscr{D}(\mathbf{G}) = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{G}] \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{G})$. Therefore, we get a $\mathfrak{G}$-module isomorphism $\mathscr{D}(\mathbf{G}\times V) = \mathscr{D}(\mathbf{G}) \otimes \mathscr{D}(V) = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{G}] \otimes (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{G}) \otimes \mathscr{D}(V))$, where the $\mathfrak{G}$-action on the tensor factor $\mathscr{D}(V)$ is taken to be the trivial action. Thus, since $\mathscr{M}$ is simple, for any nonzero element $m \in \Gamma(\mathbf{G}\times V, \mathscr{M})$ we have

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{G}\times V, \mathscr{M}) = \mathscr{D}(\mathbf{G}\times V)\cdot m = \big[\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{G}] \otimes (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{G}) \otimes \mathscr{D}(V))\big]\cdot m.$$

We let $E := (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{G}) \otimes \mathscr{D}(V))\cdot m$, which is a $\mathfrak{G}$-stable subspace. We conclude that $\Gamma(\mathbf{G}\times V, \mathscr{M})$ is isomorphic, as a $\mathfrak{G}$-module, to a quotient of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{G}] \otimes E$.

We now use the fact that there exists $\lambda \in \operatorname{Spec}\mathfrak{z}$ such that $\Gamma(\mathbf{G}\times V, \mathscr{M})^{(\lambda)} \neq 0$. Thus, we may (and will) choose our nonzero element m so that $(\mathfrak{z}_\lambda)^k m = 0$, for some $k > 0$. Then, since $\mathfrak{z}\otimes 1$ is a central subalgebra of the algebra $U(\mathfrak{G}) \otimes \mathscr{D}(V)$, we deduce that the ideal $(\mathfrak{z}_\lambda)^k$

annihilates the $\mathfrak{G}$-module E. On the other hand, the $\mathfrak{G}$-action on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{G}]$ is clearly locally finite. It follows, by a result due to Kostant [Ko], that the $\mathfrak{Z}$-action on $\Gamma(\mathbf{G}\times V,\mathscr{M})=\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{G}]\otimes E$ is locally finite.

2.7. **Proof of the implication (ii) ⇒ (i) of Theorem 1.2.4.** Fix $\mathscr{M}$ such that both the $\mathfrak{Z}$-action and the $\mu(\mathfrak{G})$-action on $\Gamma(\mathbf{G}\times V,\mathscr{M})$ are locally finite. This implies that $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})\subset\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(\mathbf{G})$ and hence $\mathscr{M}$ is a holonomic $\mathscr{D}$-module. Moreover, it is $\mathbf{G}$-monodromic by the last statement of Proposition 2.1.2(ii). Therefore, it remains to show that $\mathscr{M}$ has regular singularities. By [FG2, Proposition 4.3.2(ii)], it suffices to show that $q_!p^*\mathscr{M}$ has regular singularities. This amounts to proving that, for any $j\in\mathbb{Z}$, the $\mathscr{D}_Y$-module $\mathscr{N}^j=\mathscr{H}^j(\varpi^*q_!p^*\mathscr{M})$ has regular singularities, c.f. (2.6.1).

To this end, we put $Y:=\mathrm{SL}/U\times\mathrm{SL}/U\times V$. We equip Y with the SL-diagonal action "on the left" and with a $(T_n\times T_n)$-action induced by the $(T_n\times T_n)$-action on $\mathrm{SL}/U\times\mathrm{SL}/U$ on the right. Also, put $\mathfrak{T}:=(\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathbb{C}^\times)\oplus\mathfrak{t}\oplus\mathfrak{t}$.

Claim 2.7.1. For any $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, the $\mathscr{D}$-module $\mathscr{N}^k$ is SL-equivariant. Moreover, the $\mathfrak{T}$-action on $(\pi\circ\varpi)_{\bullet}\mathscr{N}^k$ is locally finite.

Proof. Observe first that the group SL is simply connected. Hence the locally finite $\mathfrak{sl}$-action on $\Gamma(\mathbf{G}\times V,\ \mathscr{M})$ can be exponentiated to an algebraic SL-action. It follows that $\mathscr{M}$ is an SL-equivariant $\mathscr{D}$-module. This implies, by functoriality, that $\mathscr{H}^k(\varpi^*q_!p^*\mathscr{M})$ is a an SL-equivariant, $\mathscr{D}$-module.

The second statement of the claim follows from Lemma 2.3.2(iii). □

The proof of the implication (ii) ⇒ (i) is now completed by the following claim.

Claim 2.7.2. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be an SL-equivariant, holonomic $\mathscr{D}$-module on Y such that the $\mathfrak{T}$-action on $(\pi\circ\varpi)_{\bullet}\mathscr{H}$ is locally finite. Then, $\mathscr{H}$ has regular singularities.

Proof. Using that any holonomic module has finite length and that the direct image functor $(\pi\circ\varpi)_{\bullet}$ is exact on the category $\mathscr{D}$-modules, one reduces the claim to the special case of simple $\mathscr{D}$-modules. Hence, we will assume (as we may) that $\mathscr{H}$ is simple. Thus $\mathscr{H}$ is a holonomic, SL-equivariant simple $\mathscr{D}_Y$-module such that the action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{T}$ on $(\pi\circ\varpi)_{\bullet}\mathscr{H}$ is locally finite. Therefore, applying an appropriate version of the Beilinson-Bernstein Theorem 2.4.1(ii), we deduce that there exists $\Theta\in\mathfrak{T}^*$ such that $\Gamma(Y,\mathscr{H})^{(\Theta)}\neq 0$. It follows that one can find a nonzero element $u\in\Gamma(Y,\mathscr{H})$ such that one has $a(u)=\Theta(a)\cdot u$ for all $a\in\mathfrak{T}^*$. The element u generates $\mathscr{H}$ since $\mathscr{H}$ is a simple module. Therefore we may apply Lemma 2.2.2(iii) and conclude that $\mathscr{H}$ is $(\mathbb{C}^\times\times T_n\times T_n,\mathbf{q})$-monodromic where $\mathbf{q}$ is the image of Θ.

Next, we may extend Θ to a linear function on $(\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathbf{G})\times\mathfrak{t}_n\times\mathfrak{t}_n$ that vanishes on the subalgebra $\mathfrak{sl}\subset\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathbf{G}$. Abusing notation, we write $\mathbf{q}$ for the analogue of the corresponding element for the group $\mathbf{G}\times T_n\times T_n$. Combining the SL-equivariant and $(\mathbb{C}^\times\times T_n\times T_n,\mathbf{q})$-monodromic structures on $\mathscr{H}$ together makes $\mathscr{H}$ a $(\mathbf{G}\times T_n\times T_n,\mathbf{q})$-monodromic $\mathscr{D}_Y$-module. The number of $\mathbf{G}\times T_n\times T_n$-orbits on Y being finite, we conclude that $\mathscr{H}$ has regular singularities, thanks to Lemma 2.2.2(ii). □

Corollary 2.7.3. *The mirabolic Harish-Chandra $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}$ is a mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-module.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.2.4, it suffices to show that the action of $\mathfrak{Z}$ and $\mu(\mathfrak{g})$ on $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X},\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c})$ are both locally finite. The adjoint action of $\mu(\mathfrak{g})$ on $\mathscr{D}(\mathfrak{X})$ is locally finite, therefore it is clear that $\mu(\mathfrak{g})$ acts locally finitely on $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X},\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c})$.

To show that the action of $\mathfrak{Z}$ is locally finite on $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X},\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c})$, we let $\mathbf{m}$ be the canonical generator of $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}$. By definition, $\mathfrak{Z}_\lambda\cdot\mathbf{m}=0$. Now one just repeats the argument in the proof of the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) of Theorem 1.2.4, section 2.6, based on Kostant's result [Ko] (with the section m replaced by $\mathbf{m}$). $\square$

2.8. **Spectral decomposition.** Theorem 1.2.4 implies, as in [Gi3] and [FG2], that there is a *spectral decomposition* of $\mathscr{C}$. Let $P_0 \subset \mathfrak{t}_n^*$ be the root lattice of T_n (thought of as the abstract torus associated with SL). We write $W_{\mathrm{aff}} = P_0 \rtimes W$ for the affine Weyl group. The weights of $\mathscr{D}(\mathfrak{X})$ under the adjoint action of $\mu(\mathfrak{t}_n)$ are contained in P_0. Let $\mathscr{M} \in \mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{M} = \oplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_n^*/W} \mathscr{M}^{(\lambda)}$ the decomposition of $\mathscr{M}$ into generalized eigenspaces with respect to the action of $\mathfrak{Z}$.

For any $\Theta \in \mathfrak{t}_n^*/W_{\mathrm{aff}}$ we put $\mathscr{M}\langle\Theta\rangle := \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Theta} \mathscr{M}^{(\lambda)}$. This is a $\mathcal{D}$-submodule of $\mathscr{M}$. Let $\mathscr{C}_q\langle\Theta\rangle$ be the full subcategory of the category $\mathscr{C}_q$ formed by the mirabolic modules $\mathscr{M}$ such that one has $\mathscr{M}\langle\Theta\rangle = \mathscr{M}$.

Remark 2.8.1. Note that $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}$, the mirabolic Harish-Chandra $\mathcal{D}$-module, is an object of category $\mathscr{C}_q\langle\Theta\rangle$, where Θ is the image of λ in $\mathfrak{t}_n^*/W_{\mathrm{aff}}$ and $q = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}c)$.

One has the following simple result whose proof is left to the reader.

Proposition 2.8.2. *We have* $\mathscr{C}_q = \oplus_{\Theta\in\mathfrak{t}_n^*/W_{\mathrm{aff}}} \mathscr{C}_q\langle\Theta\rangle$*, i.e. any object* $\mathscr{M} \in \mathscr{C}_q$ *has a canonical* $\mathcal{D}$*-module direct sum decomposition*

$$\mathscr{M} = \bigoplus_{\Theta\in\mathfrak{t}_n^*/W_{\mathrm{aff}}} \mathscr{M}\langle\Theta\rangle, \qquad \mathscr{M}\langle\Theta\rangle \in \mathscr{C}_q\langle\Theta\rangle.$$

Moreover, for any pair $\Theta, \Theta' \in \mathfrak{t}_n^*/W_{\mathrm{aff}}$ *such that* $\Theta \neq \Theta'$*, and any mirabolic modules* $\mathscr{M} \in \mathscr{C}_q\langle\Theta\rangle$ *and* $\mathscr{M}' \in \mathscr{C}_q\langle\Theta'\rangle$*, one has* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{M}') = 0$.

Remark 2.8.3. Using arguments analogous to those in [Gi3, Remark 1.3.3], the last statement of the above proposition may be strengthened by showing that, with the same assumptions as above, one has $\mathrm{Ext}^k_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{M}') = 0$ for all $k \geq 0$.

3. A STRATIFICATION

3.1. The following notation will be fixed, without further mention, *throughout* the article. We write $Z(H)$ for the center of a group H. Let $z_1, z_2 \in \mathrm{SL}$ be semi-simple elements. Then $L_n = Z_{\mathrm{SL}}(z_1), M_n = Z_{\mathrm{SL}}(z_2)$ and $L = Z_G(z_1), M = Z_G(z_2)$ denote Levi subgroups of SL and G respectively, with corresponding Lie algebras $\mathfrak{l}_n, \mathfrak{m}_n, \mathfrak{l}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$. The derived subgroups of L_n and M_n are denoted $[L, L]$ and $[M, M]$. By T_n we mean a maximal torus in SL and T will denote the corresponding torus in G. The symmetric group on n letters is denoted W.

Given $g \in M_n$, write $Z_{\mathrm{SL}}(g_s)$ for the centralizer in SL of the semi-simple part g_s, of the element g (note that $Z_{\mathrm{SL}}(g_s)$ is connected). Let M_n° denote the Zariski open subset of M_n formed by the elements $g \in M_n$, such that the group $Z_{M_n}(g_s)$ is contained in M_n. Let $Z(M_n)^\circ = M_n^\circ \cap Z(M_n)$. If M_n is a proper subgroup of SL then $Z(M_n)$ is a connected torus. The set $Z(M_n)^\circ$ is an open dense subset of $Z(M_n)$. Note that $\mathrm{SL}^\circ = \mathrm{SL}$. Let $\mathbf{U} \subset \mathrm{SL}$ be the unipotent variety, and let $\mathbf{U}^{M_n} := \mathbf{U} \cap M_n$ be the unipotent variety of the Levi subgroup M_n. The group M acts diagonally on $\mathbf{U}^{M_n} \times V$ with finitely many orbits.

3.2. In the paper [FG1] a stratification of the variety $\mathfrak{X}$ is given (based on a stratification of $\mathfrak{sl} \times V$ given in [GG, §4.2]). We recall this stratification now. The strata of the stratification are labeled by elements of the *finite* set of SL-conjugacy classes of pairs (M_n, Ω), where $M_n \subset \mathrm{SL}$

is a Levi subgroup and Ω is an M-diagonal orbit in $\mathbf{U}^{M_n} \times V$. Given such a pair (M_n, Ω), we define

$$\mathfrak{X}(M_n, \Omega) := \big\{(g, v) \in \mathrm{SL} \times V \mid (g, v) \in G(z{\cdot}u, v), \;\; \text{for some} \;\; z \in Z(M_n)^{\circ},\, (u, v) \in \Omega\big\}.$$

Here and below, we write $G(g, v)$ for the G-diagonal orbit of an element $(g, v) \in \mathrm{SL} \times V$; we also use similar notation for M-diagonal orbits. In the extreme case $M_n = \mathrm{SL}$, we have $Z(\mathrm{SL})^{\circ} = Z(\mathrm{SL})$, is a finite set. In that case, the connected components of a stratum $\mathfrak{X}(\mathrm{SL}, \Omega)$ are labeled by the elements of $Z(\mathrm{SL})$. Abusing notation, we regard each connected component as a separate stratum. This way, any stratum becomes a smooth, connected, locally-closed G-stable subvariety of $\mathfrak{X}$.

Definition 3.2.1. A pair $(g, v) \in \mathfrak{X}$, resp. the stratum $\mathfrak{X}(M, \Omega) \subset X$ that contains a pair (g, v), is said to be *relevant* if $\dim Z_{\mathrm{SL}}(g) = n - 1$ (i.e. g is regular) and, moreover, the subspace $\mathbb{C}[g]v \subset V$ has a g-stable complement.

As shown in [Mc, Lemma 4.2.1], there is a natural parameterization of the relevant strata in $\mathfrak{X}$ by bi-partitions of n. It is described as follows. Let $g \in \mathrm{SL}$. The action of g_s on V defines a decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_k$ where, after replacing g by a conjugate if necessary, setting $\nu_i = \dim V_i$ gives a partition $\nu = (\nu_1 \geq \ldots \geq \nu_k)$ of n. If (g, v) is a relevant pair then $v = v_1 + \ldots + v_k$ with $v_i \in V_i$ and either $v_i = 0$ or $\mathbb{C}[g]v_i = V_i$. This dichotomy defines a bi-partition (λ, μ) of n such that $\lambda + \mu = \nu$. Note that, under this parameterization the Levi subgroup M_n is specified up to conjugacy by the partition $\lambda + \mu$. The stratum labeled by the pair (λ, μ) is denoted $\mathfrak{X}(\lambda, \mu)$.

Proposition 3.2.2 ([GG], Theorem 4.3). *The conormal bundle to a stratum $\mathfrak{X}(M_n, \Omega)$ is contained in $\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(\mathrm{SL})$ if and only if $\mathfrak{X}(M_n, \Omega)$ is a relevant stratum.* □

3.3. Let L_n be a Levi subgroup of SL and write $\mathfrak{X}_{L_n} = L_n \times V$, $\mathfrak{X}^{\circ}_{L_n} = L^{\circ}_n \times V$. The locally closed embedding of $\mathfrak{X}^{\circ}_{L_n}$ in $\mathfrak{X}$ is denoted by Υ. Later, we will use the following result.

Lemma 3.3.1. *For any Levi subgroup $L_n \subseteq \mathrm{SL}$, each stratum $\mathfrak{X}(M_n, \Omega) \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ meets the set $\mathfrak{X}^{\circ}_{L_n}$ transversely.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{l}_n = \operatorname{Lie} L_n$, and pick a point $p := (g, i) \in \Omega \cap \mathfrak{X}^{\circ}_{L_n}$. An element of the vector space $T^*_p\mathfrak{X}$ is a pair $(Y, j) \in \mathfrak{sl} \times V^*$. Write $\mathsf{N}_p \subset \mathfrak{sl} \times V^*$ for the conormal space at p to the stratum $\mathfrak{X}(M_n, \Omega)$.

The conormal space to $\mathfrak{X}^{\circ}_{L_n}$ at p equals $\mathfrak{l}^{\perp}_n \times \{0\} \subset \mathfrak{sl} \times V^*$. Thus, the statement of the lemma amounts to the claim that $\mathsf{N}_p \cap (\mathfrak{l}^{\perp}_n \times \{0\}) = 0$. Equivalently, we must prove that, the only element $Y \in \mathfrak{l}^{\perp}_n$ such that $(Y, 0) \in \mathsf{N}_p$, is the element $Y = 0$.

To prove the latter claim, let $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{m}_n}$ be the centre of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{m}_n = \operatorname{Lie} M_n$. According to (1.2.2), we have $\mathsf{N}_p = (\mathfrak{z}^{\perp}_{\mathfrak{m}_n} \times V^*) \cap \mu^{-1}(0)$, where $\mu(Y, j) = g \cdot Y \cdot g^{-1} - Y + i \otimes j$. This way, we are reduced to showing that, for a point of the form $(Y, 0) \in \mathfrak{sl} \times \{0\}$, we have

$$Y \in \mathfrak{l}^{\perp}_n \cap \mathfrak{z}^{\perp}_{\mathfrak{m}_n} \quad \text{and} \quad g{\cdot}Y{\cdot}g^{-1} - Y = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad Y = 0. \tag{3.3.2}$$

To see this, write $g = z \cdot u$, where $z \in Z(M_n)^{\circ}$, and $u \in M_n$ is a unipotent element. Observe that $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{sl}}(z)$, the centralizer of z in $\mathfrak{sl}$, equals $\mathfrak{m}_n$. Further, since $g \in L^{\circ}_n$, we also have $z \in L^{\circ}_n$. We deduce $\mathfrak{m}_n = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{sl}}(z) \subset \mathfrak{l}_n$. Hence, we have $Y \in \mathfrak{l}^{\perp}_n \subset \mathfrak{m}^{\perp}_n$. It is also clear that $Y \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{sl}}(g) \subset \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{sl}}(z) = \mathfrak{m}_n$. Therefore, the conditions on Y imposed on the left hand side of (3.3.2) imply that $Y \in \mathfrak{l}^{\perp}_n \cap \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{sl}}(g) \subset \mathfrak{m}^{\perp}_n \cap \mathfrak{m}_n = 0$, and (3.3.2) is proved. □

3.4. Fix a proper Levi subgroup L_n of SL. Let $\mathfrak{X}(L_n) = \cup_\Omega \mathfrak{X}(L_n, \Omega)$, where the union is over all L-orbits Ω in $\mathbf{U}^{L_n} \times V$. The boundary $\overline{\mathfrak{X}(L_n)} \smallsetminus \mathfrak{X}(L_n)$ is denoted $\partial \mathfrak{X}(L_n)$.

Lemma 3.4.1. *One has* $\overline{\mathfrak{X}(L_n)} \cap \mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n} = Z(L_n)^\circ \times \mathbf{U}^{L_n} \times V$.

Proof. Let Y be the union of all strata $\mathfrak{X}(M_n, \Omega)$ such that M_n is conjugate to some Levi subgroup of SL containing L_n. The set $\overline{\mathfrak{X}(L_n)}$ is contained in Y. If $g \cdot (z \cdot u, v)$ belongs to the intersection $\mathfrak{X}(M_n, \Omega) \cap \mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n}$ for some Levi subgroup M_n of SL, then $gzug^{-1} \in L_n^\circ$ and hence $zu \in (g^{-1} \cdot L_n)^\circ$. By definition, this means that $Z_{SL}(z) \subseteq g^{-1} \cdot L_n$. However, $z \in Z(M_n)^\circ$ which means that $Z_{\mathrm{SL}}(z) = M_n$. Thus $g \cdot M_n \subseteq L_n$. Therefore we have shown that

$$\overline{\mathfrak{X}(L_n)} \cap \mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n} = \mathfrak{X}_{L_n} \cap L_n^\circ \times V.$$

Finally, let $g \cdot (zu, v) \in \mathfrak{X}(L_n, \Omega) \cap (L_n^\circ \times V)$ for some Ω. Since $gzug^{-1} \in L_n^\circ$, the element $l := gzg^{-1}$ is contained in L_n° too. Hence $z = g^{-1} \cdot l \in Z(L_n)^\circ$. This implies that $Z_{\mathrm{SL}}(g^{-1} \cdot l) = L_n$ and hence $Z_{\mathrm{SL}}(l) = g \cdot L_n$. However, $Z_{\mathrm{SL}}(l) = L_n$ implies that $g \in N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)$ and thus $g \cdot Z(L_n) = Z(L_n)$. Therefore $l \in Z(L_n)^\circ$ as required. ☐

4. CUSPIDAL $\mathscr{D}$-MODULES

In this section we define and classify the cuspidal mirabolic modules on $\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}$ for L_n a Levi subgroup of SL. As in the classical case, [L1], there are relatively few Levi subgroups that support cuspidal mirabolic modules.

4.1. The centre $Z(\mathrm{SL})$ of the group SL is a cyclic group, the group of scalar matrices of the form $z \cdot \mathrm{Id}$, where $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is an n-th root of unity. Let $\jmath : \mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{reg}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{U}$ be the open embedding of the conjugacy class formed by the regular unipotent elements. The fundamental group of $\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ may be identified canonically with $Z(\mathrm{SL})$. For each integer $r = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, there is a group homomorphism $Z(\mathrm{SL}) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ given by $z \cdot \mathrm{Id} \mapsto z^r$, and a corresponding rank one SL-equivariant local system L_r on $\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ with monodromy $\theta = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}r}{n}\right)$.

From now on, we assume that $(r, n) = 1$, ie. that θ is a primitive n-th root of unity. Then the local system L_r is known to be *clean*, that is, for $\mathscr{D}$-modules on SL, one has, c.f. [L2]:

$$\jmath_! \mathsf{L}_r \xrightarrow{\sim} \jmath_{!*} \mathsf{L}_r \xrightarrow{\sim} \jmath_* \mathsf{L}_r.$$

Given a central element $z \in Z(\mathrm{SL})$, we have the conjugacy class $z\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{reg}}$, and we let $z\mathscr{L}_r := z\jmath_! \mathsf{L}_r$ denote the corresponding translated $\mathscr{D}$-module supported on the closure of $z\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{reg}}$. According to Lusztig [L2], $z\jmath_! \mathsf{L}_r$ is a cuspidal mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-module on SL.

4.2. Let H be an arbitrary reductive subgroup of G and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Lie}\, H$. By restricting the trace form on $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathfrak{h}$, we identify $\mathfrak{h}$ with its dual. Write $\mu_H : T^*(H \times V) \to \mathfrak{h}$ for the moment map of the Hamiltonian action of H on $T^*(H \times V)$. Then, the variety

$$\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(H) := \{x = (g, Y, i, j) \in H \times \mathfrak{h} \times V \times V^* \mid \mu(x) = 0 \text{ and } Y \text{ nilpotent.}\}, \tag{4.2.1}$$

is a Lagrangian subvariety in $H \times \mathfrak{h} \times V \times V^*$. Each Levi subgroup M_n of $[L, L]$ and M-orbit Ω in $\mathbf{U}^{M_n} \times V$ defines a stratum $\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}(M_n, \Omega)$ of $\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}$. The set of all strata forms a partition of $\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}$. Since $[L, L]$ is a product of special linear groups, [GG, Theorem 4.4.2] implies that

Lemma 4.2.2. *We have*

$$\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}([L, L]) = \bigcup_{(M_n, \Omega)} \overline{T^*_{\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}(M_n,\Omega)} \mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}},$$

where the union is over all L_n-conjugacy classes of Levi subgroup M_n of L_n and relevant M-orbits Ω in $\mathbf{U}^{M_n} \times V$.

Definition 4.2.3. An L_n-equivariant, regular holonomic $\mathscr{D}$-module on $\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}$ with support in $\mathbf{U}^{[L,L]} \times V$ and characteristic variety contained in $\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}([L,L])$ is called an *L_n-cuspidal* mirabolic module.

4.3. The Levi subgroups of SL_n are parameterized up to conjugation by partitions of n (we say that L_n is a Levi of *type* $\lambda \vdash n$). Recall that a partition of n is a multiset $\{\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s\}\}$ of positive integers such that $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i = n$. However, we will think of a partition as being an ordered tuple of positive integers $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ such that $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_s > 0$. Clearly the two notions are equivalent but the second will be more convinient when dealing with signed partitions. The set of all relevant strata in $\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}$ is labeled by the set of all bi-partitions

$$(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) = ((\mu^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}), \dots, (\mu^{(s)}, \nu^{(s)}))$$

of λ, where each $(\mu^{(i)}, \nu^{(i)})$ a bi-partition of λ_i. The relevant strata contained in $\mathbf{U}^{[L,L]} \times V$ are labeled by those bi-partitions $(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\nu})$ such that each $(\mu^{(i)}, \nu^{(i)})$ is either of the form $((\lambda_i), \emptyset)$ or $(\emptyset, (\lambda_i))$.

Definition 4.3.1. A *signed partition* of n, $\lambda^{\pm} \vdash n$ is a partition $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ of n such that each λ_i is assigned a sign $\mathrm{sgn}(\lambda_i) \in \{+, -\}$. If $\lambda^{\pm}$ is a signed partition then λ^{+} is the tuple $(\lambda_1^{+}, \dots, \lambda_s^{+})$ such that $\lambda_i^{+} = \lambda_i$ if $\mathrm{sgn}(\lambda_i) = +$ and $\lambda_i^{+} = 0$ otherwise. The tuple λ^{-} is defined similarly. We write $\lambda^{\pm} = (\lambda^{+}, \lambda^{-})$.

Thus the relevant strata contained in $\mathbf{U}^{[L,L]} \times V$ can be labeled $\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}(\lambda^{\pm})$, by signed partitions whose underlying partition is λ. The following signed partitions will play an important role in the classification of L_n-cuspidal sheaves. Choose $2 \leq m \leq n$ and $u, v, w \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $n = (u+v)m + w$. Let λ be the partition $(m^{u+v}, 1^{w})$ of n. We associate to λ the signed partitions $\lambda^{\pm}(u; v, w) = (\lambda^{+}(v, w), \lambda^{-}(u))$, where $\lambda^{+}(v, w)$ is a tuple with v entries equal to m, w equal to 1 and the other u are 0 (hence $\lambda^{-}(u)$ has u entries equal to m and all other entries are zero). There are $\binom{u+v}{u}$ such signed partitions.

4.4. Given $t \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$, define the simple $\mathscr{D}_{\mathbb{C}}$-module $\mathscr{E}_t$ to be the minimal extension of the local system on $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ with monodromy $\theta = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}t)$. If L is of type $(m^{u+v}, 1^{w})$, then the center of L defines a canonical decomposition $V = V_m^{\oplus(u+v)} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{w}$, where $V_m \subseteq V$ is m-dimensional. For each $0 \leq r \leq m-1$ with $(r, m) = 1$, we define the $\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}}$-module

$$\mathscr{M}(r, u, v, w) := \left[(\mathscr{L}_r \boxtimes \mathbb{C}[V_m])^{\boxtimes v}\right] \boxtimes \left[\boxtimes_{i=1}^{w} \mathscr{E}_{-\frac{r}{m}}\right] \boxtimes \left[(\mathscr{L}_r \boxtimes \delta_{V_m})^{\boxtimes u}\right]. \tag{4.4.1}$$

Its support is the closure of a relevant stratum labeled by a signed partition of the type $\lambda^{\pm}(u; v, w)$.

Proposition 4.4.2. *Let $L_n \neq T_n$ be a Levi subgroup of* SL *of type λ ($\neq (1^n)$).*

1. *If $\lambda = (m^{u+v}, 1^{w})$ for some $2 \leq m \leq n$ and $\lambda^{\pm}(u; v, w)$ is some signing of λ, then, for each $0 \leq r \leq m-1$ with $(r, m) = 1$, the $\mathscr{D}$-module $\mathscr{M}(r, u, v, w)$ is a simple, L_n-cuspidal mirabolic module whose support is the closure of $\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}(\lambda^{\pm}(u; v, w))$. Up to isomorphism, these are all simple, L_n-cuspidal mirabolic modules whose support is the closure of $\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}(\lambda^{\pm}(u; v, w))$.*
2. *For any other signed partition $\lambda^{\pm}$, there are no simple, L_n-cuspidal mirabolic modules whose support is the closure of $\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}(\lambda^{\pm})$.*

The classifcation when $L_n = T_n$ is a torus is slightly different. It is given in (4.5).

Proof. We begin by fixing some G-orbits in $\mathbf{U} \times V$. Firstly, there is the unique open, dense orbit, which we denote $\mathbb{O}^{(0)}$. Then there is the orbit $\mathbb{O}^{(1)} = \mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{reg}} \times \{0\} \subset \mathbf{U} \times \{0\}$. Finally, we let $\mathbb{O}^{(2)}$ be the G-orbit consisting of all pairs (X, v) such that $X \in \mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ and $v \in \mathrm{Im}(X - 1) \smallsetminus \mathrm{Im}(X-1)^2$. It is the unique codimension one G-orbit in the closure of $\mathbb{O}^{(0)}$.

Fix a signing (λ^+, λ^-) of λ. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\lambda^+ = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \lambda^- = (0, \dots, 0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_l),$$

where, for clarity, we have set $\mu_i = \lambda_{i+k}$. Let $k = v + w$ such that $\lambda_i = 1$ if and only if $v + 1 \le i \le k$ and $u \le l$ such that $\mu_j = 1$ if and only if $u + 1 \le i \le l$.

The relevant stratum in $\mathbf{U}^{[L,L]} \times V$ labeled by $\lambda^{\pm}$ is the L-orbit

$$\mathbb{O}_{\lambda^{\pm}} = \mathbb{O}^{(0)}_{\lambda_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{O}^{(0)}_{\lambda_k} \times \mathbb{O}^{(1)}_{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{O}^{(1)}_{\mu_l}.$$

If there exists a simple, L_n-cuspidal mirabolic module $\mathscr{M}$ supported on the closure of the stratum $\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}(\lambda^{\pm})$ then, since the orbit $\mathbb{O}_{\lambda^{\pm}}$ is a dense, open subset of the smooth locus of this closure, $\mathscr{M}$ will be the minimal extension of some simple, L_n-equivariant local system on $\mathbb{O}_{\lambda^{\pm}}$. Since the fundamental group of $\mathbb{O}^{(0)}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ and the fundamental group of $\mathbb{O}^{(1)}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_n$, the fundamental group of $\mathbb{O}_{\lambda^{\pm}}$ is $\mathbb{Z}^k \times \prod_{i=1}^{l} \mathbb{Z}_{\mu_i}$. For each $1 \le i \le v$, swapping the orbit $\mathbb{O}^{(0)}_{\lambda_i}$ for $\mathbb{O}^{(2)}_{\lambda_i}$ we get a L-orbit $\mathbb{O}^{(i)}_{\lambda^{\pm}}$. It is a codimension one orbit in $\overline{\mathbb{O}_{\lambda^{\pm}}}$. Let $\theta = (\theta_\lambda, \phi_\lambda, \theta_\mu, \phi_\mu)$ be our choice of local system on $\mathbb{O}_{\lambda^{\pm}}$, where θ_λ is the local system on $\mathbb{O}^{(0)}_{\lambda_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{O}^{(0)}_{\lambda_v}$, ϕ_λ the local system on $\mathbb{O}^{(0)}_{\lambda_{v+1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{O}^{(0)}_{\lambda_k}$ and similarly for μ. Note that $\mathbb{O}^{(1)}_{\mu_i} = \{0\}$ for $i > u$ and hence ϕ_μ is the trivial local system on a point. The Lagrangian $\overline{T^*_{\mathbb{O}^{(i)}_{\lambda^{\pm}}} \mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}}$ is not a component of $\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}([L,L])$ because $\mathbb{O}^{(i)}_{\lambda^{\pm}}$ is not a relevant stratum. Therefore the monodromy around the divisor $\mathbb{O}^{(i)}_{\lambda^{\pm}}$, which is given by $(\theta_\lambda)_i^{\lambda_i}$, must be trivial i.e. $(\theta_\lambda)_i^{\lambda_i} = 1$. Also, taking monodromy around lines in $V^o_{\lambda_i}$ is given by $(\theta_\lambda)_i^{\lambda_i} = 1$. Therefore if we define

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{O}_{\lambda^{\pm}}} = \mathbb{O}^{(1)}_{\lambda_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{O}^{(1)}_{\lambda_v} \times \mathbb{O}^{(0)}_{\lambda_{v+1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{O}^{(0)}_{\lambda_k} \times \mathbb{O}^{(1)}_{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{O}^{(1)}_{\mu_l}.$$

then we see that θ is the pullback, via the natural projection $\mathbb{O}_{\lambda^{\pm}} \to \widetilde{\mathbb{O}_{\lambda^{\pm}}}$ of a local system η on $\widetilde{\mathbb{O}_{\lambda^{\pm}}}$. This implies that

$$\mathscr{M} \simeq \left[\boxtimes_{i=1}^{v} (\mathscr{L}_{\theta_{\lambda_i}} \boxtimes \mathbb{C}[V_{\lambda_i}])\right] \boxtimes \left[\boxtimes_{i=1}^{w} \mathscr{E}_{\phi_{\lambda_i}}\right] \boxtimes \left[\boxtimes_{j=1}^{u} (\mathscr{L}_{\theta_{\mu_j}} \boxtimes \delta_{V_{\mu_j}})\right] \boxtimes \left[\boxtimes_{j=1}^{l-u} \delta_{V_{\mu_j}}\right].$$

If $\mathscr{M}$ is a mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-module then it is L_n-equivariant. Therefore η must be an L_n-equivariant local system. Choose some $x \in \widetilde{\mathbb{O}_{\lambda^{\pm}}}$. The local system η must come from a representation of the group

$$\mathrm{Stab}_{L_n}(x)/\mathrm{Stab}_{L_n}(x)^\circ \simeq \mathrm{Stab}_{Z(L_n)}(x)/\mathrm{Stab}_{Z(L_n)}(x)^\circ \simeq \mathbb{Z}_m,$$

where m is the greatest common divisor of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_v, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_l$. However, for $\mathscr{M}$ to be a mirabolic module, it must have the correct characteristic variety. In particular, this implies that each $\mathscr{L}_{\theta_{\lambda_i}}$ and $\mathscr{L}_{\theta_{\mu_j}}$ must be a cuspidal mirabolic module for $SL(V_{\lambda_i})$ and $SL(V_{\mu_j})$ respectively. As explained in section 4.1, this is equivalent to requiring that the restrictions θ_{λ_i} and θ_{μ_j}, of η, to the centers $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda_i}$ of $SL(V_{\lambda_i})$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\mu_j}$ of $SL(V_{\mu_j})$ must be primitive representations. Thus, λ_i and μ_j divide m. Hence we find that $\lambda_i = \mu_j = m$ for all $1 \le i \le v$ and $1 \le j \le l$. Moreover, thinking of η as an integer $0 \le \eta \le m-1$, it must be coprime to m. Note also that this shows that if $l > u$ then $m = 1$ and $\nu = (1^n)$, contradicting our initial assumptions. Thus $l = u$.

Finally, for $u+1 < i \leq k$, we must calculate the monodromy of η along a loop in $\mathbb{O}^{(0)}_{\lambda_i} \simeq \mathbb{C}^\times$ in order to determine the monodromy ϕ_{λ_i}. But it follows from the identification of $\pi_1(L) = \mathbb{Z}_m^{u+v} \times \mathbb{Z}^w$ that θ_{λ_i} is the representation $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_m \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ which, identifying $\mathbb{Z}_m$ with the m-th roots of unity in $\mathbb{C}^\times$, is given by $z \mapsto z^r$. See the proof of Corollary 4.6.1 for details. □

4.5. When $L_n = T_n$ is a maximal torus inside SL, we have $\mathbf{U}^{T_n} \times V = V$ and the relevant strata, again labeled by signings of (1^n), are precisely the T-orbits in V. The T_n-cuspidal mirabolic modules are those T_n-equivariant, regular holonomic $\mathscr{D}_V$-modules whose characteristic variety is contained in

$$\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}([T_n, T_n]) = \{(i,j) \in V \times V^* \mid i_t \cdot j_t = 0, \ \forall\, t = 1, \ldots, n\}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{O}^q_T$ the simple local system on T which is obtain from pulling back along the map $\det : T \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ the rank one local system on $\mathbb{C}^\times$ with monodromy q.

Lemma 4.5.1. *The T_n-equivariant, simple local systems on T are precisely the modules $\mathcal{O}^q_T$, $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.*

Proof. Let $a : T_n \times T \to T$ be the action map, $a(t_1, t_2) = t_1 t_2$. Then a defines a morphism of groups $a_* : \pi_1(T_n \times T) \to \pi_1(T)$ and, via the embedding $\gamma \mapsto (\gamma, 1)$, a morphism $a_* : \pi_1(T_n) \to \pi_1(T)$. This is injective and its image consists of all "loops of determinant one" i.e. it is the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} = \{d \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \sum_i d_i = 0\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Thus, if L is a T_n-equivariant local system on T then it corresponds to a representation of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ on which the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ acts trivially. The simple local systems having this property are precisely the modules $\mathcal{O}^q_T$ described in the statement of the lemma. □

There is a unique open T-orbit in V. It is a free T-orbit and choosing a base point in that orbit gives an open embedding $j : T \hookrightarrow V$.

Proposition 4.5.2. *The simple T_n-cuspidal mirabolic modules on V are:*

$$\mathscr{M}(q) := j_{*!}\mathcal{O}^q_T, \ \ \forall\, q \in \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad \textit{and also} \quad \mathscr{M}(k) := \mathbb{C}[V_k] \boxtimes \delta_{V_{n-k}}, \quad \forall\, 0 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

where $\dim V_k = k$.

Proof. Assume that $n > 2$. Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a simple mirabolic module supported on the closure of a stratum of type $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}(k), \boldsymbol{\mu}(n-k))$, where $k \neq n$. Then this stratum is a T_n-orbit $\mathbb{O} \subset V$. Since $n > 2$, the group T is connected and the stabilizer of a point $v \in \mathbb{O}$ is a connected subgroup of T_n. Therefore the only simple T_n-equivariant local system on $\mathbb{O}$ is the trivial local system. Its minimal extension to V is $\mathscr{M}(k)$. This $\mathscr{D}$-module is a mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-module. Now assume that $\mathbb{O} = T$ is the open stratum. Then Lemma 4.5.1 implies that $\mathscr{M} = j_{!*}\mathcal{O}^q_T$, for some $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. If $n = 2$ then V is partitioned into two strata, $\{0\}$ and its complement. In this case the claim is clear. □

4.6. In all cases, the simple L_n-cuspidal mirabolic sheaves supported on $\mathbf{U}^{[L,L]} \times V$ have a natural L-monodromic structure.

Corollary 4.6.1. (i) *The $\mathscr{D}$-module $\mathscr{M}(r,u,v,w)$ defined in (4.4.1) is (L,q)-monodromic, where $q = \exp(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}r}{m})$.*

(ii) *The $\mathscr{D}_V$-modules $\mathscr{M}(k)$ are T-equivariant and $\mathscr{M}(q)$ is (T,q)-monodromic.*

Proof. We explain the L-monodromic structure on the module $\mathscr{M}(r,u,v,w)$; statement (ii) is straight-forward. Recall that $\mathscr{M}(r,u,v,w)$ is the minimal extension of a local system L_θ defined on the orbit $\mathbb{O}_{\lambda^\pm}$. This local system is, in turn, the pull-back of a local system L_η on $\mathbb{O} := \widetilde{\mathbb{O}_{\lambda^\pm}}$. The spaces $\mathbb{O}_{\lambda^\pm}$ and $\mathbb{O}$ are L-orbits such that the projection $\mathbb{O}_{\lambda^\pm} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{O}$ is equivariant. Therefore, if L_η has the structure of a (L, q)-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-module for some q it follows by functorality, Proposition 2.1.2, that both L_θ and $\mathscr{M}(r, u, v, w)$ are also (L, q)-monodromic.

Let $a : L \times \mathbb{O} \to \mathbb{O}$ be the action map. Choose $x \in \mathbb{O}$ and let $b : L \to \mathbb{O}$ be defined by $g \mapsto g \cdot x$. This induces a group homomorphism $b_* : \pi_1(L) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{O})$. Since L_η is a simple local system and L is connected, $a^*\mathsf{L}_\eta$ is a simple local system on $L \times \mathbb{O}$. Since the rank of L_η is one, the local system $a^*\mathsf{L}_\eta$ is isomorphic to $\mathsf{L}' \boxtimes \mathsf{L}_\eta$, where $\mathsf{L}' \simeq b^*\mathsf{L}_\eta$.

Let $p = u + v + w$. We have

$$\pi_1(L_n) = \left\{(a_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^p \;\middle|\; \sum\nolimits_i a_i = 0\right\}, \quad \pi_1(L) = \mathbb{Z}^p, \quad \pi_1(\mathbb{O}) = \mathbb{Z}_m^{u+v} \times \mathbb{Z}^w.$$

The image of $\pi_1(L_n)$ in $\pi_1(\mathbb{O})$ equals $\{(a_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_m^{u+v} \times \mathbb{Z}^w \mid \sum_i a_i = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_m\}$ so that the quotient of $\pi_1(\mathbb{O})$ by $\mathrm{Im}\,(\pi_1(L_n))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_m$. Recall that η is the representation of $\mathbb{Z}_m$ defined by $z \cdot \mathrm{Id} \mapsto z^r$. Therefore the representation of $\pi_1(L)$ corresponding to the local system L_η is

$$\pi_1(L) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{O})/\mathrm{Im}\,(\pi_1(L_n)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_m \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbb{C}^\times$$

which, under the convention (2.2.3), is given by $\exp(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}r}{m})$. □

5. REDUCTION TO A LEVI

5.1. Recall from section 3 the subsets $\mathfrak{X}(L_n)$ and $\partial\mathfrak{X}(L_n)$ of $\mathfrak{X}$ associated to the Levi L_n. We denote by $\mathscr{C}(L_n)$ and $\mathscr{C}(\partial L_n)$ the full subcategories of $\mathscr{C}$ consisting of modules supported on $\overline{\mathfrak{X}(L_n)}$ and $\partial\mathfrak{X}(L_n)$ respectively. Let j be the locally closed embedding $Z(L_n)^\circ \times \mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$. Define the *reduction functor* to be the right exact, *underived*, functor

$$\mathrm{Red}_{L_n}^{\mathrm{SL}} := j^* : \mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{X}}\text{-}\mathsf{mod} \to \mathscr{D}_{Z(L_n)^\circ \times \mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}}\text{-}\mathsf{mod}.$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{Z}_{[L,L]}$ the subalgebra of bi-invariant (with respect to the adjoint action of $[L, L]$ on itself) differential operators in $\mathscr{D}([L, L])$. Let $\varsigma : \mathscr{D}([L, L]) \to \mathscr{D}(Z(L_n)^\circ \times \mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]})$ be the natural embedding.

Definition 5.1.1. A regular holonomic $\mathscr{D}$-module $\mathscr{M}$ on $Z(L_n)^\circ \times \mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}$ is said to be a mirabolic module if:

1. $\mathscr{M}$ is L-monodromic and $N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)$-equivariant.
2. The action of $\varsigma(\mathfrak{Z}_{[L,L]})$ on $\Gamma(Z(L_n)^\circ \times \mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}, \mathscr{M})$ is locally finite.

The category of mirabolic modules on $Z(L_n)^\circ \times \mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}$ is denoted $\mathscr{C}_{L_n}$. The full subcategory of (L, q)-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-modules is denoted $\mathscr{C}_{L_n,q}$.

Theorem 5.1.2. *The reduction functor restricts to a functor* $\mathrm{Red}_{L_n}^{\mathrm{SL}} : \mathscr{C}_q(L_n) \to \mathscr{C}_{L_n,q}$ *satisfying:*

1. $\mathrm{Red}_{L_n}^{\mathrm{SL}}$ *is exact and commutes with Verdier duality.*
2. *The kernel of* $\mathrm{Red}_{L_n}^{\mathrm{SL}}$ *is* $\mathscr{C}_q(\partial L_n)$.
3. *The functor* $\mathrm{Red}_{L_n}^{\mathrm{SL}}$ *preserves semi-simplicity.*

Proof. Recall from section 3 that Υ is the embedding $\mathfrak{X}_{L_n}^\circ \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$. It is an $N_G(L)$-equivariant morphism. The variety $Y := Z(L_n)^\circ \times \mathbf{U}^{L_n} \times V$ is a closed subspace of both $\mathfrak{X}_{L_n}^\circ$ and $Z(L_n)^\circ \times \mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}$, which are open subsets of $\mathfrak{X}_{L_n}$. Therefore Kashiwara's Theorem implies that the category of coherent $\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{X}_{L_n}^\circ}$-modules supported on Y can be canonically identified with the category of coherent $\mathscr{D}_{Z(L_n)^\circ \times \mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}}$-modules supported on Y. Let $\mathscr{M} \in \mathscr{C}(L_n)$. Then Lemma 3.3.1 implies that $\mathscr{M}$ is non-characteristic for Υ and hence $\Upsilon^* : \mathscr{C}(L_n) \to \mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{X}_{L_n}^\circ}\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$ is exact. Lemma 3.4.1 implies that $\Upsilon^*(\mathscr{M})$ is supported on Y. Therefore we may identify

$\mathrm{Red}^{\mathrm{SL}}_{L_n}$ with Υ^*, which implies that it is exact. It also implies that $\mathrm{Red}^{\mathrm{SL}}_{L_n}$ commutes with Verdier duality, [HTT, Theorem 2.7.1].

If $\mathscr{M} \in \mathscr{C}(L_n)$ then we will show that $\mathrm{SS}(\Upsilon^*(\mathscr{M}))$ is contained in $\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(L_n^\circ)$ (as defined in (4.2.1)). By [HTT, Theorem 2.7.1], we need to show that $\rho_\Upsilon(\omega_\Upsilon^{-1}(\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(\mathrm{SL}))) \subseteq \mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(L_n^\circ)$. Since $\mathfrak{l}_n$, the Lie algebra of L_n, is reductive, we may decompose $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l}_n \oplus \mathfrak{l}_n^\perp$ as a $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{l}_n)$-module. Let

$$(g, Y, i, j) \in \omega_\Upsilon^{-1}(\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(\mathrm{SL})) = \mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(\mathrm{SL}) \cap (L_n^\circ \times \mathfrak{g} \times V \times V^*).$$

Then $Y = Y_0 + Y_1$ with $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{l}_n$ and $Y_1 \in \mathfrak{l}_n^\perp$. The map ρ_Υ is just the projection of Y onto Y_0. Since $g \in L_n$, decomposing $i = i_1 + \ldots + i_k$ and $j = j_1 + \ldots + j_k$ with $i_m \in V_m$, $j_m \in V_m^*$, we have

$$gYg^{-1} - Y + i \circ j = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad gY_0g^{-1} - Y_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{k} i_m \circ j_m = 0.$$

Therefore we just need to show that Y_0 is nilpotent. Write $g = ux$ with $u \in Z(L_n)^\circ$ and $x \in \mathbf{U}^{L_n}$. The adjoint action of u on $\mathfrak{g}$ defines a decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, where $X \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ iff $uXu^{-1} = \alpha X$. Then $\mathfrak{l}_n = \mathfrak{g}_0$, $Y = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} Y_\alpha$ and there exists a polynomial p such that $p(\mathrm{Ad}_u)(Y) = Y_0$. Since $(g, Y, i, j) \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(\mathrm{SL})$, there exists a complete flag in V that is stabilized by g and Y, [EG, Lemma 12.7]. The semi-simple part u of g will also stabilize this flag. Therefore $p(\mathrm{Ad}_u)(Y) = Y_0$ implies that Y_0 acts nilpotently on this flag and hence is a nilpotent matrix. Thus $(g, Y_0, i, j) \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(L_n^\circ)$. The fact that this implies that the action of $\varsigma(\mathfrak{Z}_{[L,L]})$ on $\Gamma(Z(L_n)^\circ \times \mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}, \mathrm{Red}^{\mathrm{SL}}_{L_n}(\mathscr{M}))$ is locally finite now follows from Lemma 5.1.3 (ii) below. ☐

The following simple observation is very useful.

Lemma 5.1.3. *Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a simple, regular holonomic, (L, q)-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-module on $Z(L_n)^\circ \times \mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}$. We assume that the support of $\mathscr{M}$ is contained in $Z(L_n)^\circ \times \mathbf{U}^{[L,L]} \times V$ and its characteristic variety is contained in $\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(Z(L_n)^\circ \times [L, L])$.*

(i) *There exists a local system L on $Z(L_n)^\circ$ and simple $\mathscr{D}$-module $\mathscr{N}$ on $\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}$ such that $\mathscr{M} \simeq \mathsf{L} \boxtimes \mathscr{N}$.*

(ii) *The action of $\varsigma(\mathfrak{Z}_{[L,L]})$ on $\Gamma(Z(L_n)^\circ \times \mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}, \mathscr{M})$ is locally finite.*

Proof. (i) Note that

$$\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}(Z(L_n)^\circ \times [L, L]) = (T^*_{Z(L_n)^\circ} Z(L_n)^\circ) \times \mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}([L, L]).$$

Therefore the support of $\mathscr{M}$ equals $Z(L_n)^\circ \times S$, where S is the closure of some relevant stratum in $\mathbf{U}^{[L,L]} \times V$. This relevant stratum $\mathbb{O}$ is an L-orbit. Since L is connected, S is irreducible. There is some open subset U of $Z(L_n)^\circ \times \mathbb{O}$ and simple, L_n-equivariant local system M on U such that $\mathscr{M} = \mathrm{IC}(U, \mathsf{M})$. The set U is necessarily L-stable. Choose some $(z, x) \in U$. Then $Z(L_n)^\circ \times \{x\} \cap U$ is open and non-empty, hence dense, in $Z(L_n)^\circ$ and thus equals $U_x \times \{x\}$ for some open subset U_x of $Z(L_n)^\circ$. This implies that

$$L \cdot (U_x \times \{x\}) = U_x \times \mathbb{O}$$

is contained in U. The closure of $U_x \times \mathbb{O}$ equals $Z(L_n)^\circ \times S$, hence, if M' is the restriction of M to $U_x \times \mathbb{O}$, one has $\mathscr{M} = \mathrm{IC}(U_x \times \mathbb{O}, \mathsf{M}')$. The local system M' is an irreducible representation of $\pi_1(U_x) \times \pi_1(\mathbb{O})$ and hence is isomorphic to $\mathsf{L}' \boxtimes \mathsf{N}$. Thus

$$\mathscr{M} = \mathrm{IC}(U_x \times \mathbb{O}, \mathsf{M}') \simeq \mathrm{IC}(U_x, \mathsf{L}') \boxtimes \mathrm{IC}(\mathbb{O}, \mathsf{N}).$$

The constraints on the characteristic variety of $\mathscr{M}$ imply that $\mathsf{L} = \mathrm{IC}(U_x, \mathsf{L}')$ is a local system.

(ii) The module $\mathscr{M}$ has finite length. Therefore, by induction on length, it suffices to assume that $\mathscr{M}$ is simple. By part (i) , such a simple module is isomorphic to $\mathsf{L}\boxtimes\mathscr{N}$, for some local system L on $Z(L_n)^\circ$ and simple $\mathscr{D}$-module $\mathscr{N}$. Then one can see, either directly from the classification of L_n-cuspidal mirabolic modules, or from the fact that $[L,L]$ is a product of SL_m's and applying Theorem 1.2.4, that $\mathfrak{Z}_{[L,L]}$ acts locally finitely on $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]},\mathscr{N})$. □

We are now in a position to prove Proposition 1.4.1.

Proof of Proposition 1.4.1. Assume that we are given a simple mirabolic module $\mathscr{M}\in\mathscr{C}_q$ such that $\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathscr{M}\cap\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{reg}}=\emptyset$. Choose a proper Levi subgroup L_n of SL and relevant stratum $\mathfrak{X}(L_n,\Omega)$, whose closure is $\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathscr{M}$. Then $\mathrm{Red}^{\mathrm{SL}}_{L_n}(\mathscr{M})$ is a non-zero mirabolic module on $Z(L_n)^\circ\times\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}$ with support in $Z(L_n)^\circ\times\mathbf{U}^{[L,L]}\times V$. Let $\mathscr{N}$ be a simple, (L,q)-monodromic submodule of $\mathrm{Red}^{\mathrm{SL}}_{L_n}(\mathscr{M})$. By Lemma 5.1.3, $\mathscr{N}$ is isomorphic to $\mathsf{L}\boxtimes\mathscr{N}'$ for some simple local system L on $Z(L_n)^\circ$ and (L,q)-monodromic, L_n-cuspidal mirabolic module on $\mathbf{U}^{[L,L]}\times V$. Corollary 4.6.1 implies that either L_n,Ω and q are as in (2) or as in (3) of the statement of Proposition 1.4.1. □

5.2. In order to use the reduction functor to study the Harish-Chandra $\mathscr{D}$-module, we need to understand how it relates to taking invariants.

Proposition 5.2.1. *Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a simple mirabolic module whose support is $\overline{\mathfrak{X}(L_n,\Omega)}$. Then the following natural map is an embedding:*

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{X},\mathscr{M})^{\mathrm{SL}}\to\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n},\Upsilon^*\mathscr{M})^{N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)} \tag{5.2.2}$$

Proof. Consider the standard diagram

$$\mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n}\xrightarrow{j}Z:=G\times\mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n}\xrightarrow{\pi}G\times^{N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)}\mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n}\xrightarrow{p}\mathfrak{X}.$$

where $p([g,x])=g\cdot x$ and $j(v)=(e,v)$. The image of p is a (non-affine) open subset W of $\mathfrak{X}$. Since $\mathscr{M}$ is simple, $\mathrm{Supp}\,m=\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathscr{M}$ for all non-zero sections $m\in\Gamma(\mathfrak{X},\mathscr{M})$. Therefore, since $W\cap\overline{\mathfrak{X}(L,\Omega)}\neq\emptyset$, the natural map $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X},\mathscr{M})\to\Gamma(W,\mathscr{M})$ is an embedding (its kernel consists of all section with support in the complement of W). Hence $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X},\mathscr{M})^{\mathrm{SL}}\hookrightarrow\Gamma(W,\mathscr{M})^{\mathrm{SL}}$. Now take a non-zero section $m\in\Gamma(W,\mathscr{M})^{\mathrm{SL}}$. We wish to show that p^*m is a non-zero section of $\Gamma(Z,p^*\mathscr{M})$).

Since the map p is not finite, we must take some care. As shown in Lemma 5.2.3 below, p is locally strongly étale. Therefore there exists an affine open, SL-saturated, covering $\{W_i\}_{i\in I}$ of W such that $\{U_i=p^{-1}(W_i)\}_{i\in I}$ is an affine open, SL-saturated, covering of Z and $U_i\simeq U_i/\!/\mathrm{SL}\times_{W_i/\!/\mathrm{SL}}W_i$ for all i. The section m may be written $m=(m_1,\dots,m_k)$, where m_i is the image of m in $\Gamma(W_i,\mathscr{M})$. Since each W_i is open in $\mathfrak{X}$, either the restriction of $\mathscr{M}$ to W_i is simple or is zero. Either way, we may assume that m_i generates $\mathscr{M}|_{W_i}$ for all i. Hence $p^*\mathscr{M}|_{W_i}=\mathscr{D}_{U_i}\cdot p^*m_i$. The restriction of p to each U_i is a finite morphism. Therefore $\mathbb{C}[U_i]$ is a locally free $\mathbb{C}[W_i]$-module and $\mathscr{M}|_{W_i}\neq0$ implies that $p^*\mathscr{M}|_{W_i}\neq0$. Hence $m_i\neq0$ implies that $p^*m_i\neq0$ and we have shown that p^*m is a non-zero section of $p^*\mathscr{M}$ (which actually locally generates the module).

Since $N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)$ acts freely on $\mathrm{SL}\times\mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n}$, $\pi^*(p^*\mathscr{M})$ is a $\mathrm{SL}\times N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)$-equivariant, coherent $\mathscr{D}$-module on $\mathrm{SL}\times\mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n}$. Since the map π^* is smooth (and hence faithfully flat), the $\mathscr{D}$-module on $\mathrm{SL}\times\mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n}$ generated by $(p\circ\pi)^*m$ is a non-zero, $\mathrm{SL}\times N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)$-equivariant submodule of $\pi^*(p^*\mathscr{M})$. In particular, $(p\circ\pi)^*m$ is a non-zero, $\mathrm{SL}\times N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)$-invariant global section of $\pi^*(p^*\mathscr{M})$. The proposition will follow from the fact that

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n},\Upsilon^*\mathscr{M})^{N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)}=\Gamma(\mathrm{SL}\times\mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n},\pi^*(p^*\mathscr{M}))^{N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)\times\mathrm{SL}}.$$

Since $\Upsilon = p \circ \pi \circ j$ is $N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)$-equivariant, it suffices to show that

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n}, \Upsilon^* \mathscr{M}) = \Gamma(\mathrm{SL} \times \mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n}, \pi^*(p^* \mathscr{M}))^{\mathrm{SL}}.$$

Let $a : \mathrm{SL} \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$ be the action map. Then $p \circ \pi = a \circ (\mathrm{id} \times \Upsilon)$ and hence

$$\pi^*(p^* \mathscr{M}) = (\mathrm{Id} \times \Upsilon)^*(a^* \mathscr{M}) \simeq (\mathrm{Id} \times \Upsilon)^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}} \boxtimes \mathscr{M}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}} \boxtimes \Upsilon^* \mathscr{M}.$$

The isomorphism $a^* \mathscr{M} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}} \boxtimes \mathscr{M}$ makes $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}} \boxtimes \mathscr{M}$ into a SL-equivariant $\mathscr{D}$-module on $\mathrm{SL} \times \mathfrak{X}$, where the action of SL on $\mathfrak{X}$ is trivial. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\mathrm{SL} \times \mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n}, \pi^*(p^* \mathscr{M}))^{\mathrm{SL}} &\simeq \Gamma(\mathrm{SL} \times \mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}} \boxtimes \Upsilon^* \mathscr{M})^{\mathrm{SL}} \\ &= \Gamma(\mathrm{SL}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{SL}})^{\mathrm{SL}} \boxtimes \Gamma(\mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n}, \Upsilon^* \mathscr{M})\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

For the definition of strongly étale used in the lemma below, we refer the reader to [Lun]. By *locally strongly étale*, we mean that for each point x in $\mathrm{SL} \times^{N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)} \mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n}$, there exists an affine, SL-saturated open neighborhood of x in such that the restriction of p to this neighborhood is étale.

Lemma 5.2.3. *The morphism* $p : \mathrm{SL} \times^{N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)} \mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n} \to \mathfrak{X}$ *is (locally) strongly étale.*

Proof. Let $Z = \mathrm{SL} \times^{N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)} \mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n}$. Let us first show that it is étale. For all $(x, v) \in \mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n}$, $g \cdot (x, v) = (x, v)$ implies that $g \in L \subseteq N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)$. Therefore p is quasi-finite; it will be étale if its differential is everywhere surjective. It suffices to show that the differential of $q = p \circ \pi : \mathrm{SL} \times \mathfrak{X}^\circ_{L_n} \to \mathfrak{X}$ is surjective. If we identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with *left* invariant vector fields on G, then for $g \in \mathrm{SL}, l \in L^\circ_n$ and $v \in V$,

$$d_{(g,l,v)} q : (X, y, w) \mapsto (gyg^{-1} + g[X, l]g^{-1}, g(w) + X(v)).$$

This is surjective: one can check this at $g = e$.

Next we show that it is locally strongly étale. Since both domain and image of p are smooth varieties, [Lun, Lemme Fondamental] says that it suffices to check that, for each $x \in Z$ such that $\mathrm{SL} \cdot x$ is closed we have $p(\mathrm{SL} \cdot x)$ is closed in $\mathfrak{X}$ and $p|_{\mathrm{SL} \cdot x}$ is injective. The closed orbits of SL in Z are all of the form $\mathrm{SL} \cdot (1, z, 0)$, where $z \in L^\circ_n \cap \mathrm{SL}^{ss}$ (here SL^{ss} is the set of all semi-simple elements in SL). Since the orbit of $(g \cdot z, 0)$ is closed in $\mathfrak{X}$ for any $g \in \mathrm{SL}$, $p(\mathrm{SL} \cdot x)$ is closed in $\mathfrak{X}$. Now assume that $(g, n \cdot z, 0)$ is mapped to $(z, 0)$ under p. Then $(gn) \cdot z = z$ and hence $gn \in Z_{\mathrm{SL}}(z)$. Since $z \in L^\circ_n$ this implies that $gn \in L_n$ and hence $(g, n \cdot z, 0) = (1, gn \cdot z, 0) = (1, z, 0)$. Thus $p|_{\mathrm{SL} \cdot x}$ is injective. □

6. THE FUNCTOR OF HAMILTONIAN REDUCTION

6.1. Recall that $\mathsf{H}^{\mathrm{trig}}_\kappa(\mathrm{SL})$ denotes the trigonometric Cherednik algebra of type SL, as defined in §8.1, and $\mathsf{U}_\kappa = e\mathsf{H}^{\mathrm{trig}}_\kappa(\mathrm{SL})e$ is the corresponding spherical subalgebra. As in §8.1, by using the Dunkl embedding, we think of U_κ as subalgebra of the simple ring $\mathsf{U}^{\mathrm{reg}} = \mathscr{D}((T_n)^{\mathrm{reg}})^W$. The algebra U_κ has two obvious commutative subalgebras: the subalgebra $\mathbb{C}[T_n]^W$ of W-invariant regular functions on T_n, and the subalgebra $(\mathrm{Sym}\, \mathfrak{t}_n)^W$.

The algebra U_κ is noetherian and we let U_κ-mod denote the abelian category of *finitely generated* left U_κ-modules. In this paper, we will also consider the following full subcategories of the category U_κ-mod:

- Category $(\mathsf{U}_\kappa : \mathbb{C}[T_n]^W)$-mod, whose objects are U_κ-modules which are finitely generated over the subalgebra $\mathbb{C}[T_n]^W \subset \mathsf{U}_\kappa$.
- Category $\mathcal{O}_\kappa$, whose objects are finitely generated U_κ-modules, such that the action of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{t}_n]^W$ is locally finite.

The fact that the natural map $[T^*(T_n)]/W \twoheadrightarrow T_n/W \times \mathfrak{t}_n/W$ is a finite morphism implies that $\mathcal{O}_\kappa$ is a full subcategory of $(\mathsf{U}_\kappa : \mathbb{C}[T_n]^W)$-mod. As in (2.8), we also have a spectral decomposition, c.f. [VV],

$$\mathcal{O}_\kappa = \bigoplus_{\Theta \in \mathfrak{t}_n^*/W_{\text{aff}}} \mathcal{O}_\kappa\langle\Theta\rangle.$$

Recall from section 1 that $\mathfrak{g}_c = (\mu - c\,\mathrm{Tr})(\mathfrak{g})$, $\mathcal{D} = \mathscr{D}(\mathfrak{X})$ and $\kappa = -c+1$. We consider the left $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}\cdot\mathfrak{g}_c$, resp. the right $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathcal{D}$. According to [GG], the space $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}\cdot\mathfrak{g}_c$ has the natural structure of a (weakly) G-equivariant $(\mathcal{D},\ \mathsf{U}_\kappa)$-bimodule. Similarly, the space $\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathcal{D}$ has the natural structure of a (weakly) G-equivariant $(\mathsf{U}_\kappa,\ \mathcal{D})$-bimodule. One has an (infinite) direct sum decomposition

$$\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathcal{D} = \bigoplus\nolimits_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Irr}\,G} (\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathcal{D})^{(\sigma)} \tag{6.1.1}$$

into G-isotypic components. The left U_κ-action on $\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathcal{D}$ commutes with the G-action. Hence, each isotypic component is U_κ-stable. Moreover, each isotypic component is a finitely generated U_κ-module. This follows from the fact that the associated graded of $\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathcal{D}$ with respect to the order filtration is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[T^*\mathfrak{X}]$-module and hence, by Hilbert's Theorem [Kra, Zusatz 3.2], each isotypic component

$$\mathrm{gr}[(\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathcal{D})^{(\sigma)}] = [\mathrm{gr}(\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathcal{D})]^{(\sigma)}$$

is a finitely generated $\mathrm{gr}[(\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathcal{D})^G] = \mathrm{gr}\,\mathsf{U}_\kappa$-module.

The functor of Hamiltonian reduction $\mathbb{H}_c : (\mathcal{D}, G, q)$-mon $\to \mathsf{U}_\kappa$-mod is defined by

$$\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}) = \{m \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \mathscr{M}) \mid \vec{u}\cdot m = c\,\mathrm{Tr}(u)m,\ \forall\, u \in \mathfrak{g}\}$$

Next, using the fact that the adjoint action of $\mu(\mathfrak{g})$ on $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{g}_c$ and $\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathcal{D}$, we introduce a pair of functors U_κ-mod $\to (\mathcal{D}, G)$-mon as follows

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\dagger\mathbb{H}(E) &:= \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{g}_c \bigotimes\nolimits_{\mathsf{U}_\kappa} E, \\ \mathbb{H}^\dagger(E) &:= \bigoplus\nolimits_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Irr}\,G} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{U}_\kappa}((\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathcal{D})^{(\sigma)},\ E). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the functor ${}^\dagger\mathbb{H}$ is right exact and the functor $\mathbb{H}^\dagger$ is left exact.

Lemma 6.1.2. (i) *The functor ${}^\dagger\mathbb{H}$ is a left adjoint, resp. $\mathbb{H}^\dagger$ is a right adjoint, of the functor $\mathbb{H}_c$. Each of the canonical adjunctions $\mathbb{H}_c \circ {}^\dagger\mathbb{H}(E) \to E$ and $E \to \mathbb{H}_c \circ \mathbb{H}^\dagger(E)$ is an isomorphisms.*

(ii) *For any $E \in$ U-mod, the module ${}^\dagger\mathbb{H}(E)$ has no quotient modules, resp. $\mathbb{H}^\dagger$ has no submodules, annihilated by $\mathbb{H}_c$.*

Proof. For any (G, q)-monodromic $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathscr{M}$, Proposition 2.1.2 implies that one has a canonical isomorphism $\mathscr{M}^{\mathfrak{g}_c} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{M}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathscr{M}$. Therefore, for each $\mathscr{M} \in (\mathcal{D}, G, q)$-mon, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{U}_\kappa}(\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}), E) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{U}_\kappa}(\mathscr{M}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathscr{M}, E) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{U}_\kappa}(\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathcal{D} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathscr{M}, E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathscr{M},\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{U}_\kappa}(\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathcal{D}, E)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $f : \mathscr{M} \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{U}_\kappa}(\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathcal{D}, E)$ be a $\mathscr{D}$-module morphism. The G-action on $\mathscr{M}$ being locally finite, any element $m \in \mathscr{M}$ is contained in a *finite* sum of G-isotypic components. Hence, $f(m)$ is also contained in a finite sum of G-isotypic components. It follows that the morphism f factors through a map $\mathscr{M} \to \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Irr}\,G} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{U}_\kappa}((\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathcal{D})^{(\sigma)},\ E)$. Thus, $\mathbb{H}^\dagger$ is a right adjoint of $\mathbb{H}_c$. Furthermore, we clearly have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}_c(\mathbb{H}^\dagger(E)) &= \left[\bigoplus\nolimits_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Irr}\,G} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{U}_\kappa}((\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathcal{D})^{(\sigma)},\ E)\right]^G \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{U}_\kappa}((\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathcal{D})^G,\ E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{U}_\kappa}(\mathsf{U}_\kappa, E) = E. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the statements in (i) concerning the functor $\mathbb{H}^\dagger$.

Now, let $\mathscr{M}$ be a module annihilated by $\mathbb{H}_c$. We get

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathscr{M}, \mathbb{H}^\dagger(E)) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{U}_\kappa}(\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}), E) = 0.$$

We deduce that $\mathbb{H}^\dagger(E)$ can not have a submodule annihilated by $\mathbb{H}_c$. This proves (ii) for $\mathbb{H}^\dagger$.

The statements concerning the functor ${}^\dagger\mathbb{H}$ are proved similarly. □

Remark 6.1.3. We expect, but can not prove, that $\mathbb{H}^\dagger(E)$ is a coherent $\mathcal{D}$-module, for any finitely generated U_κ-module E. Furthermore, it is likely that, for $E = \mathsf{U}_\kappa$ a rank one free module, one has $\mathbb{H}^\dagger(\mathsf{U}_\kappa) = \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{g}_c$.

Recall from (1.2.2) the Lagrangian subvariety $\mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}$ of $T^*\mathfrak{X}$. To state our next result, we need to introduce the category $(\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}})$-$\mathsf{mod}$, whose objects are coherent $\mathcal{D}$-modules $\mathscr{M}$ such that $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}) \subset \mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}}$. Any object $\mathscr{M} \in (\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}})$-$\mathsf{mod}$ is a G-monodromic, holonomic $\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-module. Such a module is a mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-module if and only if it has regular singularities. Thus, one has a strict inclusion $\mathscr{C}_q \subset (\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}})$-$\mathsf{mod}$.

Proposition 6.1.4. *The functors* $({}^\dagger\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H})$ *induce the following pairs of adjoint functors*

$$(1)\;\; (\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{M}_{\mathsf{nil}})\text{-}\mathsf{mod} \;\underset{{}^\dagger\mathbb{H}}{\overset{\mathbb{H}_c}{\rightleftarrows}}\; (\mathsf{U}_\kappa : \mathbb{C}[T_n]^W)\text{-}\mathsf{mod} \qquad (2)\;\; \mathscr{C}_q\langle\Theta\rangle \;\underset{{}^\dagger\mathbb{H}}{\overset{\mathbb{H}_c}{\rightleftarrows}}\; \mathcal{O}_\kappa\langle\Theta\rangle,\;\; \forall\, \Theta \in \mathfrak{t}_n^*/W_{\mathrm{aff}}.$$

Proof. For the left pair above, the result was proved in the course of the proof of [FG1], Proposition 4.6.2 (although part (ii) of that proposition, claiming that the functor ${}^\dagger\mathbb{H}$ sends category $\mathcal{O}(\mathsf{A}_{\kappa,\psi})$ to $\mathscr{C}_{\psi,c}$, is incorrect, as stated).

Recall next that the radial parts homomorphism $\mathfrak{R} : \mathcal{D}^G \to \mathsf{U}_\kappa$ of Theorem 8.2.1 yields an algebra isomorphism $\mathfrak{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\operatorname{Sym} \mathfrak{t}_n)^W$. This result, combined with Theorem 1.2.4, implies that the functor $\mathbb{H}_c$ sends the category $\mathscr{C}_q\langle\Theta\rangle$ to category $\mathcal{O}_\kappa\langle\Theta\rangle$.

For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}^{(N)}$ be the *generalized Harish-Chandra $\mathscr{D}$*-module, where we quotient out by $\mathfrak{Z}_\lambda^N$ instead of $\mathfrak{Z}_\lambda$. The module $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}^{(N)}$ has a finite filtration whose subquotients are quotients of $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}$. Thus, Corollary 2.7.3 implies that $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}^{(N)} \in \mathscr{C}_q\langle\Theta\rangle$, where Θ is the image of λ in $\mathfrak{t}_n^*/W_{\mathrm{aff}}$. Let $M \in \mathcal{O}_\kappa$ have generators $m_1, \dots, m_k$ say. Then ${}^\dagger\mathbb{H}(M)$ is generated by $1 \otimes m_1, \dots, 1 \otimes m_k$ say. For each i, arguing as in the proof of Corollary 2.7.3, one can find $\lambda_i \in \mathfrak{t}_n^*$ and $N \gg 0$ such that $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda,c}^{(N)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{D} \cdot (1 \otimes m_i)$. Thus, ${}^\dagger\mathbb{H}(M)$ belongs to $\mathscr{C}_q$. Moreover, if $M \in \mathcal{O}_\kappa\langle\Theta\rangle$, then the image of λ_i in $\mathfrak{t}_n^*/W_{\mathrm{aff}}$ will equal Θ for all i. This proves the second statement. □

Remark 6.1.5. It is straight-forward to see that, for $E \in \mathcal{O}_\kappa$, the $\mathfrak{Z}$-action on $\mathbb{H}^\dagger(E)$ is also locally finite.

For any $E \in \mathsf{U}_\kappa$-mod, there is a canonical vector space embedding

$$\imath :\; E = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{U}_\kappa}(\mathsf{U}_\kappa, E) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{U}_\kappa}((\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{g}_c\mathcal{D})^{\mathrm{triv}},\, E) \;\hookrightarrow\; \mathbb{H}^\dagger(E).$$

The map $\imath$ induces a $\mathcal{D}$-module morphism ${}^\dagger\mathbb{H}(E) = \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{g}_c \otimes_{\mathsf{U}_\kappa} E \to \mathbb{H}^\dagger(E)$. The latter morphism corresponds to the identity via the isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{id} \in \operatorname{Hom}(E, E) = \operatorname{Hom}(E, \mathbb{H}_c(\mathbb{H}^\dagger(E))) = \operatorname{Hom}({}^\dagger\mathbb{H}(E), \mathbb{H}^\dagger(E)).$$

We put $E_{!*} := \operatorname{Im}[{}^\dagger\mathbb{H}(E) \to \mathbb{H}^\dagger(E)]$. Equivalently, $E_{!*}$ is the $\mathcal{D}$-submodule of $\mathbb{H}^\dagger(E)$ generated by the subspace $\imath(E)$.

It is clear from definitions that $\mathbb{H}_c(E_{!*}) = E$ and that the object $E_{!*}$ has neither quotient nor sub objects annihilated by $\mathbb{H}_c$. This implies the following

Corollary 6.1.6. *For any simple object $E \in \mathcal{O}_\kappa$, one has*

(i) *The mirabolic module ${}^\dagger\mathbb{H}(E)$ has a simple top.*

(ii) *The top of ${}^\dagger\mathbb{H}(E)$ is $E_{!*}$ and $\mathbb{H}_c(E_{!*}) = E$.*

Proof. It was shown in [GG] that the functor $\mathbb{H}_c$ is an exact, quotient functor. Hence, for E simple, the $\mathscr{D}$-module ${}^\dagger\mathbb{H}(E)$ has exactly one simple composition factor, call it $\mathscr{M}$, such that $\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}) = E$. Now, suppose $f : {}^\dagger\mathbb{H}(E) \twoheadrightarrow \mathscr{M}'$ is a nonzero morphism onto a simple module $\mathscr{M}'$. The morphism f gives, by adjunction, a nonzero morphism $E \to \mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}')$. Hence, one must have $\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}') \neq 0$. We see that, for any simple summand $\mathscr{M}'$, of the top of ${}^\dagger\mathbb{H}(E)$, one has $\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}') \neq 0$. Thus, there is exactly one such summand and that summand must be the module $\mathscr{M}$. □

6.2. We define an analogue of $\mathbb{H}_c$ for the Levi subgroup L_n of SL by setting

$$\mathbb{H}_c^L(\mathscr{M}) = \{m \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{X}_{L_n}, \mathscr{M}) \mid \vec{u} \cdot m = c \operatorname{Tr}(u) m, \ \forall\, u \in \mathfrak{l}\},$$

where $\mathfrak{X}_{L_n} = L_n \times V$ and $\mathfrak{l} = \operatorname{Lie} L$. Since we have an explicit description of the L_n-cuspidal mirabolic modules, we can determine which of them belong to the kernel of $\mathbb{H}_c^L$.

Proposition 6.2.1. *Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathscr{M}(r,u,v,w)$ the module defined in (4.4.1). If $c \notin \mathbb{Q}$ then $\mathbb{H}_c^L(\mathscr{M}(r,u,v,w)) = 0$. Otherwise,*

(i) *if $c \in \mathbb{Q}_{\le 0}$ then $\mathbb{H}_c^L(\mathscr{M}(r,u,v,w)) \neq 0$ if and only if $u = 0$ and $c - \frac{r}{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\le 0}$.*

(ii) *If $c \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ then $\mathbb{H}_c^L(\mathscr{M}(r,u,v,w)) \neq 0$ if and only if $v = 0$ and $c + \frac{r}{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.*

Proof. If we decompose the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$ of L as $\mathfrak{gl}_m^{\oplus(u+v)} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1^{\oplus w}$ then the character $c \operatorname{Tr}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ restricts to the character $\frac{mc}{n} \operatorname{Tr}$ on $\mathfrak{gl}_m$ and $\frac{c}{n} \operatorname{Tr}$ on $\mathfrak{gl}_1$. Noting that there is a minus sign in the definition of χ_r given in [CEE, §9.8] that does not appear in our definition of θ as given in section 4.1, the result [CEE, Theorem 9.8] says that if r is coprime to n then $\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{L}_r \boxtimes \mathbb{C}[V])$ is nonzero if and only if $nc \in \mathbb{Z}_{\le 0}$ and $r \equiv -nc \bmod n$. Similarly, $\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{L}_r \boxtimes \delta_V)$ is nonzero if and only if $-nc \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge n}$ and $r \equiv -nc \bmod n$. Therefore, if $\mathbb{H}_c^L(\mathscr{M}(r,u,v,w)) \neq 0$ then either $u = 0$ or $v = 0$.

If $v \neq 0$, and hence $\lambda^- = (0, \dots, 0)$, then we must have $-mc \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \equiv -mc \bmod m$ i.e. $c = -\frac{r}{m} - a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $c \in \mathbb{Q}_{\le 0}$. To show that $\mathbb{H}_c^L(\mathscr{M}(r,0,v,w)) \neq 0$ we need to check that

$$\mathbb{H}_c\left(\mathscr{E}_{\frac{r}{m}}\right) = \mathbb{H}_{-\frac{r}{m}-a}\left(\mathscr{E}_{\frac{r}{m}}\right) \neq 0.$$

Noting that $\frac{r}{m} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$, the module $\mathscr{E}_{\frac{r}{m}}$ equals $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \cdot z^{\frac{r}{m}}$. Since $\mu_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{1}) = -z\partial_z$, the nonzero section $z^{a+\frac{r}{n}}$ belongs to $\mathbb{H}_c\left(\mathscr{E}_{\frac{r}{m}}\right)$. On the other hand, if $u \neq 0$ we must have $c = \frac{r}{m} + a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$. This implies that $\lambda^+ = (1^u)$. □

Recall from (4.5) that the T_n-cuspidal mirabolic modules on V are $\mathscr{M}(k) := \mathbb{C}[V_k] \boxtimes \delta_{V_{n-k}}$, for $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ and $\mathscr{M}(q) = j_{!*}\mathcal{O}_T^q$, where $j : T \hookrightarrow V$ is the embedding of the open T-orbit and $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Proposition 6.2.2. (1) *If $k \neq 0, n$ then $\mathbb{H}_c^T(\mathscr{M}(k)) = 0$ for all c.*

(2) *If $k = 0$ then $\mathbb{H}_c^T(\mathscr{M}(0)) \neq 0$ if and only if $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.*

(3) *If $k = n$ and $q \neq 1$ then $\mathbb{H}_c^T(\mathscr{M}(q)) \neq 0$ if and only if $q = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}c)$. If $q = 1$ then $\mathbb{H}_c^T(\mathscr{M}(q)) \neq 0$ if and only if $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\le 0}$.*

Proof. (1) We fix a basis $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ of V^* such that $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ is a basis of V_k and $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_n$ a basis of V_{n-k}. Then

$$\mathbb{H}_c^T(\mathscr{M}(k)) = \left\{ m \in \mathscr{M}(k) \ \middle| \ (x_i\partial_i + c) \cdot m = 0,\ 1 \le i \le n \right\}. \tag{6.2.3}$$

For $i \le k$, there exists some $0 \neq m \in \mathscr{M}(k)$ with $(x_i\partial_i + c) \cdot m = 0$ if and only if $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\le 0}$. Similarly, for $i > k$, there exists some $0 \neq m \in \mathscr{M}(k)$ with $(x_i\partial_i + c) \cdot m = 0$ if and only if $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$. Therefore $\mathbb{H}_c^T(\mathscr{M}(k)) = 0$.

(2) This follows from (6.2.3), noting that $x_i\partial_i \cdot m = \epsilon m$ implies that $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$ for $m \in \delta_V$.

(3) Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ be a basis of V such that $T = (x_i \neq 0,\ \forall\, i)$ and $T_n = V(x_1 \cdots x_n = 1)$. If $q = 1$ then $\mathcal{O}_T^q$ is the trivial local system on T and its minimal extension to V is just $\mathbb{C}[V]$. As in part (2), $\mathbb{H}_c^T(\mathbb{C}[V]) \neq 0$ if and only if $c \in n\mathbb{Z}_{\le 0}$. If $q \neq 1$ then consider

$$\mathscr{M}'(q) = \left[\mathscr{D}_1/\mathscr{D}_1(x_1\partial_1 - c)\right] \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \left[\mathscr{D}_n/\mathscr{D}_n(x_n\partial_n - c)\right],$$

where $\mathscr{D}_i = \mathbb{C}\langle x_i, \partial_i \rangle$. The module $\mathscr{M}'(q)$ is a simple $\mathscr{D}$-module whose restriction to $\mathbf{T}$ is the quotient of $\mathscr{D}_T$ by $x_i\partial_i - x_j\partial_j$ and $\mathsf{eu}_V - nc$. This is precisely the local system $\mathcal{O}_T^q$. Hence $\mathscr{M}'(q) = j_{!*}\mathcal{O}_T^q$. $\square$

6.3. The group $N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)$ is not connected. Therefore, for a $N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)$-equivariant $\mathscr{D}$-module $\mathscr{M}$ on $\mathfrak{X}_{L_n}$, we define

$$\mathbb{H}_c^{N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)}(\mathscr{M}) = \left[\mathbb{H}_c^L(\mathscr{M})\right]^{N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)}.$$

Corollary 6.3.1. *Let $\mathscr{M}$ be a simple mirabolic module whose support is $\overline{\mathfrak{X}(L_n, \Omega)}$.*

1. *The natural map*

$$\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}) \to \mathbb{H}_c^{N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)}(\mathrm{Red}_{L_n}^{\mathrm{SL}}\mathscr{M})$$

is an embedding.

2. *If $\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}) \neq 0$ then there exists an L_n-cuspidal mirabolic module $\mathscr{N}$ such that $\mathbb{H}_c^L(\mathscr{N}) \neq 0$.*

Proof. The morphism of (5.2.2) is a morphism of $\mathbb{C}[\mathsf{eu}_V]$-modules. Therefore the first claim follows from Proposition 5.2.1. As shown in Theorem 5.1.2, $\mathrm{Red}_{L_n}^{\mathrm{SL}}\mathscr{M}$ is a mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-module. Since $\mathbb{H}_c^L$ is a left exact functor, $\mathbb{H}_c^L(\mathscr{K}) \neq 0$ implies that there is a simple submodule $\mathscr{K}'$ of $\mathscr{K}$ such that $\mathbb{H}_c^L(\mathscr{K}') \neq 0$. Thus, if $\mathbb{H}_c^{N_{\mathrm{SL}}(L_n)}(\mathrm{Red}_{L_n}^{\mathrm{SL}}\mathscr{M}) \neq 0$ (and hence $\mathbb{H}_c^L(\mathrm{Red}_{L_n}^{\mathrm{SL}}\mathscr{M})$ is nonzero), then there exist a simple submodule $\mathscr{N}'$ of $\mathrm{Red}_{L_n}^{\mathrm{SL}}\mathscr{M}$ such that $\mathbb{H}_c^L(\mathscr{N}')$ is nonzero. As noted in the proof of Lemma 5.1.3, $\mathscr{N}'$ is isomorphic to $\mathsf{L}\boxtimes\mathscr{N}$, where $\mathscr{N}$ is an L_n-cuspidal mirabolic module. The fact that $\mathbb{H}_c^L(\mathscr{N}) \neq 0$ implies that $\mathbb{H}_c^L(\mathscr{N}) \neq 0$ too. $\square$

The above results allow us to prove Proposition 1.4.2.

Proof of Proposition 1.4.2. We may assume that the set $\mathrm{Supp}\,\mathscr{M}$ is the closure of some relevant stratum $\mathfrak{X}((m^v, 1^w), \emptyset)$ and let L_n be a Levi subgroup of SL of type $(m^v, 1^w)$. If $\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}) \neq 0$ then Corollary 6.3.1 implies that $\mathbb{H}_c^L(\mathscr{N}) \neq 0$ for some L_n-cuspidal mirabolic module on $\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}$. Proposition 6.2.1 implies that $c = \frac{rn}{m} \in \mathrm{Sing}_+$ and $\mathscr{N}$ must be supported on $\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}(\lambda^{\pm}(0; v, w))$. A similar argument applies for the remaining two cases. $\square$

6.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.2 and Corollary 1.5.4.** We now give a proof of Theorem 1.5.2 and Corollary 1.5.4. First we consider those simple quotients of $\mathscr{G}_{\lambda,c}$ that are supported on the complement of $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{reg}}$. Such a module $\mathscr{M}$ must be generated by a global section $\mathbf{m}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_c \cdot \mathbf{m} = 0$. Hence $\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}) \neq 0$. Therefore Proposition 1.4.2 implies that either

- $c = \frac{r}{m} \in \mathrm{Sing}_-$ and the support of $\mathscr{M}$ is the closure of a stratum $\mathfrak{X}((m^v, 1^w), \emptyset)$, for some $v, w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n = vm + w$; or
- $c = \frac{r}{m} \in \mathrm{Sing}_+$ and the support of $\mathscr{M}$ is the closure of a stratum $\mathfrak{X}((1^w), (m^u))$, for some $u, w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n = um + w$.

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and denote by $\tau : \mathcal{U}^{op} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{U}$ the isomorphism defined by $\tau(x) = -x$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then τ restricts to an automorphism of $\mathfrak{Z}$. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_n^*/W$, write $\mathfrak{Z}_{\tau(\lambda)} := \tau(\mathfrak{Z}_\lambda)$. By [FG2, Proposition 6.2.1], $\mathbb{D}(\mathscr{G}_{\lambda,c}) \simeq \mathscr{G}_{\tau(\lambda),-c+1}$ (the parameter c_{FG} of *loc. cit.* is our parameter c_{BG} by $c_{\mathrm{BG}} = -\frac{c_{\mathrm{FG}}}{n}$). Therefore, if $\mathscr{G}_{\lambda,c}$ has a submodule supported on the closure of some stratum $\mathfrak{X}(\mu,\nu)$, then $\mathscr{G}_{\tau(\lambda),-c+1}$ will have a quotient supported on the closure of $\mathfrak{X}(\mu,\nu)$. Hence if $\mathscr{N}$ is a simple submodule of $\mathscr{G}_{\lambda,c}$ that is supported on the complement of $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ then either, in case (1), the support of $\mathscr{N}$ must be the closure of a stratum $\mathfrak{X}((1^w),(m^u))$, for some $u, w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n = um+w$ or, in case (2), the support of $\mathscr{N}$ must be the closure of a stratum $\mathfrak{X}((m^v, 1^w), \emptyset)$, for some $v, w \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n = vm + w$.

Corollary 1.5.4 now follows from the fact that $\mathfrak{X}(\mu,\nu)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{cyc}}$ if and only if $\nu = \emptyset$.

7. HAMILTONIAN REDUCTION AND SHIFT FUNCTORS

7.1. Recall from section 1.3 that the determinant $\det$ defines a G-equivariant structure on the trivial line bundle over $T^*\mathfrak{X}$ by $g \cdot (x, t) = (g \cdot x, \det(g)^{-1} t)$ for all $x \in T^*\mathfrak{X}, g \in G$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$. The set of stable points with respect to this line bundle is

$$(T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{ss},+} = \{(g, Y, i, j) \in \mathrm{SL} \times \mathfrak{sl} \times V \times V^* \mid \mathbb{C}\langle g, Y\rangle \cdot i = V\}, \tag{7.1.1}$$

and the set of points that are stable with respect to the inverse $\det^{-1}$ equals

$$(T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{ss},-} = \{(g, Y, i, j) \in \mathrm{SL} \times \mathfrak{sl} \times V \times V^* \mid j \cdot \mathbb{C}\langle g, Y\rangle = V^*\}.$$

In both cases, a point is stable if and only if it is semi-stable. By definition, the complement $(T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{unst},\pm}$ is the unstable locus.

Let $\mu : T^*\mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ be the moment map for the action of G on $T^*\mathfrak{X}$.

Lemma 7.1.2. *For any module $\mathscr{M} \in \mathscr{C}$ the following holds:*

(i) *If $c \leq 0$ and $\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}) \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}) \cap (T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{ss},+} \neq \emptyset$.*

(ii) *If $c > 0$ and $\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}) \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}) \cap (T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{ss},-} \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. (i) By Proposition 1.4.2, $\mathscr{M}$ must be supported on the closure of $\mathfrak{X}((m^v, 1^w), \emptyset)$ and hence the closure of the conormal to this stratum is contained in $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})$. It follows from [Mc, Lemma 4.2.3] that the $T^*_{\mathfrak{X}(\lambda,\mu)}\mathfrak{X} \subseteq (T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{ss},+}$ if and only if $\mu = \emptyset$. Hence, the set $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}) \cap (T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{ss},+}$ is nonempty.

(ii) By Proposition 1.4.2, $\mathscr{M}$ must be supported on the closure of $\mathfrak{X}((1^w),(m^u))$. If (g, Y, i, j) is a point of $\mu^{-1}(0) \cap (T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{ss},-}$ then [GG, Lemma 2.1.3] says that $i = 0$. Arguing again as in [Mc, Lemma 4.2.3], one sees that if the intersection $(T^*_{\mathfrak{X}(\lambda,\mu)}\mathfrak{X}) \cap (T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{ss},-}$ is nonempty, then $\lambda = \emptyset$. Therefore, if $w > 0$, the conormal to $\mathfrak{X}((1^w),(m^u))$ is contained in the unstable locus, and we need some more information about $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})$ to conclude that $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}) \cap (T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{ss},-} \neq \emptyset$. Let L be the block diagonal Levi subgroup of G consisting of u blocks of size m and w blocks of size one. Then, Propositions 4.4.2 and 6.2.1 imply that the simple subquotients of $\mathrm{Red}^{\mathrm{SL}}_{L_n}(\mathscr{M})$ are of the form $\mathsf{L} \boxtimes \mathscr{M}(r,u,0,w)$ for some simple local system L on $Z(L_n)^{\circ}$ and r coprime to m. Recall that

$$\mathscr{M}(r,u,0,w) = \left[\boxtimes_{i=1}^{w} \mathscr{E}_{\frac{r}{m}}\right] \boxtimes \left[(\mathscr{L}_r \boxtimes \delta_{V_m})^{\boxtimes u}\right].$$

Since $\frac{r}{m} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$, the characteristic variety of $\mathscr{E}_{\frac{r}{m}}$ equals $(x=0) \cup (y=0)$ in $T^*\mathbb{C}$. Therefore, the closure of the conormal to $\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}(\emptyset,(m^u,1^w))$ in $T^*\mathfrak{X}_{[L,L]}$ is a component of $\mathrm{SS}(\mathsf{L} \boxtimes \mathscr{M}(r,u,0,w))$. This implies that it must also be a component of $\mathrm{Red}^{\mathrm{SL}}_{L_n}(\mathscr{M})$. Since Υ is non-characteristic for $\mathscr{M}$, this implies that the closure of the conormal to $\mathfrak{X}(\emptyset,(m^u,1^w))$ in $T^*\mathfrak{X}$ is a component of $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})$, as required. $\square$

Remark 7.1.3. We remark that the statements of Lemma 7.1.2 are false if the inequalities are removed. If we take $c = -\frac{r}{n} - k < 0$, where $(r,n) = 1$, and let $\mathscr{M}$ be the unique cuspidal mirabolic module whose support equals $\mathbf{U} \times V$, then $\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}) \neq 0$. However, the characteristic variety of $\mathscr{M}$ is $\overline{T^*_{\mathfrak{X}((n),\emptyset)}\mathfrak{X}}$, which is contained in $(T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{us},-}$. Similarly, if we take $c = \frac{r}{n} + k > 0$ and let $\mathscr{N}$ be the unique cuspidal mirabolic module whose support equals $\mathbf{U} \times \{0\}$, then $\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M}) \neq 0$. The characteristic variety of $\mathscr{M}$ is $\overline{T^*_{\mathfrak{X}(\emptyset,(n))}\mathfrak{X}}$, which is contained in $(T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{us},+}$.

7.2. For each $c \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists a sheaf of twisted differential operators $\mathscr{D}_{\mathbb{P},c}$ on $\mathbb{P} := \mathbb{P}(V)$. In order to agree with our conventions on G-monodromic modules, (2.2.3), we parameterize these twisted differential operators so that, for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(m)$ is a $\mathscr{D}_{\mathbb{P},-\frac{m}{n}}$-module. This implies that the Euler vector field $\mathsf{eu}_V = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \partial_i$ acts as the scalar $-nc$ on the global sections $\Gamma(\mathbb{P},\mathscr{M})$ of any $\mathscr{D}_{\mathbb{P},c}$-module $\mathscr{M}$.

Set $X := \mathrm{SL} \times \mathbb{P}(V) = \mathrm{SL} \times \mathbb{P}$ and let $\mathscr{D}_c$ be the sheaf of twisted differential operators on X (the twist entirely in the $\mathbb{P}$ direction). Recall that we have defined $V^o = V \smallsetminus \{0\}$. Put $\widetilde{X} := \mathrm{SL} \times V^o$. We have a natural diagram $\mathfrak{X} \overset{j}{\hookleftarrow} \widetilde{X} \overset{p}{\twoheadrightarrow} X$. Let $(\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{X}}, G, q)\text{-}\mathsf{mod}_0$ be the full subcategory of $(\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{X}}, G, q)\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$ whose objects have support contained in the subset $\mathrm{SL} \times \{0\} \subset \mathrm{SL} \times V$. One has the following standard result

Proposition 7.2.1. *Put* $q = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}c)$. *Then, the assignment* $\mathscr{M} \mapsto \ker(\mathsf{eu}_V + nc; p_{\bullet}j^*\mathscr{M})$ *gives an exact functor* $F_c : (\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{X}}, G, q)\text{-}\mathsf{mod} \to (\mathscr{D}_c, \mathrm{SL})\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$. *Furthermore, this functor kills the subcategory* $(\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{X}}, G, q)\text{-}\mathsf{mod}_0$ *and induces an equivalence*

$$(\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{X}}, G, q)\text{-}\mathsf{mod}/(\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{X}}, G, q)\text{-}\mathsf{mod}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathscr{D}_c, \mathrm{SL})\text{-}\mathsf{mod}.$$

Proof. Let the group $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ act on $\mathrm{SL} \times V^o$ by dilations of the second factor and recall that we have set $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SL} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. Let $a : G \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$, resp. $\widetilde{a} : \mathbf{G} \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$, be the action map for G, resp. for $\mathbf{G}$, and write $\rho : \mathbf{G} \twoheadrightarrow G$ for the quotient map. The kernel of ρ is denoted Γ, a cyclic group of order n. Then, the fact that $\widetilde{a} = a \circ \rho$ and $\rho^*\mathcal{O}_G^q \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}}^s$ implies that every (G,q)-monodromic module on $\mathfrak{X}$ is $(\mathbf{G},s)$-monodromic, where $s = q^n$. On the other hand, the category of $(\mathbf{G},s)$-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-modules *properly* contains the categories of all (G,q)-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-modules such that $q^n = s$. Therefore, we instead define the category of $(\mathbf{G},q,\Gamma)$-monodromic modules on $\mathfrak{X}$ (and $\widetilde{X}$) to be the category of all coherent $\mathscr{D}$-modules such that there exists a Γ-equivariant isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}}^q \boxtimes \mathscr{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{a}^*\mathscr{M}$. In this definition, we have identified all $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}}^d$, for $d \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\exp(\frac{2\pi i d}{n}) = q$, because there exists a Γ-*equivariant* isomorphism between these modules (one cannot identify all $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}}^d$ such that $\exp(2\pi i d) = s$ because the isomorphism between the various $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}}^d$ is not Γ-equivariant). Then, this category is naturally equivalent to the category of (G,q)-monodromic $\mathscr{D}$-modules.

Given a $(\mathbf{G}, q, \Gamma)$-monodromic module $\mathscr{M}$ with isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{O}^q_{\mathbf{G}} \boxtimes \mathscr{M} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} \widetilde{a}^* \mathscr{M}$, the fact that ϕ is Γ-equivariant implies that we get an isomorphism

$$\rho_{\bullet}\phi : (\mathcal{O}^q_{\mathbf{G}} \boxtimes \mathscr{M})^{\Gamma} = \mathcal{O}^q_G \boxtimes \mathscr{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} a^* \mathscr{M} = (\widetilde{a}^* \mathscr{M})^{\Gamma}.$$

The pullback functor $p^* : \mathscr{D}_c\textsf{-mod} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} (\mathscr{D}_{\widetilde{X}}, \mathbb{C}^{\times}, s)\textsf{-mod}$ is an equivalence, where s is set to be $\exp(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}c}{n})$. However, this does not unfortunately restrict to an equivalence $p^* : (\mathscr{D}_c, \mathrm{SL})\textsf{-mod} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} (\mathscr{D}_{\widetilde{X}}, \mathbf{G}, s)\textsf{-mod}$, even though the action of SL on $\widetilde{X}$ commutes with the action of $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$. On the other hand, $\mathscr{M} \mapsto \mathrm{Ker}(\mathsf{eu}_V + nc; p_{\bullet}\mathscr{M})$ does define an equivalence between $(\mathbf{G}, q, \Gamma)$-monodromic modules on $\widetilde{X}$ and $(\mathscr{D}_c, \mathrm{SL})\textsf{-mod}$. □

Observe that the image of $\mathbf{1} \in \mathfrak{g}$ under the quantum moment map $\mu_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is $-\mathsf{eu}_V$. Therefore, given a G-monodromic $\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{X}}$-module $\mathscr{M}$ and m a section of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \mathscr{M})$, we have $\mathsf{eu}_V \cdot m = -ncm$ if and only if $\mu_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbf{1}) \cdot m = c\,\mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{1})m$. This justifies our unusual parameterization of twisted differential operators on $\mathbb{P}(V)$.

From now on we assume that c is admissible, c.f. Definition 1.3.3. This assumption on c ensures, thanks to the Beilinson-Bernstein localization theorem, that the functor $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}, -)$, of global sections, provides an equivalence between the categories of sheaves of quasi-coherent $\mathscr{D}_{\mathbb{P},c}$ and of $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}, \mathscr{D}_{\mathbb{P},c})$-modules, respectively. Admissibility also implies, [GGS, Lemma 6.2], that

$$\mathscr{D}_c(X) = \Gamma(X,\ (p_{\bullet}\mathscr{D}_{\widetilde{X}}/p_{\bullet}\mathscr{D}_{\widetilde{X}}(\mathsf{eu}_{\mathfrak{X}} + nc))^{\mathbb{C}^{\times}} = (\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}(\mathsf{eu}_{\mathfrak{X}} + cn))^{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}.$$

Therefore, for any $\mathcal{D}$-module M, the vector space

$$\ker(\mathsf{eu}_{\mathfrak{X}} + nc; M) := \{m \in M \mid \mathsf{eu}_{\mathfrak{X}}(m) = -nc \cdot m\}$$

has a natural $\mathscr{D}_c(X)$-module structure. In this case, we also have an equivalence between $\mathscr{D}_c$-modules and $\mathscr{D}_c(X)$-modules. Thus, below we will freely switch between the setting of sheaves of $\mathscr{D}_c$-modules and that of $\mathscr{D}_c(X)$-modules, whichever is more convenient.

A regular holonomic $\mathscr{D}_c$-module $\mathscr{M} \in (\mathscr{D}_c, \mathrm{SL})\textsf{-mod}$ will be called "mirabolic" if $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})$ is contained in $(\mathrm{SL} \times \mathcal{N}) \times T^*\mathbb{P}$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is the nilpotent cone in $\mathfrak{sl}$. Let $\mathscr{C}_c$ be the full subcategory of $(\mathscr{D}_c, \mathrm{SL})\textsf{-mod}$ formed by all mirabolic $\mathscr{D}_c$-modules. Further, let $(\mathscr{C}_q)_0 = \mathscr{C}_q \cap (\mathscr{D}_{\mathfrak{X}}, G, q)\textsf{-mod}_0$ be the category of mirabolic $\mathcal{D}$-modules supported on the subset $\mathrm{SL} \times \{0\} \subset \mathrm{SL} \times V$. Then, the equivalence of Proposition 7.2.1 induces an equivalence

$$\mathscr{C}_q/(\mathscr{C}_q)_0 \ \stackrel{\sim}{\to}\ \mathscr{C}_c. \tag{7.2.2}$$

The functor of Hamiltonian reduction $\mathbb{H} : \mathscr{C}_c \to \mathcal{O}_{\kappa}$ is defined to be $\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}) = \Gamma(X, \mathscr{M})^{\mathrm{SL}}$. Proposition 7.2.1 implies that

$$\mathbb{H}_c = \mathbb{H} \circ F_c, \quad \text{whenever } c \text{ is admissible.} \tag{7.2.3}$$

7.3. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathcal{O}(m)$ be the pull-back of the standard line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(m)$ under the projection $G \times \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$. Tensoring by $\mathcal{O}(nm)$ defines an equivalence $\mathscr{C}_c \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{C}_{c-m}$, $\mathscr{M} \mapsto \mathscr{M}(nm)$. Define

$$_{c-m}\mathscr{D}_c = \left[p_{\bullet}\mathscr{D}_{\widetilde{X}}/p_{\bullet}\mathscr{D}_{\widetilde{X}}(\mathsf{eu}_{\mathfrak{X}} + cn)\right]^{(nm)},$$

where, for a $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$-equivariant, quasi-coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}$-modules $\mathscr{M}$, $(p_{\bullet}\mathscr{M})^{(i)}$ is defined to be the sheaf of all sections m such that $\lambda \cdot m = \lambda^i m$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. By [GGS, Lemma 2.2], $_{c-m}\mathscr{D}_c$ is a $(\mathscr{D}_{c-m}, \mathscr{D}_c)$-bimodule (we remark that the "d" of *loc. cit.* equals our parameter "c").

Lemma 7.3.1 ([GGS], Lemma 6.7 (1))**.** *Assume that c is admissible. For each positive integer m and $\mathscr{M} \in \mathscr{C}_c$, we have*

$$\mathscr{M}(nm) = {}_{c-m}\mathscr{D}_c \otimes_{\mathscr{D}_c} \mathscr{M}$$

In section §8 below (see Corollary 8.1.3), we will introduce natural $(\mathsf{U}_\kappa, \mathsf{U}_{\kappa+1})$, resp. $(\mathsf{U}_{\kappa+1}, \mathsf{U}_\kappa)$-bimodules ${}_\kappa\mathsf{P}_{\kappa+1}$, resp. ${}_{\kappa+1}\mathsf{Q}_\kappa$, for all $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$. We then define inductively

$${}_\kappa\mathsf{P}_{\kappa+m} = ({}_\kappa\mathsf{P}_{\kappa+1}) \cdot ({}_{\kappa+1}\mathsf{P}_{\kappa+m}), \quad {}_{\kappa+m}\mathsf{Q}_\kappa = ({}_{\kappa+m}\mathsf{Q}_{\kappa+m-1}) \cdot ({}_{\kappa+1}\mathsf{Q}_\kappa),$$

where the multiplication is defined inside U^{reg}, making ${}_\kappa\mathsf{P}_{\kappa+m}$, resp. ${}_{\kappa+m}\mathsf{Q}_\kappa$, into a $(\mathsf{U}_\kappa, \mathsf{U}_{\kappa+m})$, resp. $(\mathsf{U}_{\kappa+m}, \mathsf{U}_\kappa)$-bimodule. Define the shift functor $\mathbb{S} : \mathsf{U}_\kappa\text{-mod} \to \mathsf{U}_{\kappa+1}\text{-mod}$ by

$$\mathbb{S}(M) = {}_{\kappa+1}\mathsf{Q}_\kappa \otimes_{\mathsf{U}} M.$$

Theorem 8.1.4 implies that $\mathbb{S}$ that provides, for any κ such that both κ and $\kappa+1$ are good, an equivalence $\mathbb{S} : \mathcal{O}_\kappa \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\kappa+1}$. Recall that $\kappa = -c+1$. We will use the following version of [GGS, §6.4]:

Proposition 7.3.2. *Assume that c is admissible.*

(i) *For any $\mathscr{M} \in \mathscr{C}_c$ there is a canonical morphism $\psi_c : \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M})) \to \mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(n))$.*

(ii) *Let $M \in \mathcal{O}_\kappa$ and $\mathscr{M} := {}^\dagger\mathbb{H}(M)$. Then, the morphism $\psi_c : \mathbb{S}(M) = \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M})) \to \mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(n))$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By Lemma 7.3.1, one has: $\mathscr{M}(n) = {}_{c-1}\mathscr{D}_c \otimes_{\mathscr{D}_c} \mathscr{M}$. Taking SL-invariants, we obtain a natural map

$$f : \ ({}_{c-1}\mathscr{D}_c)^{\mathrm{SL}} \otimes_{(\mathscr{D}_c)^{\mathrm{SL}}} \mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{SL}} \longrightarrow \big({}_{c-1}\mathscr{D}_c \otimes_{\mathscr{D}_c} \mathscr{M}\big)^{\mathrm{SL}}.$$

We claim that the map f descends to $[({}_{c-1}\mathscr{D}_c)^{\mathrm{SL}}/({}_{c-1}\mathscr{D}_c\mathfrak{g}_c)^{\mathrm{SL}}] \otimes_{(\mathscr{D}_c)^{\mathrm{SL}}} \mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{SL}}$, a quotient space. To see this, let $(-)_{\mathfrak{sl}}$ denote the functor of $\mathfrak{sl}$-coinvariants, c.f. §6.1. The natural projection

$$h : \ \big({}_{c-1}\mathscr{D}_c \otimes_{\mathscr{D}_c} \mathscr{M}\big)^{\mathrm{SL}} \longrightarrow \big({}_{c-1}\mathscr{D}_c \otimes_{\mathscr{D}_c} \mathscr{M}\big)_{\mathfrak{sl}}.$$

is an isomorphism since the $\mathfrak{sl}$-action involved is locally finite. Note that we have an equality $({}_{c-1}\mathscr{D}_c\mathfrak{g}_c)^{\mathrm{SL}} = (\mathfrak{g}_{c-1}({}_{c-1}\mathscr{D}_c))^{\mathrm{SL}}$ and that the composite map $h \circ f$ clearly kills the subspace $(\mathfrak{g}_{c-1}({}_{c-1}\mathscr{D}_c))^{\mathrm{SL}} \otimes_{\mathscr{D}_c^{\mathrm{SL}}} \mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{SL}}$. Hence, the map f kills this subspace as well, and our claim follows.

We conclude that the map f descends to a well defined map

$$\psi_c : \ ({}_{c-1}\mathscr{D}_c/{}_{c-1}\mathscr{D}_c\mathfrak{g}_c)^{\mathrm{SL}} \otimes_{(\mathscr{D}_c/\mathscr{D}_c\mathfrak{g}_c)^{\mathrm{SL}}} \mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{SL}} \longrightarrow \big({}_{c-1}\mathscr{D}_c \otimes_{\mathscr{D}_c} \mathscr{M}\big)^{\mathrm{SL}}.$$

Further, we have $(\mathscr{D}_c/\mathscr{D}_c\mathfrak{g}_c)^{\mathrm{SL}} = \mathsf{U}_\kappa$ and also ${}_{\kappa+1}\mathsf{Q}_\kappa = ({}_{c-1}\mathscr{D}_c/{}_{c-1}\mathscr{D}_c\mathfrak{g}_c)^{\mathrm{SL}}$, see part (ii) of [GGS, Lemma 6.7]. Thus, the map ψ_c takes the following form

$$\psi_c : \ \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M})) = {}_{\kappa+1}\mathsf{Q}_\kappa \otimes_{\mathsf{U}_\kappa} \mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{SL}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(n)).$$

This proves (i). The statement of part (ii) is [GGS, Theorem 6.5]. □

Recall that we defined two notions of stability in section 7.1, one with respect to $\det$ and the other with respect to $\det^{-1}$. By analogy with the description of $(T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{ss},+}$ given in (7.1.1), we say that a point of T^*X is *semi-stable* if it is contained in

$$(T^*X)^{\mathrm{ss}} := \{(g, Y, \ell, j) \in \mathrm{SL} \times \mathfrak{sl} \times T^*\mathbb{P} \mid \mathbb{C}\langle g, Y\rangle \cdot \ell = V\}.$$

The set of unstable points in X is denoted $(T^*X)^{\mathrm{unst}}$. For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote by $\mathcal{O}(m)$ the line bundle on T^*X obtained by pulling back $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(m)$ along the projection $T^*X \to X \to \mathbb{P}$.

Lemma 7.3.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a SL-equivariant, coherent $\mathcal{O}_{T^*X}$-module. Then, $\operatorname{Supp}\mathcal{F} \cap (T^*X)^{\mathrm{ss}} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\Gamma(T^*X, \mathcal{O}(mn) \otimes \mathcal{F})^{\mathrm{SL}} \neq 0$ for $m \gg 0$.*

Proof. Let $Y = \mu_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{-1}(0) \subset T^*\mathfrak{X}$, where $\mu_{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ is the moment map for the Hamiltonian $\mathbb{C}^\times$-action on $T^*\mathfrak{X}$. Recall that $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{SL} \times \mathbb{C}^\times$. Let $\det : \mathbb{C}^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the character $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^n$ and denote by the same symbol the corresponding character of $\mathbf{G}$. Then $Y^{\mathrm{ss},\mathbf{G}} \subseteq Y^{\mathrm{ss},\mathbb{C}^\times}$, where stability is with respect to $\det$. The group $\mathbb{C}^\times$ acts freely on $Y^{\mathrm{ss},\mathbb{C}^\times}$ and the quotient space is T^*X. Therefore, in the notation of [GGS, Proposition 7.4], there exists a coherent, $\mathbf{G}$-equivariant sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ on Y such that

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{F}\left(\bigoplus_{m\geq 0} \Gamma(Y,\mathcal{G})^{(\mathbb{C}^\times,\det^{-m})}\right) = \mathbb{F}\left(\bigoplus_{m\geq 0} \Gamma(T^*X, \mathcal{O}(nm)\otimes\mathcal{F})\right).$$

Thus, for $m \gg 0$, we have $\Gamma(Y,\mathcal{G})^{(\mathbb{C}^\times,\det^{-m})} = \Gamma(T^*X,\mathcal{O}(nm)\otimes\mathcal{F})$ and hence

$$\Gamma(Y,\mathcal{G})^{(\mathbf{G},\det^{-m})} = \Gamma(T^*X,\mathcal{O}(nm)\otimes\mathcal{F})^{\mathrm{SL}}.$$

By [GGS, Proposition 7.4 (2)], $\Gamma(Y,\mathcal{G})^{(\mathbf{G},\det^{-m})} \neq 0$ for $m \gg 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Supp}\mathcal{G} \cap Y^{\mathrm{ss},G} \neq \emptyset$. Since $(T^*X)^{\mathrm{ss}}$ is just the image of $Y^{\mathrm{ss},\mathbf{G}}$ in T^*X, and the coherent sheaf on $Y^{\mathrm{ss},\mathbf{G}}/\mathbf{G}$ corresponding by descent to $\mathcal{G}$ is also the sheaf corresponding by descent to $\mathcal{F}$, we conclude that $\operatorname{Supp}\mathcal{F} \cap (T^*X)^{\mathrm{ss}} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\Gamma(T^*X,\mathcal{O}(m)\otimes\mathcal{F})^{\mathrm{SL}} \neq 0$ for $m \gg 0$. $\square$

Lemma 7.3.4. *Given $\mathscr{M} \in \mathscr{C}_c$, there exists an integer $\ell(\mathscr{M}) \gg 0$ such that, for all $k \geq \ell(\mathscr{M})$ one has*

(i) *The canonical morphism $\psi_{c-k} : \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(kn)) \to \mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}((k+1)n))$ is surjective.*

(ii) *The set $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})$ contains semistable points if and only if $\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(kn)) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let $A^0 = \mathbb{C}[T_n \times \mathfrak{t}_n^*]^W$ be the invariants, resp. $A^1 \subset \mathbb{C}[T_n \times \mathfrak{t}_n^*]$ the sign-isotypic component, of the diagonal W-action. For each $k \geq 1$, we let $A^k \subset \mathbb{C}[T_n \oplus \mathfrak{t}_n^*]$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-linear span of the set of elements of the form $a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_k$, for $a_i \in A^1$. Thus, $\widehat{A} := \oplus_{k\geq 0} A^k$ is a commutative, graded algebra.

Since $\mathscr{M}$ is a regular holonomic, SL-equivariant $\mathscr{D}$-module on T^*X, we may choose, by [KK, Corollary 5.1.11], a good, SL-stable filtration on $\mathscr{M}$ such that the associated graded sheaf is reduced. This gives a good, reduced filtration on $\mathscr{M}(kn)$ for each $k \geq 0$. Let $\mathrm{gr}(\mathscr{M}(kn))$ denote the associated graded space. Then, we have

$$(\mathrm{gr}\,\mathscr{M}(kn))^{\mathrm{SL}} = \mathrm{gr}(\mathscr{M}(kn)^{\mathrm{SL}}),$$

and, if $\mu_X : T^*X \to \mathfrak{sl}^*$ is the moment map, then $\mathrm{gr}\,\mathscr{M}(kn)$ is supported on $\mu_X^{-1}(0)$. Hence, by our assumption on the filtration, it is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mu_X^{-1}(0)}$-module. If $Y = \mu_{\mathbb{C}^\times}^{-1}(0)$ as in the proof of Lemma 7.3.3 and $p : Y^{\mathrm{ss},\mathbb{C}^\times} \to T^*X$ the quotient map, then the closure of $p^{-1}(\mu_X^{-1}(0))$ in $T^*\mathfrak{X}$ is contained in $\mu_{\mathfrak{X}}^{-1}(0)$ since the action of $\mathrm{SL}\times\mathbb{C}^\times$ on $T^*\mathfrak{X}$ factors through the action of G. Thus, the direct sum $\oplus_{k\geq 0}(\mathrm{gr}\,\mathscr{M}(kn))$ is a finitely generated module for the graded algebra $\oplus_{k\geq 0}\mathbb{C}[\mu_{\mathfrak{X}}^{-1}(0)]^{(\mathbb{C}^\times,\det^{-k})}$. Since SL is reductive, Hilbert's Theorem, [Kra, Zusatz 3.2], implies that the space $\oplus_{k\geq 0}(\mathrm{gr}\,\mathscr{M}(kn))^{\mathrm{SL}}$ is a finitely generated module for the algebra

$$\left(\bigoplus_{k\geq 0}\mathbb{C}[\mu_{\mathfrak{X}}^{-1}(0)]^{(\mathbb{C}^\times,\det^{-k})}\right)^{\mathrm{SL}} = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0}\mathbb{C}[\mu_{\mathfrak{X}}^{-1}(0)]^{(G,\det^{-k})} \simeq \widehat{A},$$

where the isomorphism of the right hand side is given by [GG, Proposition A2]. It follows, since the algebra $\widehat{A}$ is generated over A^0 by its degree one component A^1, that for all $k \gg 0$, one has an equality $(\mathrm{gr}\,\mathscr{M}((k+1)n))^{\mathrm{SL}} = A^1 \cdot (\mathrm{gr}\,\mathscr{M}(kn))^{\mathrm{SL}}$.

One has an isomorphism $\mathrm{gr}({}_{\kappa+1}\mathsf{Q}_{\kappa}) = A$, see [GGS, Theorem 5.3] and also [GS1, Lemma 6.9(2)]. We deduce that the map $\mathrm{gr}({}_{\kappa+1}\mathsf{Q}_{\kappa})\otimes\mathrm{gr}(\mathscr{M}(kn)^{\mathrm{SL}}) \to \mathrm{gr}(\mathscr{M}((k+1)n)^{\mathrm{SL}})$ is surjective. This implies that the map ${}_{\kappa+1}\mathsf{Q}_{\kappa} \otimes (\mathscr{M}(kn))^{\mathrm{SL}} \to (\mathscr{M}((k+1)n))^{\mathrm{SL}}$ is surjective, proving (i).

To prove (ii), we apply Lemma 7.3.3 to $\mathrm{gr}\,\mathscr{M}$, a coherent sheaf on T^*X. Specifically, Lemma 7.3.3 says that the set $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{gr}\,\mathscr{M}) = \mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})$ contains semistable points if and only if $\Gamma(T^*\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}(nk)\otimes\mathrm{gr}\,\mathscr{M})^{\mathrm{SL}} \neq 0$ for all sufficiently large positive integers k. The latter holds if and only $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}(nk)\otimes\mathscr{M})^{\mathrm{SL}} \neq 0$. $\square$

7.4. The following is an analogue of Theorem 1.3.4 for mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-modules on X.

Theorem 7.4.1. *Assume* $c \in \mathbb{C}$ *is admissible and let* $\mathscr{M} \in \mathscr{C}_c$. *Then,* $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}) \subset (T^*X)^{\mathrm{unst}}$ *if and only if* $\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}) = 0$.

Proof. The functor $\mathbb{H}$ being exact, it suffices to prove the result for simple modules. Thus, let $\mathscr{M} \in \mathscr{C}_c$ be a simple module. If the set $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})$ does not contain semistable points then $\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}) = 0$ by the previous lemma.

So, assume that the set $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})$ contains semistable points. We choose and fix an integer $\ell = \ell(\mathscr{M})$ as in the statement of Lemma 7.3.4. For any $k \geq \ell$, by part (ii) of the lemma we have that $\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(kn))$ is a (nonzero) simple object. Furthermore, writing $M_\ell := \mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(\ell n))$, we deduce from part (i) of Lemma 7.3.4 that the canonical map $\psi^{k-\ell} : \mathbb{S}^{k-\ell}(M_\ell) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(kn))$ is surjective for any $k \geq \ell$. Note that the object $\mathbb{S}^{k-\ell}(M_\ell)$ is simple since the functor $\mathbb{S}^{k-\ell}$ is an equivalence. It follows that the above map $\psi^{k-\ell}$ is in fact an isomorphism. Thus, from Lemma 6.1.6, we conclude that $\mathscr{M}(kn) = [\mathbb{S}^{k-\ell}(M_\ell)]_{!*}$ is the simple top of the object ${}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{S}^{k-\ell}(M_\ell))$.

Observe next that the functor $\mathbb{S}^{\ell}$ is also an equivalence. It follows that there is a unique simple object $M \in \mathcal{O}_\kappa$ such that one has $M_\ell = \mathbb{S}^{\ell}(M)$. Then, using Proposition 7.3.2, we find

$$\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(kn)) = \mathbb{S}^{k-\ell}(M_\ell) = \mathbb{S}^{k}(M) = \mathbb{H}({}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(M)(kn)), \quad k \geq \ell.$$

We consider the following composition

$$u:\ {}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(kn))) \longrightarrow {}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{H}({}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(M)(kn))) \longrightarrow {}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(M)(kn),$$

where the first map is obtained by applying ${}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(-)$ to the composite isomorphism above and the second map is the canonical adjunction. Note that, the morphism $\mathbb{H}(u)$ induced by u is, by construction, the identity map on $\mathbb{S}^k(M)$. Let $\mathscr{M}' = M_{!*}$ be the simple top of ${}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(M)$. Hence, $\mathscr{M}'(kn)$ is the simple top of ${}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(M)(kn)$. Note that since $\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}') = M \neq 0$, the set $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}')$ contains semistable points, by Lemma 7.1.2. Therefore, for $k \gg 0$ by Lemma 7.3.4(ii) one has $\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}'(kn)) \neq 0$. Thus, we choose (as we may) $k \geq \ell$ such that $\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}'(kn)) \neq 0$. Recall that the object $\mathbb{H}({}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(M)(kn)) = \mathbb{S}^k(M)$ is simple. Therefore, the $\mathscr{D}$-module ${}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(M)(kn)$ contains a single composition factor that has a nonzero Hamiltonian reduction. But, $\mathscr{M}'(kn)$ is a simple quotient of ${}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(M)(kn)$ that has this property. Thus, we deduce that $\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}'(kn)) = \mathbb{H}({}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(M)(kn)) = \mathbb{S}^k(M)$.

To complete the proof, we consider a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathscr{M}(kn) & {}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(kn))) \arrow[l, two heads, "pr"'] \arrow[r, "u"] & {}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(M)(kn) \arrow[r, two heads, "pr'"] & \mathscr{M}'(kn), \end{tikzcd}$$

where the map pr, resp. pr', is the projection of ${}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(kn)))$, resp. ${}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(M)(kn)$, onto its simple top. Our construction implies that $\ker(pr)$ is the largest submodule of ${}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(kn)))$ annihilated by the functor $\mathbb{H}$, resp. $\ker(pr')$ is the largest submodule of ${}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(M)(kn)$ annihilated by the functor $\mathbb{H}$. It follows that the morphism u in the above diagram maps $\ker(pr)$

into $\ker(pr')$. Hence, the morphism descends to a map

$$\bar{u}:\ \mathscr{M}(kn)\cong {}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(kn)))/\ker(pr)\ \to\ \mathscr{M}'(kn)\cong {}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(M)(kn)/\ker(pr').$$

Note that the induced map $\mathbb{H}(\bar{u}):\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(kn))\to\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}'(kn))$ may be identified with the composite isomorphism $\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(kn))=\mathbb{S}^k(M)=\mathbb{H}({}^{\dagger}\mathbb{H}(M)(kn))$. In particular, $\mathbb{H}(\bar{u})$ is a nonzero map. We conclude that $\bar{u}$ is a nonzero map between two simple objects. Thus, this map is an isomorphism. It follows that $\mathscr{M}\cong\mathscr{M}'$.

We deduce that $\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M})=\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}')=\mathbb{H}(M_{!*})=M\neq 0$. Furthermore, we obtain a chain of isomorphisms $\mathbb{S}^{\ell}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}))=\mathbb{S}^{\ell}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}'))=\mathbb{S}^{\ell}(M)=\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(\ell n))$. Using this and the fact that $\mathbb{S}$ is an equivalence, one deduces by induction on i that the canonical morphism $\mathbb{S}^{\ell-i}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}))\to\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(in))$ must be an isomorphism for any $i=0,1,\dots,\ell-1$. This completes the proof. □

7.5. *Proof of Theorem 1.3.4.* As in the proof of Theorem 7.4.1, we may assume that $\mathscr{M}\in\mathscr{C}_q$ is simple. If $\mathscr{M}\in(\mathscr{C}_q)_0$ then Proposition 1.4.1 implies that the support of $\mathscr{M}$ is the closure of $\mathfrak{X}(\emptyset,(m^u))$ for some $n=um$, hence $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})\subset(T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{unst},+}$. Then Proposition 1.4.2, together with our assumption that c is admissible, implies that $\mathbb{H}_c(\mathscr{M})=0$. Therefore we may assume that $F_c(\mathscr{M})$, the image of $\mathscr{M}$ in $\mathscr{C}_q/(\mathscr{C}_q)_0\simeq\mathscr{C}_c$ is nonzero. By Theorem 7.4.1 and equation (7.2.3) it suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})\cap(T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{ss},+}\neq\emptyset\iff\mathrm{SS}(F_c(\mathscr{M}))\cap(T^*X)^{\mathrm{ss}}\neq\emptyset.$$

The fact that $\mathrm{SS}(F_c(\mathscr{M}))\cap(T^*X)^{\mathrm{ss}}\neq\emptyset$ implies that $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})\cap(T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{ss},+}\neq\emptyset$ follows from the fact that $(T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{ss},+}\subset T^*\widetilde{X}$ and $\mathrm{SS}(F_c(\mathscr{M}))=(T^*_{\mathbb{C}^\times}\widetilde{X}\cap\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}))/\mathbb{C}^\times$.

On the other hand, if $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})\cap(T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{ss},+}\neq\emptyset$ then, as noted in the proof of Lemma 7.1.2, we can find some relevant stratum $\mathfrak{X}(\lambda,\emptyset)$ such that the conormal $T^*_{\mathfrak{X}(\lambda,\emptyset)}\mathfrak{X}$ to this stratum has non-empty intersection with $(T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{ss},+}$; in fact, the conormal is contained in $(T^*\mathfrak{X})^{\mathrm{ss},+}$. The intersection $T^*_{\mathfrak{X}(\lambda,\emptyset)}\mathfrak{X}\cap T^*_{\mathbb{C}^\times}\widetilde{X}$ is dense in $T^*_{\mathbb{C}^\times}\widetilde{X}$. Thus, $\mathrm{SS}(F_d(\mathscr{M}))\cap(T^*X)^{\mathrm{ss}}\neq\emptyset$ as required. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3.7. We are going to show that the canonical morphism $\psi_c:\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}))\to\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(n))$ is an isomorphism for any $\mathscr{M}\in\mathscr{C}_c$. The functors $\mathbb{S}$, $\mathbb{H}$, and also the twist functor $(-)(n)$, all being exact, it suffices to prove the result for simple mirabolic modules. Thus, let $\mathscr{M}\in\mathscr{C}_c$ be a simple module.

Assume first that the set $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})$ does not contain semistable points. Since $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}(n))=\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})$, we deduce from Lemma 7.1.2 that $\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M})=0$ and $\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(n))=0$. Therefore, the map ψ_c is, in this case, a map between two zero vector spaces and we are done.

Assume now that the set $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})$ contains semistable points. Since $\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M}(kn))=\mathrm{SS}(\mathscr{M})$, we deduce that $\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(kn))\neq 0$, by Theorem 1.3.4. Thus, $\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M})$ and $\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(n))$ are nonzero simple objects. Therefore, to complete the proof it suffices to show that the canonical map $\psi_c:\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}))\to\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(n))$ is nonzero.

Choose an integer $\ell=\ell(\mathscr{M})$ as in Lemma 7.3.4 and use the notation of the proof of Theorem 1.3.4. In particular, by definition, we have $\mathbb{S}^{\ell}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}'))=M_{\ell}=\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(\ell n))$. Furthermore, we have shown in the course of the proof that, in fact, one has an isomorphism $\mathscr{M}'\cong\mathscr{M}$. Therefore, we get an isomorphism $\phi:\mathbb{S}^{\ell}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}))\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(\ell n))$. On the other hand, going through the construction of the isomorphism $\mathscr{M}'\cong\mathscr{M}$, one sees that the map ϕ may be factored as a composition of the following chain of maps

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{S}^{\ell}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M})) = \mathbb{S}^{\ell-1}(\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}))) &\xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}^{\ell-1}(\psi_c)} \mathbb{S}^{\ell-1}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(n))) = \mathbb{S}^{\ell-2}(\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(n))))\\ &\xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}^{\ell-2}(\psi_{c-1})} \mathbb{S}^{\ell-2}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(2n))) = \mathbb{S}^{\ell-3}(\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(3n))))\\ &\xrightarrow{\mathbb{S}^{\ell-3}(\psi_{c-2})} \dots \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(\ell-1)n)) \xrightarrow{\psi_{d-(\ell-1)}} \mathbb{H}(\mathscr{M}(\ell n))\ .\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that $\mathbb{S}^{\ell-1}(\psi_c)$, the first map in the chain, is nonzero. Hence, the map ψ_c is itself nonzero, and we are done. ☐

8. APPENDIX: SHIFT FUNCTORS IN THE TRIGONOMETRIC CASE

The goal of this appendix is to adapt the results of [GGS] to the setting of *trigonometric* Cherednik algebras.

8.1. **The trigonometric Cherednik algebra.** Let T_n be a maximal torus inside SL, $\mathfrak{t}_n$ its Lie algebra. Let $P_0 = \mathrm{Hom}(T_n, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ be the weight lattice and $P^0 \subset P_0$ the root lattice, so that $\Omega = P_0/P^0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. We choose a set of positive roots R_+ in R, the set of all roots. Let ρ be the half sum of all positive roots. For $\lambda \in P_0$, we write e^λ for the corresponding monomial in $\mathbb{C}[T_n]$. Choose $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$. As in [Op, Definition 2.4], the *Dunkl operator* associated to $\mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{t}_n$ is

$$T^\kappa_{\mathbf{y}} = \partial_{\mathbf{y}} + \kappa \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \alpha(\mathbf{y}) \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\alpha}}(1 - s_\alpha) - \kappa\rho(\mathbf{y}).$$

The *trigonometric Cherednik algebra* of type SL is the associative subalgebra $\mathsf{H}^{\mathrm{trig}}_\kappa(\mathrm{SL})$ of $\mathscr{D}((T_n)_{\mathrm{reg}}) \rtimes W$ generated by $\mathbb{C}[T_n]$, W and all Dunkl operators $T^\kappa_{\mathbf{y}}$ for $\mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{t}_n$. The Dunkl operators pairwise commute. Define the divided-difference operator $\Delta_\alpha = \frac{1}{1-e^{-\alpha}}(1 - s_\alpha)$ so that $T^\kappa_{\mathbf{y}} = \partial_{\mathbf{y}} + \kappa \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \alpha(\mathbf{y})\Delta_\alpha - \kappa\rho(\mathbf{y})$. We fix a W-invariant, symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form $(-,-)$ on $\mathfrak{t}^*$ such that $(\alpha, \alpha) = 2$ for all $\alpha \in R$. Recall that $(\rho, \alpha) = 1$ for all $\alpha > 0$.

Lemma 8.1.1. *Let* $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{n-1}$ *be an orthonormal basis of* $\mathfrak{t}_n$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (T^\kappa_{\mathbf{y}_i})^2 = \Omega_{\mathfrak{t}} + 2\kappa \sum_{\alpha > 0} \frac{e^{-\alpha}}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} \Delta_\alpha + \kappa^2 \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta > 0} (\alpha, \beta)\Delta_\alpha\Delta_\beta\\ + \kappa^2(\rho, \rho) + \kappa \sum_{\alpha > 0} \frac{1 + e^{-\alpha}}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} \partial_\alpha,\end{aligned}$$

where ∂_α *is defined by* $\partial_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}, \alpha)\mathbf{x}$ *and* $\Omega_{\mathfrak{t}_n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial^2_{\mathbf{y}_i}$.

Proof. We note that

$$\partial_{\mathbf{y}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} \right) = - \frac{\alpha(\mathbf{y}) e^{-\alpha}}{(1 - e^{-\alpha})^2}$$

and $(\rho,\alpha)=1$ for all $\alpha>0$. Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(T^{\kappa}_{\mathbf{y}_i})^2=\Omega_{\mathfrak{t}}+2\kappa\sum_{\alpha>0}\frac{e^{-\alpha}}{1-e^{-\alpha}}\Delta_\alpha+\kappa^2\sum_{\alpha,\beta>0}(\alpha,\beta)\Delta_\alpha\Delta_\beta$$
$$+\kappa^2(\rho,\rho)+\kappa\sum_{\alpha>0}\frac{1+e^{-\alpha}}{1-e^{-\alpha}}\partial_\alpha-2\kappa^2\sum_{\alpha>0}\Delta_\alpha$$

The operators Δ_α are idempotent i.e. $\Delta_\alpha^2=\Delta_\alpha$ and hence the formula of the lemma follows from the above. $\square$

The group W acts on $\mathsf{H}^{\mathrm{trig}}_{\kappa}(\mathrm{SL})$ by conjugation; the subalgebra of W-invariant elements is denoted $\mathsf{H}^{\mathrm{trig}}_{\kappa}(\mathrm{SL})^W$. The Dunkl operators act on the space $\mathbb{C}[T_n]$, hence any W-invariant operator will act on $\mathbb{C}[T_n]^W$. We define $\mathrm{Res}:\mathsf{H}^{\mathrm{trig}}_{\kappa}(\mathrm{SL})^W\to\mathsf{U}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ by $\mathrm{Res}(D)=D|_{\mathbb{C}[T_n]^W}$, where $\mathsf{U}^{\mathrm{reg}}:=\mathscr{D}((T_n)_{\mathrm{reg}})^W$. Lemma 8.1.1 implies that

$$\mathrm{Res}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(T^{\kappa}_{y_i})^2\right)\;=\;\Omega_{\mathfrak{t}}+\kappa\sum_{\alpha>0}\frac{1+e^{-\alpha}}{1-e^{-\alpha}}\partial_\alpha+\kappa^2(\rho,\rho)\;=:\;L(\kappa)+\kappa^2(\rho,\rho).$$

The map Res restricts to an embedding $e\mathsf{H}^{\mathrm{trig}}_{\kappa}(\mathrm{SL})e\hookrightarrow\mathsf{U}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ and we denote its image by U_κ. As shown in the proof of [FG1, Theorem 3.3.3], the algebra U_κ is generated by $L(\kappa)$ and $\mathbb{C}[T_n]^W$. We denote by δ the Weyl denominator

$$\prod_{\alpha\in R_+}(e^{\alpha/2}-e^{-\alpha/2})=e^{\rho}\prod_{\alpha\in R_+}(1-e^{-\alpha}),$$

so that $(T_n)_{\mathrm{reg}}=(\delta\neq 0)$.

Proposition 8.1.2. *For all $\kappa\in\mathbb{C}$ and $p\in U(\mathfrak{t}_n)^W$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Res}(\delta p(T^{\kappa+1}_{\mathbf{y}})\delta^{-1})=\mathrm{Res}(p(T^{\kappa}_{\mathbf{y}})).$$

Proof. This follows from Opdam's theory of shift operators. We use freely the notation of [Op, §5]. The subspaces $\mathbb{C}[T_n]^W$ and $\delta\cdot\mathbb{C}[T_n]^W$ of $\mathbb{C}[T_n]$ are U_κ-submodules. By [Op, Theorem 5.11 (c)], we have $D_p(\kappa+1)G_+(\kappa)=G_+(\kappa)D_p(\kappa)$ in $\mathsf{U}^{\mathrm{reg}}$. Hence

$$D_p(\kappa+1)G_+(\kappa)(f)=G_+(\kappa)D_p(\kappa)(f),\quad\forall\, f\in\delta\cdot\mathbb{C}(\kappa)[T_n]^W.$$

Unpacking, $[p(T^{\kappa+1}_{\mathbf{y}})\delta^{-1}\pi^+(\kappa)](f)=[p(T^{\kappa+1}_{\mathbf{y}})\delta^{-1}\pi^+(\kappa)](f)$, and thus

$$[\delta p(T^{\kappa+1}_{\mathbf{y}})\delta^{-1}](\pi^+(\kappa)(f))=p(T^{\kappa}_{\mathbf{y}})(\pi^+(\kappa)(f)),\quad\forall\, f\in\delta\cdot\mathbb{C}(\kappa)[T_n]^W.$$

The operator $\pi^+(\kappa):\delta\cdot\mathbb{C}[T_n]^W\to\mathbb{C}[T_n]^W$ has principal symbol $\prod_{\alpha\in R_+}\partial_\alpha$ and hence is surjective. Thus $\delta p(T^{\kappa+1}_{\mathbf{y}})\delta^{-1}=p(T^{\kappa}_{\mathbf{y}})$ in $\mathsf{U}^{\mathrm{reg}}$. $\square$

As in the rational case, Proposition 8.1.2 implies the existence of a collection of bimodules between the various U_κ. These bimodules induce Morita equivalences in many cases.

Corollary 8.1.3. *We have an equality*

$$e\mathsf{H}^{\mathrm{trig}}_{\kappa+1}(\mathrm{SL})e=e\delta^{-1}\mathsf{H}^{\mathrm{trig}}_{\kappa}(\mathrm{SL})\delta e$$

in $\mathsf{U}^{\mathrm{reg}}$. Thus, the spaces

$${}_{\kappa}\mathsf{P}_{\kappa+1}:=e\mathsf{H}^{\mathrm{trig}}_{\kappa}(\mathrm{SL})\delta e,\quad {}_{\kappa+1}\mathsf{Q}_{\kappa}:=e\delta^{-1}\mathsf{H}^{\mathrm{trig}}_{\kappa}(\mathrm{SL})e,$$

are $(\mathsf{U}_\kappa,\mathsf{U}_{\kappa+1})$ and $(\mathsf{U}_{\kappa+1},\mathsf{U}_\kappa)$-bimodules respectively.

Recall from Definition 1.3.3, the subset $\mathsf{BAD} = \{a/b \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z},\ 2 \le b \le n\}$ of $\mathbb{C}$. As in [GGS], we say that κ is *good* if $\kappa \notin \mathsf{BAD} \cap (0,1)$. Under the equality $\kappa = -c + 1$, κ is good if and only if c is good in the sense of section 1.3. Recall that we defined in (7.3), based on Corollary 8.1.3, the bimodules ${}_{\kappa}\mathsf{P}_{\kappa+m}$ and ${}_{\kappa+m}\mathsf{Q}_{\kappa}$.

Theorem 8.1.4 ([GGS], Theorem 3.9)**.** *Fix $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ and an integer $m \ge 1$ such that each of $\kappa + 1, \kappa + 2, \ldots, \kappa + m - 1$ is good.*

1. ${}_{\kappa}\mathsf{P}_{\kappa+m}$ *is the unique nonzero $(\mathsf{U}_{\kappa}, \mathsf{U}_{\kappa+m})$-bisubmodule of $\mathsf{U}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ that is reflexive as either a right $\mathsf{U}_{\kappa+m}$-module or a left U_{κ}-module.*
2. ${}_{\kappa+m}\mathsf{Q}_{\kappa}$ *is the unique nonzero $(\mathsf{U}_{\kappa+m}, \mathsf{U}_{\kappa})$-bisubmodule of $\mathsf{U}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ that is reflexive as either a right U_{κ}-module or a left $\mathsf{U}_{\kappa+m}$-module.*
3. *Either κ or $\kappa + m$ is good. In the former case ${}_{\kappa}\mathsf{P}_{\kappa+m}$ and ${}_{\kappa+m}\mathsf{Q}_{\kappa}$ are projective U_{κ}-modules, while in the latter case they are projective $\mathsf{U}_{\kappa+m}$-modules.*

Remark 8.1.5. It is important to note when applying the results of [GGS] to the setup considered in this paper that their parameter "c" is related to κ by $\kappa = -c$.

Proof. The proof of the theorem is simply a matter of carefully checking that the arguments employed in [GGS] are applicable in our situation. We note that: the existence of the bimodules ${}_{\kappa}\mathsf{P}_{\kappa+1}$ and ${}_{\kappa+m}\mathsf{Q}_{\kappa}$ is shown in Corollary 8.1.3. By [FG1, Corollary 5.2.7], if κ is good then U_{κ} is Morita equivalent to $\mathsf{H}_{\kappa}^{\mathrm{trig}}(\mathrm{SL})$ and hence has finite global dimension. The only other gap is showing that condition (3) of [GGS, Hypothesis 3.2] holds. The argument using Fourier transforms given in *loc. cit.* for condition (3) is not applicable to the trigonometric Cherednik algebra. Another way to see that condition (3) holds is as follows. Let I be a proper two-sided ideal in U_{κ}. Associated to I is its characteristic variety in $(T^*T_n)/W$. This will be a union of closures of symplectic leaves and hence even dimensional. Therefore either $\mathrm{GKdim}\ \mathsf{U}_{\kappa}/I = \mathrm{GKdim}\ \mathsf{U}_{\kappa}$ or $\mathrm{GKdim}\ \mathsf{U}_{\kappa}/I \le \mathrm{GKdim}\ \mathsf{U}_{\kappa} - 2$. However, $\mathsf{U}_{\kappa}[\delta^{-2}] = \mathsf{U}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ is a simple ring, hence the normal element δ^{2m} belongs to I for some $m \le 1$ and hence $\mathrm{GKdim}\ \mathsf{U}_{\kappa}/I < \mathrm{GKdim}\ \mathsf{U}_{\kappa}$. ☐

8.2. **The radial parts map.** The radial parts map identifies U_{κ} with a certain algebra obtained by quantum Hamiltonian reduction. The usual Chevalley isomorphism induces an isomorphism $\eta : \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{reg}}]^G \to \mathbb{C}[{T_n}^{\mathrm{reg}}]^W$.

Theorem 8.2.1. *Let $\kappa = -c + 1$. The following map is an isomorphism of filtered algebras.*

$$\mathfrak{R} : (\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}\mathfrak{g}_c)^G \longrightarrow \mathsf{U}_{\kappa}, \quad \mathfrak{R}(D)(f) = \mathbf{s}^c D(\mathbf{s}^{-c}\eta^* f)|_{{T_n}^{\mathrm{reg}}/W}.$$

Proof. The proof of the theorem is standard, see e.g. [GGS, Appendix]. As usual, the only thing to check is that the image of the Casimir element lies in U_{κ}. This is done in Lemma 8.2.2 below. ☐

Recall that we have identified $\mathfrak{sl}$ with *left invariant* vector fields on SL i.e. for $X \in \mathfrak{sl}$ and $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{X}]$ we have

$$(\partial_X \cdot f)(g, v) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(g(1 + tX), v).$$

Since e_α and $e_{-\alpha}$ are a dual pair with respect to the trace form on $\mathfrak{sl}$, the Casimir element $\Omega_{\mathfrak{sl}}$ in $U(\mathfrak{sl})$ is given by

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{sl}} = \Omega_{\mathfrak{t}} + \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \partial_{e_\alpha}\partial_{e_{-\alpha}} + \partial_{e_{-\alpha}}\partial_{e_\alpha},$$

where $\Omega_{\mathfrak{t}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_{\mathbf{y}_i}^2$ (recall that the $\mathbf{y}_i$ form an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{t}_n$). For $w \in \mathbb{C}$, define $\mathrm{Rad}_w : \mathscr{D}(\mathfrak{X})^G \to \mathsf{U}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ by

$$\mathrm{Rad}_w(D)(f) = \mathbf{s}^{-w}(D(\mathbf{s}^w(\eta^* f)))|_{{T_n}^{\mathrm{reg}}/W}, \qquad \forall f \in \mathbb{C}[{T_n}^{\mathrm{reg}}]^W.$$

Lemma 8.2.2. *We have*

$$\mathrm{Rad}_w(\Omega_{\mathfrak{sl}}) = \Omega_{\mathfrak{t}} + (w+1)\sum_{\alpha>0} \frac{1+e^{-\alpha}}{1+e^{-\alpha}} \partial_\alpha + w(w+2)(\rho,\rho).$$

Proof. By definition, e^α is a character on T_n, $e^\alpha(x) = \alpha(x)$. Let $f : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a $\det^w$-semi-invariant holomorphic function defined on an open neighborhood of $(T_n)_{\mathrm{reg}} \times V$. One calculates that

$$\exp(te_\alpha) \cdot f(x\exp(re_{-\alpha}), v) = f(g(1+re_{-\alpha})(1+t(1-e^{-\alpha})e_\alpha - rte^{-\alpha}h_\alpha), v - te_\alpha v).$$

On the other hand, semi-invariance implies that

$$\exp(te_\alpha) \cdot f(g\exp(re_{-\alpha}), v) = f(g\exp(te_{-\alpha}), v).$$

Therefore, differentiating with respect to t and setting $t=0$ gives

$$0 = [(1-e^{-\alpha})\partial_{e_\alpha} - re^{-\alpha}\partial_\alpha + \mu_V(e_\alpha)]f(g(1+re_{-\alpha}), v).$$

Rewriting,

$$\partial_{e_\alpha} f(g(1+re_{-\alpha}), v) = \frac{1}{1-e^{-\alpha}}\left[re^{-\alpha}\partial_\alpha - \mu_V(e_\alpha)\right] f(g(1+re_{-\alpha}), v). \tag{8.2.3}$$

Differentiating with respect to r and setting $r=0$ gives

$$\partial_{e_{-\alpha}}\partial_{e_\alpha} f(g,v) = \frac{1}{1-e^{-\alpha}}\left[e^{-\alpha}\partial_\alpha f(g,v) - \partial_{e_{-\alpha}}(\mu_V(e_\alpha)\cdot f(g,v))\right].$$

Equation (8.2.3) with e_α replaced by $e_{-\alpha}$ and $r=0$ gives

$$\partial_{e_{-\alpha}}[\mu_V(e_\alpha)\cdot f](g,v) = \frac{-1}{1-e^{\alpha}}\mu_V(e_{-\alpha})[\mu_V(e_\alpha)\cdot f](g,v).$$

Thus,

$$\partial_{e_{-\alpha}}\partial_{e_\alpha} f(g,v) = \frac{e^{-\alpha}}{1-e^{-\alpha}}\partial_\alpha f(g,v) + \frac{1}{(1-e^{\alpha})(1-e^{-\alpha})}\mu_V(e_{-\alpha})\mu_V(e_\alpha)\cdot f(g,v).$$

As in [GGS], $\mathbf{s}|_{T\times V} = \delta \cdot (v_1 \cdots v_n)$ and $\mu_V(e_{ij}) = -v_j\partial_{v_i}$. Thus,

$$\mu_V(e_{-\alpha})\mu_V(e_\alpha)\cdot f(g,v) = w(w+1)f(g,v).$$

Note that

$$\frac{e^{-\alpha}-e^{\alpha}}{(1-e^{-\alpha})(1-e^{\alpha})} = \frac{1+e^{-\alpha}}{1-e^{-\alpha}}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Rad}_w(\Omega_{\mathfrak{sl}}) &= \delta^{-w}\Omega_{\mathfrak{t}}\delta^w + w(w+1)\sum_{\alpha>0}\frac{(\alpha,\alpha)}{(1-e^{\alpha})(1-e^{-\alpha})} + \sum_{\alpha>0}\frac{e^{-\alpha}-e^{\alpha}}{(1-e^{-\alpha})(1-e^{\alpha})}\delta^{-w}\partial_\alpha\delta^w. \\ &= \delta^{-w}\Omega_{\mathfrak{t}}\delta^w - w(w+1)\sum_{\alpha>0}\frac{(\alpha,\alpha)}{(e^{\alpha/2}-e^{-\alpha/2})^2} + \sum_{\alpha>0}\frac{1+e^{-\alpha}}{1-e^{-\alpha}}\delta^{-w}\partial_\alpha\delta^w.\end{aligned}$$

By [HS, Theorem 2.1.1],

$$\delta^u \circ (L(u) + u^2(\rho,\rho)) \circ \delta^{-u} = \Omega_{\mathfrak{t}} - \sum_{\alpha>0}\frac{u(u-1)(\alpha,\alpha)}{(e^{\alpha/2}-e^{-\alpha/2})^2}$$

Therefore, taking $u = 1$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^{w+1}\mathrm{Rad}_w(\Omega_{\mathfrak{sl}})\delta^{-w-1} &= \Omega_{\mathfrak{t}} - w(w+1)\sum_{\alpha>0}\frac{(\alpha,\alpha)}{(e^{\alpha/2}-e^{-\alpha/2})^2} - (\rho,\rho) \\ &= \delta^{w+1}\circ\left(L(w+1) + (w+1)^2(\rho,\rho)\right)\circ\delta^{-(w+1)} - (\rho,\rho).\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Remark 8.2.4. Lemma 8.2.2 implies that $\mathfrak{R}$ restricts to a filtered isomorphism $\mathfrak{Z} \stackrel{\sim}{\to} (\mathrm{Sym}\,\mathfrak{t}_n)^W$.

REFERENCES

[Ba] E. Baruch. A proof of Kirillov's conjecture. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 158(1):207–252, 2003.

[BB1] A. Beilinson and J. Bernstein. Localisation de $\mathfrak{g}$-modules. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 292(1): 15-18, 1981.

[BB2] ———, ———. A generalization of Casselman's submodule theorem. In *Representation theory of reductive groups (Park City, Utah, 1982)*, *Progr. Math.*, 40, pp. 35–52. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983.

[BM] E. Bierstone and P. D. Milman. Canonical desingularization in characteristic zero by blowing up the maximum strata of a local invariant. *Invent. Math.*, 128(2):207–302, 1997.

[CEE] D. Calaque, B. Enriquez, and P. Etingof. Universal KZB equations: the elliptic case. In *Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. I*, *Progr. Math.*, 269, pp. 165–266. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2009.

[CG] N. Chriss and V. Ginzburg. *Representation theory and complex geometry*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1997.

[EG] P. Etingof and V. Ginzburg. Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero-Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism. *Invent. Math.*, 147(2):243–348, 2002.

[FG1] M. Finkelberg and V. Ginzburg. Cherednik algebras for algebraic curves. In *Representation theory of algebraic groups and quantum groups*, *Progr. Math.*, 284, pp. 121–153. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2010.

[FG2] ———, ———. On mirabolic $\mathscr{D}$-modules. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (15):2947–2986, 2010.

[FGT] ———, ———, R. Travkin. Mirabolic affine Grassmannian and character sheaves. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 14 (3-4): 607–628, 2009.

[GL] E. Galina and Y. Laurent. Kirillov's conjecture and $\mathcal{D}$-modules. arXiv:0910.2900, 2009.

[GG] W. L. Gan and V. Ginzburg. Almost-commuting variety, $\mathscr{D}$-modules, and Cherednik algebras.x *IMRP Int. Math. Res. Pap.*, pp. 26439, 1–54, 2006.

[Gi1] V. Ginzburg. Characteristic varieties and vanishing cycles. *Invent. Math.*, 84(2):327–402, 1986.

[Gi2] ———. $\mathfrak{G}$-modules, Springer's representations and bivariant Chern classes. *Adv. in Math.*, 61(1):1–48, 1986.

[Gi3] ———. Admissible modules on a symmetric space. *Astérisque*, (173-174):9–10, 199–255, 1989. Orbites unipotentes et représentations, III.

[Gi4] ———. Induction and restriction of character sheaves. In *I. M. Gel′fand Seminar*, *Adv. Soviet Math.*, 16, pp. 149–167. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

[GGS] ———, I. Gordon, and J. T. Stafford. Differential operators and Cherednik algebras. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 14(3-4):629–666, 2009.

[GS1] I. G. Gordon and J. T. Stafford. Rational Cherednik algebras and Hilbert schemes. *Adv. Math.*, 198(1):222–274, 2005.

[HS] G. Heckman and H. Schlichtkrull. *Harmonic analysis and special functions on symmetric spaces*, *Perspectives in Mathematics*, 16. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.

[HK1] R. Hotta and M. Kashiwara. The invariant holonomic system on a semisimple Lie algebra. *Invent. Math.*, 75(2):327–358, 1984.

[HK2] ———, ———. Quotients of the Harish-Chandra system by primitive ideals. In *Geometry today (Rome, 1984)*, *Progr. Math.*, 60, pp. 185–205. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1985.

[HTT] R. Hotta, K. Takeuchi, and T. Tanisaki. *D-modules, Perverse Sheaves, and Representation Theory*, *Progress in Mathematics*, 236. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2008.

[KK] M. Kashiwara and T. Kawai. On holonomic systems of microdifferential equations. III. Systems with regular singularities. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 17(3):813–979, 1981.

[Ko] B. Kostant. On the tensor product of a finite and an infinite dimensional representation. *J. Functional Analysis* 20 (1975), 257-285.

[Kra] H. Kraft. *Geometrische Methoden in der Invariantentheorie*. Aspects of Mathematics, D1. Friedr. Vieweg und Sohn, Braunschweig, 1984.

[Lun] D. Luna. Slices étales. In *Sur les groupes algébriques*, pp. 81–105. Bull. Soc. Math. France, Paris, Mémoire 33. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1973.

[L1] G. Lusztig. Intersection cohomology complexes on a reductive group. *Invent. Math.*, 75(2):205–272, 1984.

[L2] ———. Character sheaves. IV. *Adv. in Math.*, 59(1):1–63, 1986.

[MWZ] P. Magyar, J. Weyman, A. Zelevinsky. Multiple Flag Varieties of Finite Type. *Adv. in Math.*, 141: 97–118, 1999.

[Mc] K. McGerty. Microlocal KZ functors and rational cherednik algebras. *arXiv*, 1006.1599v2, 2010.

[McN] K. McGerty and T. Nevins. Preprint, 2012.

[MV] I. Mirković and K. Vilonen. Characteristic varieties of character sheaves. *Invent. Math.*, 93(2):405–418, 1988.

[Op] E. M. Opdam. *Lecture notes on Dunkl operators for real and complex reflection groups*, volume 8 of *MSJ Memoirs*. Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2000.

[VV] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot. From double affine Hecke algebras to quantized affine Schur algebras. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (26):1299–1333, 2004.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, ROOM 2.233, ALAN TURING BUILDING, UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER, OXFORD ROAD, MANCHESTER, M13 9PL
E-mail address: `gwyn.bellamy@manchester.ac.uk`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL 60637, USA.
E-mail address: `ginzburg@math.uchicago.edu`